

## Problem 1

*Proof* : Consider the vector case,

$$Y = X\beta + \mu \quad (1)$$

where  $X$  is a matrix of independent variables with a column of constant 1. To fit the model using OLS, we minimize sum of squares

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \arg \min_{\beta} \{u^T u\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\beta} [(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta)] \\ &= \arg \min_{\beta} (Y^T Y - Y^T X\beta - \beta^T X^T Y + \beta^T X^T X\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

F.O.C.

$$\frac{\partial u^T u}{\partial \beta^T} = -X^T Y + (X^T X)\hat{\beta} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Consider the first row of  $X^T$ , which should be a vector of "1"s.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} (Y - X\hat{\beta}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Hence we got

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0 \quad (5)$$

## Problem 2

*Proof for symmetry* :

$$\begin{aligned} H^T &= [X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T]^T \\ &= X[(X^T X)^{-1}]^T X^T \\ &= X[(X^T X)^T]^{-1} X^T \\ &= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= H \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

*Proof for idempotency* :

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= H \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

### Problem 3

*Proof for symmetry :*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^T &= (I - H)^T = I^T - [X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T]^T \\
&= I - X[(X^T X)^{-1}]^T X^T \\
&= I - X[(X^T X)^T]^{-1} X^T \\
&= I - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\
&= I - H = Q
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

*Proof for idempotency :*

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - H)^2 &= I^2 + X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T - 2IH \\
&= I + X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T - 2H \\
&= I - H
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

### Problem 4

*Proof :*

For any idempotent matrix  $A$ , its eigenvector  $\alpha$ , and its eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , we have

$$A^2 = A \quad A\alpha = \lambda\alpha \tag{10}$$

Using the properties of eigenvalues,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda\alpha &= A\alpha \\
&= A^2\alpha = A\lambda\alpha \\
&= \lambda A\alpha = \lambda^2\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Hence  $\lambda = \lambda^2$ ,  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$

### Problem 5

$y_0$  is, in most cases, more meaningful. Note that the intercept  $b_0$  is the mean of dependent variable when all predictors = 0. But when 0 is out of the range of data, that value becomes meaningless. When you center  $X$  so that a value within the dataset becomes 0, the intercept becomes the mean of  $Y$  at the value you centered on. So in reality, mean-centering the data will help us interpret the intercept of our result.

## Problem 6

Implementing Regression by Successive Orthogonalization:

Note that in our expectation,  $\Gamma$  shall be an upper triangular matrix  
 $z$  shall be the residual matrix, and  $\beta$  shall be a vector showing coefficients

```
data <- read.csv("Advertising.csv", row.names = "X")
z <- matrix(nrow = nrow(data), ncol = ncol(data)+1)
Gamma <- matrix(nrow = nrow(data), ncol = ncol(data)+1)
Beta <- vector(length = ncol(data)-2)

# Step 1 initialize X[,1] and Z[,1]
temp <- rep(1,nrow(data))
data <- data %>%
  add_column(temp, .before = T)
z[,1] = temp

# Step 2 & 3
for (p in 2:ncol(data)){
  for (j in 2:p){
    for (l in 1:(j-1)){
      Gamma[l,j] = t(z[,l]) %*% data[,j] / t(z[,l] %*% z[,l])
    }
    z[,j] = data[,j]
    for (k in 1:(j-1)){
      z[,j] = z[,j] - Gamma[k,j] * z[,k]
    }
  }
  Beta[p-1] = t(z[,p]) %*% data[, 'sales'] / (t(z[,p]) %*% z[,p])
}

# We can compare our results with those implemented by the built-in library of R
result <- lm(sales~. ,data = data)
result$coefficients

## (Intercept)          temp          TV          radio    newspaper
## 2.938889369           NA  0.045764645  0.188530017 -0.001037493

Beta[-4]

## [1]  0.047536640  0.187994227 -0.001037493
```

Table 1

<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
sales	
temp	
TV	0.047*** (0.001)
radio	0.189*** (0.009)
newspaper	-0.001 (0.006)
Constant	2.939*** (0.312)
Observations	200
R <sup>2</sup>	0.897
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.896
Residual Std. Error	1.686 (df = 196)
F Statistic	570.271*** (df = 3; 196)
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	