

# Functional Analysis Homework 11

Kevin Ho

February 9, 2026

1. Show that  $T$  is compact iff it maps  $B_X$  to a precompact set in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  linear and bounded. Write

$$B_X := \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

Recall that a set  $M \subset Y$  is *precompact* if its closure  $\overline{M}$  is compact in  $Y$ .

We show the two implications separately.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose  $T$  is compact in the sense that it maps bounded subsets of  $X$  into precompact subsets of  $Y$ . Since  $B_X$  is bounded in  $X$ , it follows immediately that  $T(B_X)$  is precompact in  $Y$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Now assume that  $T(B_X)$  is precompact in  $Y$ . We must show that  $T$  maps any bounded subset of  $X$  to a precompact subset of  $Y$ .

Let  $A \subset X$  be bounded. Then there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\|x\| \leq R$  for all  $x \in A$ , i.e.

$$A \subset RB_X := \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq R\}.$$

By linearity,

$$T(RB_X) = \{T(Rx) : \|x\| \leq 1\} = \{RT(x) : \|x\| \leq 1\} = RT(B_X).$$

Since  $T(B_X)$  is precompact, its closure  $K := \overline{T(B_X)}$  is compact in  $Y$ . Consider the map  $S_R : Y \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S_R(y) = Ry$  for the fixed scalar  $R > 0$ . This is a homeomorphism with continuous inverse  $S_R^{-1}(y) = \frac{1}{R}y$ , so it sends compact sets to compact sets. Hence

$$\overline{RT(B_X)} = \overline{S_R(T(B_X))} \subset S_R(\overline{T(B_X)}) = RK$$

is compact as a closed subset of the compact set  $RK$ . Therefore  $RT(B_X)$  is precompact in  $Y$ .

Now  $T(A) \subset T(RB_X) = RT(B_X)$ , and any subset of a precompact set is again precompact (its closure is contained in the compact closure of the larger set). Thus  $T(A)$  is precompact in  $Y$ .

Since  $A \subset X$  was an arbitrary bounded set, we have shown that  $T$  maps every bounded subset of  $X$  to a precompact subset of  $Y$ . By definition, this means  $T$  is compact. □

2. Show that Volterra operator is compact on  $C[0, 1]$ , even though its kernel is discontinuous.

*Proof.* First,  $T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is linear by properties of the integral. If  $\|f\|_\infty \leq M$ , then for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|(Tf)(x)| = \left| \int_0^x f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^x |f(t)| dt \leq Mx \leq M,$$

so  $\|Tf\|_\infty \leq M$  and therefore  $\|T\| \leq 1$ ; in particular,  $T$  is bounded.

To prove compactness, it suffices (by the previous exercise) to show that  $T$  maps the unit ball

$$B := \{f \in C[0, 1] : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

into a precompact subset of  $C[0, 1]$ . By Arzelà–Ascoli, it is enough to show  $\{Tf : f \in B\}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

Uniform boundedness: for  $f \in B$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|(Tf)(x)| \leq \int_0^x |f(t)| dt \leq \int_0^x 1 dt = x \leq 1,$$

so  $\|Tf\|_\infty \leq 1$  for all  $f \in B$ .

Equicontinuity: let  $f \in B$  and  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ . Then

$$|(Tf)(y) - (Tf)(x)| = \left| \int_0^y f(t) dt - \int_0^x f(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_x^y f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_x^y |f(t)| dt \leq \int_x^y 1 dt = |y - x|.$$

This bound does not depend on  $f \in B$ , so the family  $\{Tf : f \in B\}$  is equicontinuous.

Thus  $T(B)$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, hence its closure is compact in  $C[0, 1]$  by Arzelà–Ascoli. Therefore  $T$  maps  $B$  to a precompact set, so  $T$  is compact. □

3. Fix a sequence of real numbers  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , and define the linear operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$  by

$$Tx = \{\lambda_k x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty.$$

For what multiplier sequences  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is the operator  $T$ , (a) well defined? (b) bounded? (c) compact?

*Proof.* (a) *Well defined.* First assume  $(\lambda_k)$  is bounded, say  $M := \sup_k |\lambda_k| < \infty$ . Then for  $x \in \ell_2$ ,

$$\|Tx\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k x_k|^2 \leq M^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^2 = M^2 \|x\|_2^2 < \infty,$$

so  $Tx \in \ell_2$  and  $T$  is well defined.

Conversely, suppose  $T$  is well defined but  $(\lambda_k)$  is unbounded. Then we can pick indices  $k_j$  with  $|\lambda_{k_j}| \geq j$ . Define  $x \in \ell_2$  by

$$x_{k_j} = \frac{1}{j}, \quad x_k = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} < \infty$ , so  $x \in \ell_2$ . But

$$|\lambda_{k_j} x_{k_j}|^2 \geq j^2 \cdot \frac{1}{j^2} = 1,$$

hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k x_k|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty,$$

so  $Tx \notin \ell_2$ , contradicting that  $T$  is well defined. Thus  $(\lambda_k)$  must be bounded. Therefore

$$T \text{ is well defined} \iff (\lambda_k) \in \ell_{\infty}.$$

(b) *Bounded.* If  $(\lambda_k)$  is bounded with  $M = \sup_k |\lambda_k|$ , the estimate above gives

$$\|Tx\|_2 \leq M \|x\|_2, \quad x \in \ell_2,$$

so  $T$  is bounded and  $\|T\| \leq M$ . For the reverse inequality, let  $e_k$  be the standard basis of  $\ell_2$ . Then

$$\|Te_k\|_2 = |\lambda_k|$$

and  $\|e_k\|_2 = 1$ , so

$$|\lambda_k| = \|Te_k\|_2 \leq \|T\| \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Taking the supremum in  $k$  gives  $\sup_k |\lambda_k| \leq \|T\|$ . Hence

$$\|T\| = \sup_k |\lambda_k|,$$

and in particular  $T$  is bounded iff  $(\lambda_k)$  is bounded. (c) *Compact.* Suppose first that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  define the finite-rank operator

$$T_N x := (\lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_N x_N, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Then for  $\|x\|_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$\|(T - T_N)x\|_2^2 = \sum_{k>N} |\lambda_k x_k|^2 \leq \left( \sup_{k>N} |\lambda_k| \right)^2 \sum_{k>N} |x_k|^2 \leq \left( \sup_{k>N} |\lambda_k| \right)^2,$$

so  $\|T - T_N\| \leq \sup_{k>N} |\lambda_k| \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $T$  is a norm limit of finite-rank operators, hence compact.

Conversely, assume  $T$  is compact but  $\lambda_k \not\rightarrow 0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $(\lambda_{k_j})$  with  $|\lambda_{k_j}| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $j$ . Consider the bounded sequence  $(e_{k_j})$  in  $\ell_2$ . Compactness of  $T$  implies that  $(Te_{k_j})$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\ell_2$ , but

$$Te_{k_j} = \lambda_{k_j} e_{k_j}, \quad \|Te_{k_j}\|_2 = |\lambda_{k_j}| \geq \varepsilon,$$

and these vectors are pairwise orthogonal. An orthogonal sequence with norms bounded away from 0 cannot have a convergent subsequence, a contradiction. Hence we must have  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$ . □

4. Consider an integral operator  $T$  with kernel  $k(t, s) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the following:

- (a) for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ , the function  $k_s(t) = k(t, s)$  is integrable in  $t$ ;
- (b) the map  $s \rightarrow k_s$  is a continuous map from  $[0, 1]$  to  $L_1[0, 1]$ .

Show that the integral operator  $T$  is compact in  $C[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* First note  $s \mapsto k_s \in L_1[0, 1]$  is continuous on a compact set, so

$$M := \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} \|k_s\|_{L_1} < \infty.$$

Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . Then for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|(Tf)(s)| = \left| \int_0^1 k(t, s) f(t) dt \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_0^1 |k(t, s)| dt = \|f\|_\infty \|k_s\|_{L_1} \leq M \|f\|_\infty.$$

Hence  $Tf$  is bounded and  $\|T\| \leq M$ .

We also get continuity: if  $s_n \rightarrow s$ , then

$$|(Tf)(s_n) - (Tf)(s)| = \left| \int_0^1 (k(t, s_n) - k(t, s)) f(t) dt \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|k_{s_n} - k_s\|_{L_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

since  $s \mapsto k_s$  is continuous in  $L_1$ . Thus  $Tf \in C[0, 1]$  and  $T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is bounded.

To prove compactness, it suffices to show  $T$  maps the unit ball

$$B := \{f \in C[0, 1] : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

into a precompact subset of  $C[0, 1]$ . By Arzelà–Ascoli, it is enough to prove that  $\{Tf : f \in B\}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

Uniform boundedness: for  $f \in B$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|(Tf)(s)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|k_s\|_{L_1} \leq \|k_s\|_{L_1} \leq M,$$

so  $\sup_{f \in B} \|Tf\|_\infty \leq M$ .

Equicontinuity: let  $f \in B$  and  $s, s_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$|(Tf)(s) - (Tf)(s_0)| = \left| \int_0^1 (k(t, s) - k(t, s_0)) f(t) dt \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|k_s - k_{s_0}\|_{L_1} \leq \|k_s - k_{s_0}\|_{L_1}.$$

Since  $s \mapsto k_s$  is continuous into  $L_1$  and  $[0, 1]$  is compact, this map is uniformly continuous. Thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|s - s_0| < \delta \implies \|k_s - k_{s_0}\|_{L_1} < \varepsilon,$$

and hence

$$|(Tf)(s) - (Tf)(s_0)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $f \in B$  whenever  $|s - s_0| < \delta$ . This shows  $\{Tf : f \in B\}$  is equicontinuous.

By Arzelà–Ascoli, the closure of  $T(B)$  is compact in  $C[0, 1]$ , so  $T$  is compact.  $\square$

5. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $T \in K(X, X)$ . Show that operator  $A = I - T$  satisfies

$$\dim(\ker(A)) = \dim(\ker(A^*)) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Im}(A)) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Im}(A^*)).$$

*Proof.* We work in several steps.

**1.  $\ker(A)$  and  $\ker(A^*)$  are finite dimensional.**

Note that

$$Ax = 0 \iff x - Tx = 0 \iff Tx = x,$$

so  $\ker(A)$  is the eigenspace of  $T$  for eigenvalue 1.

If  $\ker(A)$  were infinite dimensional, we could choose a sequence  $(x_n) \subset \ker(A)$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and no convergent subsequence (possible because the unit ball of an infinite dimensional Banach space is not compact). But then

$$Tx_n = x_n \quad \text{for all } n,$$

so  $(Tx_n)$  has no convergent subsequence either. This contradicts the compactness of  $T$ . Hence  $\ker(A)$  is finite dimensional.

The adjoint  $T^* : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  is also compact (image of the unit ball under  $T^*$  is norm-compact in  $X^*$  because  $T$  is compact). Applying the same argument to  $A^* = I - T^*$  on  $X^*$  shows  $\ker(A^*)$  is finite dimensional.

## 2. $\text{Im}(A)$ and $\text{Im}(A^*)$ are closed.

Let  $N := \ker(A)$ , which is finite dimensional. There exists a closed complement  $M \subset X$  with

$$X = N \oplus M.$$

On  $M$  the restriction  $A|_M : M \rightarrow X$  is injective. We claim there is  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|Ax\| \geq c\|x\| \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (1)$$

If not, we can find  $(x_n) \subset M$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $\|Ax_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then

$$Ax_n = x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $(x_n)$  is bounded and  $T$  is compact,  $(Tx_n)$  has a convergent subsequence  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ . Then

$$x_{n_k} = Ax_{n_k} + Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow 0 + y = y,$$

so  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow y \in M$ . Passing to the limit in  $Ax_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$  gives  $Ay = 0$ , i.e.  $y \in N \cap M = \{0\}$ . Thus  $y = 0$ , but  $\|x_{n_k}\| = 1$  forces  $\|y\| = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence (1) holds.

Now let  $(Ax_n)$  be a convergent sequence in  $A(M)$ . Then for  $m, n$ ,

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \frac{1}{c} \|Ax_n - Ax_m\|,$$

so  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy in  $M$  and converges to some  $x \in M$ . Continuity of  $A$  gives  $Ax_n \rightarrow Ax$ , so  $\lim Ax_n \in A(M)$ . Thus  $A(M)$  is closed.

Since  $X = N \oplus M$  and  $A(N) = \{0\}$ , we have

$$\text{Im}(A) = A(X) = A(M).$$

Therefore  $\text{Im}(A)$  is closed.

The same argument, applied to  $A^* = I - T^*$  on the Banach space  $X^*$ , shows that  $\text{Im}(A^*)$  is closed.

## 3. Relating codimension and adjoint kernels.

We use a standard duality fact: if  $Y$  is Banach and  $M \subset Y$  is closed, then

$$(Y/M)^* \cong M^\perp := \{f \in Y^* : f|_M = 0\}.$$

Apply this with  $Y = X$ ,  $M = \text{Im}(A)$ . Then

$$(X/\text{Im}(A))^* \cong \text{Im}(A)^\perp.$$

But

$$\text{Im}(A)^\perp = \{f \in X^* : f(Ax) = 0 \ \forall x\} = \ker(A^*).$$

Hence

$$(X/\operatorname{Im}(A))^* \cong \ker(A^*).$$

So  $(X/\operatorname{Im}(A))^*$  is finite dimensional, and therefore  $X/\operatorname{Im}(A)$  is finite dimensional with

$$\dim(X/\operatorname{Im}(A)) = \dim((X/\operatorname{Im}(A))^*) = \dim \ker(A^*).$$

That is,

$$\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A) = \dim \ker(A^*). \quad (2)$$

Now apply the same reasoning to  $Y = X^*$  and  $M = \operatorname{Im}(A^*)$ . We get

$$(X^*/\operatorname{Im}(A^*))^* \cong \operatorname{Im}(A^*)^\perp = \ker((A^*)^*) = \ker(A^{**}).$$

The canonical embedding  $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  satisfies  $A^{**}J = JA$ , so  $J(\ker(A)) \subset \ker(A^{**})$ , and conversely if  $A^{**}u = 0$  with  $u = Jx$ , then  $J(Ax) = 0$ , hence  $Ax = 0$  and  $x \in \ker(A)$ . Thus  $\ker(A^{**}) \cong \ker(A)$  and

$$\dim \ker(A^{**}) = \dim \ker(A).$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A^*) = \dim \ker(A^{**}) = \dim \ker(A). \quad (3)$$

So far we have

$$\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A) = \dim \ker(A^*), \quad \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A^*) = \dim \ker(A).$$

#### 4. Equality of $\dim \ker(A)$ and $\dim \ker(A^*)$ .

We have

$$\ker(A) = \ker(I - T), \quad \ker(A^*) = \ker(I - T^*),$$

which are the eigenspaces of  $T$  and  $T^*$  for the (nonzero) eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

For compact operators it is a standard fact that for every nonzero  $\lambda$ , the eigenspaces  $\ker(\lambda I - T)$  and  $\ker(\lambda I - T^*)$  have the same (finite) dimension.

Applying this with  $\lambda = 1$  gives

$$\dim \ker(A) = \dim \ker(A^*).$$

Combining this with (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\dim \ker(A) = \dim \ker(A^*) = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A) = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(A^*),$$

as required.  $\square$

6. Prove the claims about the spectra of shift operators made in Example 4.3.8

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p(R) &= \emptyset, & \sigma_c(R) &= \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}, & \sigma_r(R) &= \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}; \\ \sigma_p(L) &= \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}, & \sigma_c(L) &= \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}, & \sigma_r(L) &= \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the standard orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2$ .

**1. Basic facts.** For  $x \in \ell_2$ ,

$$\|Rx\|_2^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |(Rx)_n|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 = \|x\|_2^2,$$

so  $R$  is an isometry and  $\|R\| = 1$ . Similarly

$$\|Lx\|_2^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_{n+1}|^2 \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 = \|x\|_2^2,$$

and taking  $x_1 = 0$  shows  $\|L\| = 1$ . Hence for both operators the spectral radius satisfies  $r(\cdot) \leq 1$ , so

$$\sigma(R), \sigma(L) \subset \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

For  $|\lambda| > 1$  we have  $\|R/\lambda\| < 1$  and  $\|L/\lambda\| < 1$ , so

$$\lambda I - R = \lambda \left( I - \frac{1}{\lambda} R \right), \quad \lambda I - L = \lambda \left( I - \frac{1}{\lambda} L \right)$$

are invertible with inverses given by the Neumann series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\frac{1}{\lambda} R)^n$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\frac{1}{\lambda} L)^n$ . Thus  $|\lambda| > 1$  lies in the resolvent set of both  $R$  and  $L$ , and so

$$\sigma(R), \sigma(L) \subset \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $R^* = L$  and  $L^* = R$ , so  $\sigma(R) = \sigma(L)$ .

## 2. Eigenvalues of $R$ and $L$ (point spectrum).

*Right shift.* If  $Rx = \lambda x$ , then

$$(0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots).$$

From the first coordinate  $\lambda x_1 = 0$ , so for any  $\lambda$  we get  $x_1 = 0$ . Then  $x_1 = \lambda x_2$  gives  $x_2 = 0$ , and inductively  $x_n = 0$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\ker(R - \lambda I) = \{0\}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , so

$$\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset.$$

*Left shift.* If  $Lx = \lambda x$ , we have

$$(x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots),$$



so  $x_{n+1} = \lambda x_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus

$$x_n = \lambda^{n-1} x_1, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then

$$\|x\|_2^2 = |x_1|^2 \sum_{n \geq 1} |\lambda|^{2(n-1)}.$$

This is finite iff  $|\lambda| < 1$ . So for  $|\lambda| < 1$  we obtain a one-dimensional eigenspace spanned by

$$v^{(\lambda)} = (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots),$$

while for  $|\lambda| \geq 1$  the only solution is  $x = 0$ . Therefore

$$\sigma_p(L) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

### 3. 0 in the residual spectrum of $R$ .

$\text{Im } R$  consists of all sequences whose first coordinate is 0. This is a closed subspace of codimension 1, hence not dense in  $\ell_2$ . Since  $R$  is injective, 0 belongs to the residual spectrum of  $R$ .

### 4. Residual / continuous spectrum via the adjoint.

For any bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\overline{\text{Im}(T - \lambda I)}^\perp = \ker((T - \lambda I)^*) = \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I).$$

In particular, if  $T - \lambda I$  is injective, then

$$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T) \iff \overline{\text{Im}(T - \lambda I)} \neq H \iff \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I) \neq \{0\}.$$

We apply this with  $T = R$  and  $T = L$ , using  $R^* = L$ ,  $L^* = R$ .

### 5. Spectra for $R$ .

We already know  $R - \lambda I$  is injective for all  $\lambda$  (no eigenvalues). For  $|\lambda| < 1$  we have  $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(L)$  by Step 2, so

$$\ker(L - \bar{\lambda} I) \neq \{0\}.$$

Hence

$$\overline{\text{Im}(R - \lambda I)}^\perp = \ker(L - \bar{\lambda} I) \neq \{0\},$$

and  $\text{Im}(R - \lambda I)$  is not dense. Thus for  $|\lambda| < 1$ ,  $\lambda$  lies in the residual spectrum of  $R$ :

$$\sigma_r(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

For  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we still have  $\ker(R - \lambda I) = \{0\}$ , but now  $\bar{\lambda}$  is not in  $\sigma_p(L)$ , so

$$\ker(L - \bar{\lambda} I) = \{0\} \implies \overline{\text{Im}(R - \lambda I)}^\perp = \{0\},$$

so  $\text{Im}(R - \lambda I)$  is dense. On the other hand, for  $|\lambda| = 1$  the vector  $e_1$  is not in the range of  $R - \lambda I$ : if  $(R - \lambda I)x = e_1$ , then coordinatewise

$$-\lambda x_1 = 1, \quad x_1 - \lambda x_2 = 0, \quad x_2 - \lambda x_3 = 0, \dots$$

which forces  $x_n = -\lambda^{-n}$  and thus  $x \notin \ell_2$ . So  $R - \lambda I$  is not surjective. Therefore

$$|\lambda| = 1 \implies \lambda \in \sigma_c(R).$$

Combining with  $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(R) \subset \{|\lambda| \leq 1\}$ , we obtain

$$\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma_c(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}, \quad \sigma_r(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

## 6. Spectra for $L$ .

We already have  $\sigma_p(L) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}$ . For residual spectrum, note that if  $\lambda \in \sigma_r(L)$  then  $L - \lambda I$  is injective but  $\text{Im}(L - \lambda I)$  is not dense, so

$$\ker((L - \lambda I)^*) = \ker(R - \bar{\lambda}I) \neq \{0\},$$

which would make  $\bar{\lambda}$  an eigenvalue of  $R$ . But  $R$  has no eigenvalues, so this is impossible; hence

$$\sigma_r(L) = \emptyset.$$

It remains to analyze  $|\lambda| = 1$ . First, for  $|\lambda| = 1$  we saw in Step 2 that  $\ker(L - \lambda I) = \{0\}$  (no eigenvectors). We now show  $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$  by constructing approximate eigenvectors.

Fix  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $x^{(N)} \in \ell_2$  by

$$x_k^{(N)} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{k-1}, & 1 \leq k \leq N, \\ 0, & k > N. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(Lx^{(N)})_k = x_{k+1}^{(N)} = \begin{cases} \lambda^k, & 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \\ 0, & k \geq N, \end{cases}$$

so

$$((L - \lambda I)x^{(N)})_k = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \\ -\lambda^N, & k = N, \\ 0, & k > N. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\|(L - \lambda I)x^{(N)}\|_2 = 1$ . Meanwhile

$$\|x^{(N)}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N |\lambda|^{2(k-1)} = N,$$

so for the unit vectors  $u^{(N)} := x^{(N)}/\sqrt{N}$  we get

$$\|u^{(N)}\|_2 = 1, \quad \|(L - \lambda I)u^{(N)}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\lambda$  were in the resolvent of  $L$ , then  $(L - \lambda I)^{-1}$  would be bounded, say  $\|(L - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \leq C$ , which would imply

$$1 = \|u^{(N)}\| \leq C \|(L - \lambda I)u^{(N)}\| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $|\lambda| = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(L)$ .

Finally, for such  $\lambda$ ,

$$\overline{\text{Im}(L - \lambda I)}^\perp = \ker((L - \lambda I)^*) = \ker(R - \bar{\lambda}I) = \{0\},$$

since  $R$  has no eigenvalues. So  $\text{Im}(L - \lambda I)$  is dense. We already know  $L - \lambda I$  is not invertible (since  $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ ) and has trivial kernel, so it cannot be surjective. Therefore

$$|\lambda| = 1 \implies \lambda \in \sigma_c(L).$$

□