CO 367 Practice Problems for Final

December 7, 2023

1 Unconstrained Optimality Conditions

Find an example showing:

1. The second order necessary optimality conditions for unconstrained optimization are not sufficient.

Solution. We find a function f(x) such that its gradient at \bar{x} is 0 and hessian at \bar{x} is positive semidefinite, but it is not a local minimizer. Let $f(x,y) = x^3 + y^3$. Then

$$\nabla f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x^2 \\ 3y^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla^2 f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 6x & 0 \\ 0 & 6y \end{bmatrix}$$

At (0,0), $\nabla f(0,0) = (0,0)^T$ and $\nabla^2 f(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So this satisfies the necessary optimality conditions, but it is a saddle point.

2. The second order sufficient optimality conditions for unconstrained optimization are not necessary.

Solution. We find a function f(x) such that \bar{x} is a strict local minimizer, but the hessian at \bar{x} is not positive definite. Let $f(x) = x^4 + y^4$. Then

$$\nabla f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 4x^3 \\ 4y^3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nabla^2 f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 12x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 12y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

At (0,0), $\nabla f(0,0) = (0,0)^T$ and $\nabla^2 f(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$. So the hessian is not positive definite.

2 Constrained Optimality Conditions

Find an explicit optimal solution to the following problems:

1. $\min\{c^T x : Ax = b, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Solution. We use second order necessary condition: Let x^* be a local minimizer and LICQ holds at x^* . Then x^* is a KKT point with unique lagrange multipliers λ^* , μ^* such that

$$\langle \nabla^2_{x,x} L(x^*,\lambda^*,\mu^*) d, d \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall d \in C(x^*,\lambda^*,\mu^*)$$

Suppose we have a local minimizer \bar{x} that is a KKT point and KKT pair (\bar{x}, μ) . We derive it using the necessary conditions. The lagrangian is

$$L(\bar{x}, \mu) = c^T \bar{x} + \mu^T (A\bar{x} - b)$$

Then

$$\nabla_x L(\bar{x}, \mu) = c + A^T \mu, \quad \nabla^2_{x,x} L(\bar{x}, \mu) = 0$$

The KKT conditions are

$$\nabla_x L(\bar{x}, \mu) = c + A^T \mu = 0$$
$$A\bar{x} - b = 0$$

 $\langle \nabla^2_{x,x} L(x^*,\lambda^*,\mu^*)d,d \rangle = 0$ for all d, so we just need \bar{x} that satisfies the KKT conditions. So the local minimizer is $\bar{x} = A^{-1}b$ along with $\mu = -A^{-T}c$. idk

2. $\min\{c^T x : e^T x = 1, x \ge 0\}$

Solution.

3 Constrained Equivalent Optimality Conditions

Is the following claim true or false? Justify your answer.

Consider the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\min \quad f(x)$$
s.t. $c_i(x) = 0, \quad i \in \mathcal{E}$

Assume LICQ holds for this problem. We consider the following equivalent problem (equivalent geometrically)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \quad f(x) \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad c_i^2(x) = 0, \quad i \in \mathcal{E} \end{aligned}$$

Let x^* be a KKT point of the above problem, then x^* satisfies

$$0 = \nabla f(x^*) + 2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \lambda^* c_i(x^*) \nabla c_i(x^*)$$
$$0 = c_i(x^*) \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{E}$$

where λ_i^* are the corresponding Lagrangian multipliers. By rearrangement, we have $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. This implies for equality constrained optimization problems, we can get the equivalent first order necessary optimal condition as for the unconstrained optimization problem. **Solution.**

4 Duality

Find the dual problem of the following problem and the dual of the dual problem.

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad x^T A x + 2b^T x$$
s.t. $||x||_2 \le 1$

where $A \in \mathcal{S}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (Use the generalized inverse to derive the dual). **Solution.**

5 Question 5

Given the following optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0} e^{-x}$$
s.t.
$$\frac{x^2}{y} \le 0$$

1. Show that this is a convex optimization problem, find its global minimizer, and verify if Slater condition holds. **Solution.** First, $f(x) = e^{-x}$ is a convex function since

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = e^{-\lambda x - (1 - \lambda)y} \le \lambda e^{-x} + (1 - \lambda)e^{-y} = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

Then, the feasible region is

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, y) : \frac{x^2}{y} \le 0, y > 0 \right\}$$

Since $x^2 \ge 0$ and y > 0, the only way that $\frac{x^2}{y} \le 0$ is when x = 0. So the feasible region is

$$\Omega = \{(0, y) : y > 0\}$$

This is a convex set since

$$\lambda(0, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)(0, y_2) = (0, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2)$$

Since $y_1, y_2 > 0$, we get $\lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2 > 0$. So $(0, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \in \Omega$. So this is a convex optimization problem.

Now we find its global minimizer. Since the feasible region is $\Omega=\{(0,y):y>0\}$, the global minimizer is (0,y) for any y>0 (the objective function does not depend on y). For a point to satisfy the Slater condition, we need $\frac{\bar{x}^2}{\bar{y}}\leq 0$, however, $\bar{x}=0$, so $\frac{\bar{x}^2}{\bar{y}}=0$. So the Slater condition does not hold.

2. Find the dual problem of this problem; find the optimal solution of the dual problem; compute the duality gap. **Solution.** The Lagrangian is

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda \left(\frac{x^2}{y}\right)$$

The dual function is

$$\begin{aligned} q(\lambda) &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0} L(x, y, \lambda) \\ &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0} e^{-x} + \lambda \left(\frac{x^2}{y}\right) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

since x is always 0

So the dual problem is

$$\max_{\lambda \ge 0} 1$$

The optimal solution for the dual is any $\lambda \geq 0$. The optimal value is 1 for the dual. The optimal value is $e^0 = 1$ for the primal. So the duality gap is 1 - 1 = 0.