

CO 367

272284444

September 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Lecture 1-Preliminaries	2
1.2	Lecture 2	5
2	Unconstrained Optimization	6
2.1	Lecture 2	6
2.2	Lecture 3	8
2.3	Lecture 4	9
3	Linear Least Squares & Solving Linear Systems	12
3.1	Lecture 5	12
3.2	Lecture 6	14
3.3	Lecture 7	16

1 Introduction

1.1 Lecture 1-Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 – Quadratic Form

Let A be a symmetric matrix and $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$. The **quadratic form** Q of the matrix A is defined as

$$Q = x^T A x$$

Problem 1.1 – Example

Consider the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -5 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The quadratic form of A is

$$Q(x) = 5x_1^2 - 10x_1x_2 + x_2^2$$

Definition 1.2 – Classification of Quadratic Forms

Let Q be a quadratic form of a matrix A . Then Q is

1. positive definite if $Q(x) > 0$ for all non-zero vectors x , and $Q(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. Or all eigenvalues of A are positive.
2. positive semidefinite if $Q(x) \geq 0$ for all vectors x , with $Q(x) = 0$ occurring for some non-zero vectors x . Or all eigenvalues of A are non-negative.
3. negative definite if $Q(x) < 0$ for all non-zero vectors x , and $Q(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. Or all eigenvalues of A are negative.
4. negative semidefinite if $Q(x) \leq 0$ for all vectors x , with $Q(x) = 0$ occurring for some non-zero vectors x . Or all eigenvalues of A are non-positive.
5. indefinite if $Q(x)$ can be positive or negative. Or there are positive and negative eigenvalues for A .

Definition 1.3 – Big O and little o

Big O is basically the rate of growth of that function. A function $f(n)$ is of order 1, or $O(1)$ if there exists some non zero constant c such that

$$\frac{f(n)}{c} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Little o is the upper bound of the rate of growth of that function. Therefore, a function $f(n)$ is of order 1, or $o(1)$ if for all constants $c > 0$,

$$\frac{f(n)}{c} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.4 – Differentiability Based on Big o and Little o

If f is differentiable at $x = a$, then

$$f(a + h) = f(a) + f'(a)h + o(h)$$

Conversely, if there exists constants A and B such that

$$f(a+h) = A + Bh + o(h)$$

then f is differentiable at $x = a$. Moreover, $A = f(a)$ and $B = f'(a)$.

Definition 1.5 – Product Rule

If f, g are differentiable at $x = a$, then

$$f(a+h) = f(a) + f'(a)h + o(h), \quad g(a+h) = g(a) + g'(a)h + o(h)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(a+h) &= f(a+h)g(a+h) \\ &= f(a)g(a) + [f(a)g'(a) + g(a)f'(a)]h + o(h) \end{aligned}$$

Then by above theorem, $p = fg$ is differentiable at $x = a$, and $p'(a) = f(a)g'(a) + g(a)f'(a)$.

Definition 1.6 – Chain Rule

WIP

Definition 1.7 – Inner Product Space

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, represented as:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The inner product space is defined as:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \quad (\text{dot product})$$

The angle between vectors x and y is given by $\cos(\theta) = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\| \|y\|}$.

With corresponding norm to be the Euclidean Norm

Definition 1.8 – Open ball

Given $\delta > 0$, $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the open ball $B_\delta(\bar{x}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - \bar{x}\| < \delta\}$

Definition 1.9 – map

Suppose the map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.10 – open set

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, D open set. $\forall x \in D, \exists \delta > 0$, s.t $B_\delta(x) \subset D$

Definition 1.11 – differ

We define f to be in C^1, C^2 on an open set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted $f \in C^1(D), C^2(D)$, respectively, if the partial first $\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i}$ and second $\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ derivatives exist and are continuous for all i, j , respectively. We then get the gradient vector in \mathbb{R}^n and the $n \times n$ symmetric Hessian matrix, respectively denoted as:

$$\nabla f(x) = \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \nabla^2 f(x) = \left[\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Here, \mathbb{S}^n is the vector space of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices.

Definition 1.12 – General Nonlinear opt. function NLO

The general problem of nonlinear optimization, denoted NLO, is defined as follows: Given C^2 -smooth functions $f, g_i, h_j : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, p$, where D is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , the objective is to find the optimal value p^* and an optimum x^* of NLO, represented as:

$$p^* := \min f(x) \text{ s.t. } g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, h_j(x) = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, p, x \in D$$

If f, g_i, h_i are all **affine** function and $D = \mathbb{R}^2$, then we have an LP

Definition 1.13 – affine

$$f(x) = Ax + b \tag{1}$$

where $b \neq 0$

Definition 1.14 – Types of Minimality

Consider $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\bar{x} \in D$ is:

- a *global minimizer* for f on D if $f(\bar{x}) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in D$.
- a *strict global minimizer* for f on D if $f(\bar{x}) < f(x)$ for all $x \in D$ where $x \neq \bar{x}$.
- a *local minimizer* for f on D if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(\bar{x}) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in D \cap B_\delta(\bar{x})$.
- a *strict local minimizer* for f on D if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(\bar{x}) < f(x)$ for all $x \in D \cap B_\delta(\bar{x})$ where $x \neq \bar{x}$.

Definition 1.15 – Linear Approximation

Suppose f is a function that is differentiable on an interval I containing the point a . The **linear approximation** to f at a is the linear function

$$L(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a)$$

for $x \in I$.

Definition 1.16 – Quadratic Approximation

Similar as above, the **quadratic approximation** to f at a is the quadratic function

$$Q(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \frac{1}{2}f''(a)(x - a)^2$$

for $x \in I$.

Definition 1.17 – Formal Definition of Derivative

The **derivative** of f at a is defined as

$$f'(a) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$$

if the limit exists.

An alternate definition is

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}$$

1.2 Lecture 2

Definition 1.18 – General NLO/NLP

A **Non-linear Optimization Problem (NLP)** is of the following form:

$$\underbrace{p^*}_{\text{Optimal Value}} = \min \underbrace{f(x)}_{\text{Objective function}}$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) = (g_i(x)) &\leq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ h(x) = (h_j(x)) &= 0 \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned}$$

Problem 1.2 – Example

$$\min (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 - x_2 &\leq 0 & (g_1(x) \leq 0) \\ x_1 + x_2 - 2 &\leq 0 & (g_2(x) \leq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.19 – Contour

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the **contour** of a function f is

$$C_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = \alpha\}$$

Definition 1.20 – Feasible Set

The **feasible set** is

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \leq 0, h(x) = 0, x \in D\}$$

(Is D the domain??)

Definition 1.21 – Gradient

The **gradient** of f is

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

For the optimal solution x^* , we have

$$\alpha \nabla f(x^*) = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1(x^*) + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2(x^*)$$

for some $\alpha, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will see later that we can choose $\alpha = 1$ and we need $\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0$.

Problem 1.3 – Max-cut Problem

Given a weighted graph $G = (\underbrace{V}_{\text{vertices}}, \underbrace{E}_{\text{edges}}, \underbrace{w}_{\text{weight}})$, a **cut** is $U \subseteq V, U \neq \emptyset$. The objective function

$$\max \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i \in U, j \notin U \\ (i,j) \in E}} w_{i,j}$$

maximizes the sum of edges in a cut.

Formulating as an NLP, we introduce variables $x_i \in \{\pm 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the Max-cut problem (MC) is as follows:

$$\max \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} (1 - x_i x_j)$$

Why 1/2 s.t.

$$x_i \in \{\pm 1\} \quad (\text{equivalent to } x_i^2 = 1) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

This works because

$$1 - x_i x_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i = x_j \quad (i, j \text{ in the same set, } U \text{ or } U^c) \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

MC is a **quadratically constrained quadratic program** (QOP) since each constraint $x_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ is equivalent to the quadratic constraint $x_i^2 = 1$. Note that MC is an NP-hard problem.

2 Unconstrained Optimization

2.1 Lecture 2

Problem 2.1 – Simplest Case - No Constraints

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Assume f is sufficiently smooth (differentiable) then the NLP with no constraints is

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x)$$

Theorem 2.1 – Taylor's Theorem on the real line

Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\bar{x}, x \in (a, b)$, then there exists z strictly between x, \bar{x} such that

$$f(x) = f(\bar{x}) + f'(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x}) + \frac{f''(z)}{2}(x - \bar{x})^2$$

or equivalently

$$f(\bar{x} + \delta x) = \underbrace{f(x) + f'(x)\delta x}_{\text{Linear approximation}} + o(|\delta x|) \text{ (little O)}$$

Lemma 2.1 – Directional Derivative

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{x}, d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where d is the direction. We define

$$\phi(\epsilon) = f(\bar{x} + \epsilon d) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Then the **directional derivative**, denoted $f'(x; d)$ of f at x at the direction d is

$$f'(x; d) = \phi'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \epsilon d) - f(x)}{\epsilon} = \nabla f(x)^T d$$

Problem 2.2

Let $f(x, y, z) = x^2z + y^3z^2 - xyz$ with $d = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ Then the **directional derivative** in the direction d is

$$\nabla f(x, y, z)^T d = \begin{pmatrix} 2xz - yz \\ 3y^2z^2 - xz \\ x^2 + 2y^3z - xy \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = -2xz + yz + 3x^2 + 6y^3z - 3xy$$

Corollary 2.1

Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

1. If \bar{x} is a **local minimizer** of f on (a, b) , then $f'(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $f''(\bar{x}) \geq 0$.
2. If $f'(\bar{x}) = 0$, $f''(\bar{x}) > 0$ then \bar{x} is a **strict local minimizer** of f .

Definition 2.1 – Hessian

The **Hessian** of f at $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ is the matrix

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 2.2 – Multivariate Taylor

Consider a C^2 -smooth function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If \bar{x} and x are such that the segment $[\bar{x}, x] := \{\bar{x} + t(x - \bar{x}) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is contained in U , then there exists a point $z \in [\bar{x}, x]$ such that

$$f(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(z)(x - \bar{x}), (x - \bar{x}) \rangle$$

Lemma 2.2

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$v = 0 \iff \langle v, d \rangle = 0, \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

2.2 Lecture 3**Definition 2.2 – Matrix Norm**

$$\|Q\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Qx\| = \text{Largest singular value of } A$$

Definition 2.3

Define f, D, \bar{x} , D is an open set Then:

1. Nec: If \bar{x} is a local minimum for f on D , then $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $\nabla^2 f(\bar{x}) \succeq 0$ is positive semidefinite.
2. Suff: If $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$, $\nabla^2 f(\bar{x}) \succ 0$ is positive definite then \bar{x} is a strict local minimum of f on D .

Proof. 1. updated later after I confirmed some details with the professor □

Definition 2.4 – Critical/Stationary Points

A point $\bar{x} \in U$ is a critical point of a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ exists and satisfies $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$.

Problem 2.3 – Algorithm to Find Local Minimizer

Given $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f'(\bar{x}) \neq 0$, then $x_{new} = \bar{x} - (\text{step}) * f'(\bar{x})$.

The idea is that if $f'(\bar{x}) > 0$, then we know that the function is increasing at \bar{x} , so we want to move to the left to obtain the minimum. Similarly, if $f'(\bar{x}) < 0$, then we know that the function is decreasing at \bar{x} , so we want to move to the right to obtain the minimum.

Problem 2.4

Given $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(\epsilon) = f(\bar{x} + \epsilon d)$

using Taylor expansion $f(\bar{x} + \epsilon d) = f(\bar{x}) + \epsilon \nabla f(\bar{x})^T d + o(\|\epsilon\|)$ **shouldnt be ϵd ? or d is the unit vector**

let $d = -\nabla f(\bar{x}) / \|\nabla f(\bar{x})\|$, $f(\bar{x}) - \epsilon \|\nabla f(\bar{x})\|^2 + o(\epsilon) < f(\bar{x})$ (if $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \neq 0$)

i.e test nec condition

If $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \neq 0$, then $x_{new} = \bar{x} + \epsilon(-\nabla f(\bar{x}))$ Move to the deepest direction

Definition 2.5 – Cauchy's method of steepest descent

<https://www.math.usm.edu/lambers/mat419/lecture10.pdf> $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \approx 0? \text{ IF yes Stop}$$

O.W, find a $\alpha > 0$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k)$$

repeat

Problem 2.5 – Example of finding global and local minimizers

Find global and local minimizers of $f(x, y) = x^3 - 12xy + 8y^3$.

We first find the gradient and the Hessian:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 3x^2 - 12y \\ -12x + 24y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -6x & -12 \\ -12 & 48y \end{bmatrix}$$

We can find the critical points when we solve for $\nabla f(x, y) = 0$. Solving it, we get solutions $(0, 0)$ or $(2, 1)$. The Hessian at $(0, 0)$ is

$$\nabla^2 f(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -12 \\ -12 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 f(0, 0)$ are $-12, 12$. Therefore it is indefinite. So $(0, 0)$ is a saddle point.

The Hessian at $(2, 1)$ is

$$\nabla^2 f(2, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} -12 & -12 \\ -12 & 48 \end{bmatrix}$$

Checking all leading principal minors, we see that they are all positive. So $\nabla^2 f(2, 1)$ is positive definite. So $(2, 1)$ is a local minimizer.

2.3 Lecture 4**Definition 2.6 – Principal Submatrices**

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 6 & 8 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \{1, 3\}, \quad A[I] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $A[I]$ is a **principal submatrix** of A .

Definition 2.7 – Principal Minors

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$, where \mathbb{S}^n is the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices.

1. $\det(A[I])$ is called the **principal minor** of A .
2. If $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$ then $\det(A[I])$ is called the **leading principal minor** of A .

Proposition 2.1 – Characterizing Positive Definiteness with Principal Minors

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then

1. $A \succeq 0 \iff \det(A[I]) \geq 0$ for all principal minors $\det(A[I])$.
2. $A \succ 0 \iff \det(A[I]) > 0$ for all **leading** principal minors $\det(A[I])$.

Definition 2.8 – Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

$0 \neq v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an **eigenvector** of A if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Av = \lambda v$. The number λ is called an **eigenvalue** of A .

Theorem 2.3 – Finding Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

Let A be a matrix.

1. Set up the characteristic equation. We find

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

2. Solve for λ . These are the eigenvalues.
3. Plug eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ into $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$ and solve for v . These are the eigenvectors.

Theorem 2.4 – Orthogonal Spectral Decomposition

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then A has an **orthogonal spectral decomposition**

$$A = \sum_i \lambda_i u_i u_i^T = U D U^T$$

where U is orthogonal with the orthogonal eigenvectors u_i as columns and D is a diagonal matrix with real eigenvalues on the diagonal.

Corollary 2.2

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then

1. $A \succeq 0$ (positive semidefinite) iff all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative.
2. $A \succ 0$ (positive definite) iff all eigenvalues of A are positive.

Proposition 2.2

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. The following are equivalent (Positive definite):

1. $A \succ 0$.
2. All the eigenvalues of A are in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n , the interior of the nonnegative orthant.
3. A has a real symmetric positive definite square root, $A = SS$, $S \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$.
4. A has a lower triangular factorization, a Cholesky factorization, $A = LL^T$ and L has positive diagonal elements.
5. All principal minors of A are positive.
6. All leading principal minors of A are positive.

And the following are equivalent (Positive semidefinite):

1. $A \succeq 0$.
2. All the eigenvalues of A are in \mathbb{R}_+^n , the nonnegative orthant.
3. A has a real symmetric square root, $A = SS$, $S \in \mathbb{S}^n$.
4. A has a lower triangular factorization, a Cholesky factorization, $A = LL^T$.
5. All principal minors of A are nonnegative.

Problem 2.6 – Motivation

When can we guarantee that global minimizers of $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exist?

For example, the real valued function on \mathbb{R} $f(x) = e^x$ is bounded below by 0 but has no minimizers. The minimum value is 0 but is not attained.

Proposition 2.3 – Weierstrass Extreme Value Theorem

If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and if $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed and bounded set, then f is bounded below and the minimum value is attained on D .

Definition 2.9 – Coercive function

A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **coercive** if for any sequence x_i with $\|x_i\| \rightarrow \infty$, it must be the case that $f(x_i) \rightarrow +\infty$. In other words,

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = +\infty$$

Here are some examples:

1. $f_1(x) = x^2$ is coercive.
2. $g(x) = x$ is not coercive (because as $x \rightarrow -\infty$, $g(x) \rightarrow -\infty \neq \infty$).
3. $h(x) = e^x$ is not coercive.

Proposition 2.4 – Coercive Functions and Minimizers

A coercive function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a global minimizer.

Definition 2.10 – Level Sets

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. An α -level set of f is defined by

$$L_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = \alpha\}$$

That is, all points x such that $f(x) = \alpha$.

- When $n = 2$, we call this a level curve.
- When $n = 3$, we call this a level surface.
- When $n > 3$, we call this a level hypersurface.

Definition 2.11 – Sub-level set

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. An α -sublevel set of f is defined by

$$S_\alpha(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

That is, all points x below the line $f(x) = \alpha$.

3 Linear Least Squares & Solving Linear Systems

3.1 Lecture 5

Problem 3.1 – Motivation For Least Squares

Suppose we have a series of observed values from an experiment:

$$\{(t_1, s_1), (t_2, s_2), \dots, (t_m, s_m)\}$$

where t_i is the time and s_i is the observed value at time t_i . We want to find a polynomial function

$$p(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + \dots + x_n t^n$$

that fits the data. So we want to find coefficients x_0, \dots, x_n such that $p(t_i) \approx s_i$ for all i . More formally, we want to minimize the absolute value of the error of each term. The error (ℓ_1 norm) is defined as

$$|e_i| = |p(t_i) - s_i|$$

This can be formulated into a ℓ_1 norm minimization problem:

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |p(t_i) - s_i| : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$$

This is a non-differentiable optimization problem since we have absolute values which make it not smooth. So we can reformulate it as a linear program:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} s_i - p(t_i) &\leq \lambda_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m \\ p(t_i) - s_i &\leq \lambda_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

This minimization problem is called **compressive sensing**.

Definition 3.1 – Vandermonde Matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \dots & t_1^n \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & \dots & t_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_m & t_m^2 & \dots & t_m^n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+1)}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

A is called a **Vandermonde matrix**.

Theorem 3.1

The Vandermonde Matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \cdots & t_1^n \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & \cdots & t_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_m & t_m^2 & \cdots & t_m^n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+1)}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is full column rank if $n + 1 \leq m$ and the points t_i are distinct.

Definition 3.2 – ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 Norm

The ℓ_1 norm of a vector x is defined to be

$$\|x\| = \sum |x_i|$$

The ℓ_2 norm of a vector x is defined to be

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\sum x_i^2}$$

Problem 3.2 – Linear Least Squares Problem

Recall our ℓ_1 norm minimization problem:

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |p(t_i) - s_i| : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$$

We can instead use ℓ_2 norm defined as $\|e\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum e_i^2}$. So our ℓ_2 minimization problem is

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (p(t_i) - s_i)^2 : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$$

where $p(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + \cdots + x_n t^n$. Using the Vandermonde matrix, we can rewrite our problem to be

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \cdots & t_1^n \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & \cdots & t_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_m & t_m^2 & \cdots & t_m^n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+1)}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The objective function is $g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$. Let's first expand $g(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Ax)^T Ax - (Ax)^T b + \frac{1}{2} \|b\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T A^T Ax - x^T A^T b + \frac{1}{2} \|b\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the definition of linear transformation definition of the gradient (**WTF is this**), we have

$$\nabla g(x) = A^T Ax - A^T b$$

To find the critical points, we solve for $\nabla g(x) = 0$. So the critical points are x^* that satisfy the equation

$$A^T Ax = A^T b$$

This is also called a **normal equation**.

also something about the condition number, i dont really understand.

Definition 3.3 – Singular Values of a Matrix

The singular values of a matrix A are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the matrix $A^T A$. They are always non-negative real numbers.

The number of non-zero singular values of a matrix equals the rank of that matrix.

Definition 3.4 – Condition Number of a Matrix

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m > n$ is full column rank. The condition number of the matrix A , $\text{cond}(A)$, is the ratio of the largest to smallest nonzero singular values of A . Let σ_{\max} be the largest singular value and σ_{\min} be the smallest singular value. Then

$$\text{cond}(A) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}}$$

Definition 3.5 – Frechet Derivative

Let $h : U \rightarrow W$, where U is an open subset of V , and V, W are finite dimensional vector spaces. The function h is **Frechet differentiable** at $x \in U$ if there exists a linear transformation $A : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|h(x+d) - h(x) - Ad\|}{\|d\|} = 0$$

idk

3.2 Lecture 6

Goal: Solving normal equation/non linear case

Definition 3.6 – SVD Decomposition

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then A can be factored into

$$\underbrace{A}_{m \times n} = \underbrace{U}_{m \times m} \underbrace{\Sigma}_{m \times n} \underbrace{V^T}_{n \times n}$$

where

- U is an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix consisting of eigenvectors of AA^T
- V^T is the transpose of an $n \times n$ matrix containing the eigenvectors of $A^T A$
- Σ is a diagonal matrix with $r = \text{rank}(A)$ positive eigenvalues of AA^T (Singular values of A) on the diagonal.

there is a section on piazza posted lecture notes that shows why using SVD decomposition to solve normal equation is a bad idea. Not sure if i should include here

Definition 3.7 – Orthogonal Matrix

A matrix Q is orthogonal if $Q^T Q = I$.

Definition 3.8 – Orthonormal Columns

A matrix Q has orthonormal columns if each column vector is a unit vector (norm is 1), and any two distinct columns are orthogonal (inner product is 0).

Definition 3.9 – QR Factorization

For any $m \times n$ matrix A , there exists an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix Q ($Q Q^T = I$) and an $m \times n$ upper triangular matrix R ($R_{i,j} = 0, \forall i < j$) satisfying $A = QR$. Moreover, if the columns of A are linearly independent then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} A &= QR \\ &= Q \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [Q_1 \quad Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= Q_1 R_1 \end{aligned}$$

where

- R_1 is an invertible $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix
- 0 is an $(m - n) \times n$ zero matrix
- Q_1 is an $m \times n$ matrix with orthonormal columns
- Q_2 is an $m \times (m - n)$ matrix with orthonormal columns

Theorem 3.2 – QR Factorization on Normal Equation

Assuming that the columns of A are linearly independent, then the normal equation $A^T A x = A^T b$ can be solved by applying QR factorization to A :

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A)x &= A^T b \\ ((Q_1 R_1)^T Q_1 R_1)x &= (Q_1 R_1)^T b \\ (R_1^T Q_1^T Q_1 R_1)x &= R_1^T Q_1^T b \\ R_1^T R_1 x &= R_1^T Q_1^T b \\ R_1 x &= Q_1^T b \end{aligned}$$

Since Q_1 is orthogonal

Since R_1 is invertible

Definition 3.10 – Methods of Solving General Linear Systems

Suppose we are given a linear system $Bx = b$, and we know that this system has a solution, i.e. $b \in \text{range}(B)$. There are 3 important algorithms/factorizations used to find x :

- Gaussian Elimination (LU factorization) ($PB = LU$)
- QR factorization
- SVD, singular value decomposition

Problem 3.3 – Solving Large Positive Definite Systems

Suppose we have a linear system, $Ax = b$, with A positive definite. If x^* is a solution, then $Ax^* - b = 0$. Then this is equivalent to minimizing the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2, \nabla f(x) = Ax - b = 0$$

Dont understand this and the part after as well. You will have to add more notes here. [Link to notes HERE](#)

Theorem 3.3 – Conjugate Gradient Method

The first search direction is the negative gradient,

$$v_0 = -\nabla q(x_0)$$

with $q = f$. At the k th iteration:

$$v_{k+1} = -\nabla q(x_k) + \beta_k v_k$$

where β_k is chosen to ensure $\langle Av_{k+1}, v_k \rangle = 0$. This guarantees that the directions are A -conjugate **wtf is A conjugate**. We then set

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_{k+1} v_{k+1}$$

where α_{k+1} is chosen from an exact line search (**what is line search**).

3.3 Lecture 7