## CSC165H1: Problem Set 3

## Due November 15 before 10pm

```
Question 1
(a)
P(n):
\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall a, b \in S, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ (\forall k \ge n, a_k \equiv b_k \pmod{m}) \Longrightarrow (\prod_{k=0}^{k=n} a_k \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{k=n} b_k \pmod{m})
Proof: (By math induction)
              Let a, b: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}
              Denote a(n) = a_n, b(n) = b_n
              and a, b is identified with the sequence a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots
              Let S = \{f \mid f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}\}, a, b \in S
              Let n \in \mathbb{N}
              Let k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n
              Base Case: n = 1
                                Then k \in \{0, 1\}
                                 Assume a_0 \equiv b_0 \pmod{m}
                                               a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m}
                                 Namely m \mid a_0 - b_0
                                               m | a_1 - b_1
                                 Then m \mid a_1(a_0 - b_0) + b_0(a_1 - b_1)
                                           m \mid a_0 a_1 - a_1 b_0 + a_1 b_0 - b_0 b_1
                                           m \mid a_0 a_1 - b_0 b_1
                                 Namely a_0a_1 \equiv b_0b_1 \pmod{m}
                                 So the statement is True when n = 1
              Induction step: Assume the statement is True when n = i, i \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}
                                           \left(\forall k \geq i, a_k \equiv b_k (\text{mod } m)\right) \Longrightarrow \left(\prod_{k=0}^{k=i} a_k \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{k=i} b_k \left(\text{mod } m\right)\right)
                                           (\forall k \ge i, a_k \equiv b_k \pmod{m}) \Longrightarrow (m \mid \prod_{k=0}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=0}^{k=i} b_k)
                                           By 2.18 form text book
                                           \left(\forall k \geq i, a_k \equiv b_k (\text{mod } m)\right) \Longrightarrow \left(\prod_{k=1}^{k=i} a_k \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} b_k \left(\text{mod } m\right)\right)
                                           (\forall k \ge i+1, a_k \equiv b_k \pmod{m}) \Longrightarrow (\prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} a_k \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} b_k \pmod{m})
                                           Namely
                                           (\forall k \ge i, a_k \equiv b_k \pmod{m}) \Longrightarrow (m \mid \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} b_k)
                                           (\forall k \ge i+1, a_k \equiv b_k \pmod{m}) \Longrightarrow (m \mid \prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} b_k)
                                           When n = i + 1
                                           m \mid a_{k+1}(\prod_{k=0}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=0}^{k=i} b_k) + b_0(\prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i+1} b_k)
                                           m \mid [\textstyle \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} a_k - \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} b_k ] + [a_{k+1} b_0 (\textstyle \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} b_k )]
                                           We know that m \mid \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} b_k (By assumption and 2.18)
                                           Then m \mid a_{k+1}b_0(\prod_{k=1}^{k=i} a_k - \prod_{k=1}^{k=i} b_k)
                                           Hence m \mid \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} a_k - \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} b_k
                                           Namely \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} a_k \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{k=i+1} b_k \pmod{m}
```

```
(b)
\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{n}) \colon \  \, \forall \mathsf{d} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathsf{d} > 1, \forall \mathsf{m} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \mathsf{b} \in \mathsf{S}, \mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{m}} > 0, \forall \mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}, (\forall \mathsf{i} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathsf{i} \leq \mathsf{n} \Rightarrow \mathsf{gcd}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{i}}) = 1) \Rightarrow \\
            d \nmid \prod_{i=0}^{i=n} b_i
Proof: (By math induction)
               Let n \in \mathbb{N}
               Let d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1
                Base Case: n = 0
                                     Assume \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq n \Rightarrow \gcd(d, b_i) = 1
                                     Namely gcd(d, b_0) = 1
                                     Then \exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s. t. } x_0 d + y_0 b_1 = 1
                                     x_0 d = 1 - y_0 b_0
                                     y_0b_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}
                                     y_0b_0 \cdot \frac{1}{y_0} \equiv 1 \cdot \frac{1}{y_0} \pmod{d}
                                     b_0 \equiv \frac{1}{v_0} \pmod{d}
                                     Since \frac{1}{v_0} \neq 0
                                     d \nmid b_0
                                              Assume the statement is True when n = k, k \in \mathbb{N}
               Induction step:
                                              Namely (\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq k \Rightarrow \gcd(d, b_i) = 1) \Rightarrow d \nmid \prod_{i=0}^{i=k} b_i
                                              When n = k + 1
                                              Assume \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq k+1 \Rightarrow gcd(d, b_i) = 1
                                              WTS d \nmid \prod_{i=0}^{i=k+1} b_i
                                              By 2(g) in Problem Set 2 know that
                                              \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (\gcd(a, b) = 1 \land a|bc) \Rightarrow a|c
                                              By assumption we know that gcd(d, b_{n+1}) = 1
                                              If d \mid \prod_{i=0}^{i=k+1} b_i
                                              Then \; d \mid \prod_{i=0}^{i=k} b_i \; , which is contradict to our assumption
                                              Hence d \nmid \prod_{i=0}^{i=k+1} b_i
               So far we have proved that P(n) is True
(c)
P(n): \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=n+1}^{j=2n} \frac{1}{j} > \frac{13}{24}
```

Proof: (By math induction)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Base Case: n = 2

$$\textstyle \sum_{j=n+1}^{j=2n} \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{14}{24} > \frac{13}{24}$$

So the statement is True when n = 2

Induction step: Assume the statement is True when  $n = i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Namely 
$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{j=2i} \frac{1}{j} > \frac{13}{24}$$

When 
$$n = i + 1$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{j=i+2}^{j=2i+2} \frac{1}{j} &= \Sigma_{j=i+1}^{j=2i} \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{2i+1} + \frac{1}{2i+2} - \frac{1}{i+1} \\ &= \Sigma_{j=i+1}^{j=2i} \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{2i+1} - \frac{1}{2i+2} \end{split}$$

Since  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \ge 2$ 

$$2i + 2 > 2i + 1$$

$$\frac{1}{2i+1} > \frac{1}{2i+2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2i+1} - \frac{1}{2i+2} > 0$$

Since 
$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{j=2i} \frac{1}{i} > \frac{13}{24}$$

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{j=2i} \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{2i+1} - \frac{1}{2i+2} > \frac{13}{24}$$

$$\sum_{j=i+2}^{j=2i+2} \frac{1}{i} > \frac{13}{24}$$

So far we have proved that P(n) is True

(d)

$$P(n): \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, c_n \begin{cases} 0, \text{if } n = 0 \\ c_{n-1} + 3n^2 - 3n + 1, \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} = n^3$$

Proof: (By math induction)

Let  $c: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ 

Denote  $\, c(n) = c_n$  , and c is identified with the sequence  $\, c_0$  ,  $c_1$  ,  $c_2$  ...

Let 
$$S = \{f \mid f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}\}, c \in S$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Base Case: (1) n = 0

$$c_n = 0 = 0^3 = n^3$$

② 
$$n = 1$$

$$c_n = 0 + 3 - 3 + 1 = 1^3 = n^3$$

So the statement is True when n = 0 and n = 1

Induction step: Assume the statement is True when  $n = i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Namely 
$$c_i = c_{i-1} + 3i^2 - 3i + 1 = i^3$$

When n = i + 1

$$\begin{split} c_{i+1} &= c_i + 3(i+1)^2 - 3(i+1) + 1 \\ &= c_{i-1} + 3i^2 - 3i + 1 + 3(i+1)^2 - 3(i+1) + 1 \\ &= i^3 + 3(i+1)^2 - 3(i+1) + 1 \\ &= i^3 + 3i^2 + 3i + 1 \\ &= (i+1)^3 \end{split}$$

So far we have proved that P(n) is True

Question 2

(a)

P(n): 
$$\forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n \Rightarrow \left(\frac{n}{k}\right) = \frac{n!}{k!(i-k)!}$$

Proof: (By math induction)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Let S be a set with |S| = n

We know that  $k \neq 0$  and  $k - n \neq 0$  and  $k \leq n$ 

If n = 0

Then k = n = 0, which is impossible

If n = 1

Then k = 0 or k = n = 1, which is impossible

Hence  $n \ge 2$ 

Base Case: n = 2 = |S|

Then k = 1

The number of subsets with length 1 of set S with length 2 is 2

And 
$$\frac{2!}{1!(2-1)!} = 2$$

So the statement is True when n = 2

Induction step: Assume the statement is True when  $n = i = |S|, i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Namely 
$$\left(\frac{i}{k}\right) = \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!}$$
,  $\binom{i}{k-1} = \frac{i!}{(k-1)!(i-k+1)!}$ 

When n = i + 1 = |S|

Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  be one element in set S

Split the subsets with length k of S with length i + 1 into two parts:

with c and without c

For the first part: its number is equivalent to  $\left(\frac{i}{k}\right) = \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!}$ 

For the second part: its number is equivalent to  $\left(\frac{i}{k-1}\right) = \frac{i!}{(k-1)!(i-k+1)!}$ 

Hence 
$$\left(\frac{i+1}{k}\right) = \left(\frac{i}{k}\right) + \left(\frac{i}{k-1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} + \frac{i!}{(k-1)!(i-k+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{i!(i-k+1)}{k!(i-k)!(i-k+1)} + \frac{i!k}{(k-1)!(i-k+1)!k}$$

$$= \frac{(i+1)!}{k!(i-k+1)!}$$

So far we have proved that P(n) is True

(b) 
$$\begin{split} &\text{DTP}_2 = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}\}, \{\{1,2\},\emptyset\} \} \\ &\text{DTP}_3 = \{ \{1,2\}, \{3\}\}, \{\{1,3\}, \{2\}\}, \{\{2,3\}, \{1\}\}, \{\{1,2,3\},\emptyset\} \} \end{split}$$

```
(c)
|\mathsf{DTP}_n| = \begin{cases} 1, n = 0 \\ 2^{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \end{cases}
Proof: (By math induction)
                                  Let n \in \mathbb{N}
                                   Let d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1
                                    Base Case: When n = 0
                                                                                     DTP_0 = \{\{\{\emptyset, \emptyset\}\}\}\}
                                                                                     |DTP_0| = 1, means the statement is True when n = 0
                                                                                     When n = 1
                                                                                     DTP_0 = \{\{\{1\}, \emptyset\}\}\}
                                                                                     |DTP_1| = 1, means the statement is True when n = 1
                                    Induction step: Assume the statement is True when n = i, i \in \mathbb{N}^+
                                                                                                   Namely |DTP_i| = 2^{i-1}
                                                                                                   When n = i + 1
                                                                                                   We can split DTP_{i+1} into two parts, D_1 and D_2
                                                                                                   For all elements in which have a form \{A, B\} in D_1, i \in A
                                                                                                   For all elements in which have a form {A, B} in D_2, i \in B
                                                                                                   Since |D_1| = |D_2| = |DTP_i| = 2^{i-1}
                                                                                                   |DTP_{i+1}| = |D_1| + |D_2| = 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} = 2^i
                                       So far we have proved that |DTP_n| = \begin{cases} 1, n = 0 \\ 2^{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \end{cases}
Question 3
(a)
Theorem 5.8:
\forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, (\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow f(n) \geq 1) \Rightarrow ((\exists c_1, c_2, n_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \Rightarrow n_2 \neq n_1 \neq n_2 
c_1f(n) \leq \lfloor f(n) \rfloor \leq c_2f(n)) \land (\exists c_3, c_4, n_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_2 \Rightarrow c_3f(n) \leq \lceil f(n) \rceil \leq c_4f(n))
Proof: Let f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}
                                  Assume \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, n \ge n_0 \Rightarrow f(n) \ge 1
                                  ① Take c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, c_2 = 1, n_1 = n_0
                                  Then f(n) \ge 1
                                    We know that f(n) - 1 \le |f(n)|
                                    Case1: f(n) \ge 2
                                                                Then |f(n)| - c_1 f(n)
                                                                                          > f(n) - 1 - c_1 f(n)
                                                                                        =\frac{1}{2}\cdot f(n)-1
                                                                 Since f(n) \ge 2
                                                                                       \frac{1}{2} \cdot f(n) - 1 \ge 0
```

Which means  $c_1 f(n) \le [f(n)]$ 

```
Then [f(n)] = 1 and c_1 f(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot f(n) \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
                   Which means c_1 f(n) \le \lfloor f(n) \rfloor
          In all c_1 f(n) \leq [f(n)]
          We know that [f(n)] \le f(n)
          Hence [f(n)] \le c_2 f(n) = 1 \cdot f(n) = f(n)
          ②Take c_3 = 1, c_4 = 2, n_2 = n_0
          Then f(n) \ge 1
          We know that f(n) \leq [f(n)]
          Hence c_3 f(n) = 1 \cdot f(n) = f(n) \le \lceil f(n) \rceil
          We know that f(n) \ge 1, \lceil f(n) \rceil < f(n) + 1, namely \lceil f(n) \rceil < 2f(n)
          Hence [f(n)] < c_4 f(n) = 2f(n)
(b)
WTS \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, (b > a \land a > 1) \Longrightarrow (\forall c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, (n \ge n_0) \land (b^n > ca^n))
Proof: Let a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+
          Assume b > a \land a > 1
          Let c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+
          Take n = max\{n_0, log_{\frac{b}{a}}(c+1)\}
          Then n \ge n_0
          And (\frac{b}{a})^n = c + 1 > c
          \frac{b^n}{a^n} > c
          Since a^n > 0
          b^n > ca^n
(c)
①First we prove that every two iterations of the loop reduces r0 by at least half:
Proof: Let a, b \in \mathbb{N}
          To guarantee the first iteration, we need b \neq 0
          To guarantee the second iteration, we need b \nmid a
          Let t_1, s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, by Quotient – Remainder Theorem we have a = t_1b + s_1, s_1 < b
          Since b \nmid a, s_1 \neq 0
          Let t_2, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, by Quotient – Reaminder Theorem we have b = t_2 s_1 + s_2, s_2 < s_1
          s_2 might = 0
          In first iteration: quotient = t_1
                                 r0 = b
                                 r1 = s_1
          In second iteration: quotient = t_2
                                      r0 = s_1
                                      r1 = s_2
```

Case2:  $1 \le f(n) < 2$ 

Case 1: 
$$b > \frac{a}{2}$$

Then  $t_1 = 1$ ,  $s_1 = a - t_1 b$ 

Since  $a - t_1 b < \frac{a}{2}$ 
 $s_1 < \frac{a}{2}$ 

Case 2:  $b < \frac{a}{2}$ 

Since  $b > s_1$ 
 $s_1 < \frac{a}{2}$ 

So far we have proved every two iterations of the loop reduces r0 by at least half  $\$  ②We showed in  $\$  ① that every two iterations of the loop reduces r0 by at least half. So for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , either the loop terminates with in 2k loops, or the value of r0 has decreased by at least a factor of  $\$   $2^k$ 

Since r0 is initialized to a, we know that  $\ r0_k \leq \frac{a}{2^k}$ 

The loop terminates when  $\ r0 \le 1$ , and this occurs when  $\ n \le 2^k, i.e. \ k \ge lg \ a$  After adding the return step, the loop will run for at most  $\ 2\lceil lg \ a \rceil + 1$  steps Since each iteration takes constant time The total run time is  $\ O(lg \ a)$