

Final Project

Jonathan Cai, Keyi Chen, Adam Aldad, and Jean-Sébastien Gaultier

March 6, 2024

1 Introduction

In our final project, we replicated the first two tables of "Factor Demand and Factor Returns" by Cameron Peng and Chen Wang found [here](#). The paper covers the persistence of factor demand and reveals the prevalence of factor rebalancing; We focus on the paper's discussion of factor demands. Table 1 summarizes a sample of US domestic equity mutual funds from 1980 to 2019, and table 2 summarizes the distribution of factor betas for mutual funds.

2 Replicating Table 1

2.1 Retrieving the Data

We pulled our data from WRDS' Monthly Total Net Assets, Returns, and Net Asset Values table found [here](#). The paper only uses US domestic equity mutual funds in their analysis. Accordingly, we pulled data from WRDS' [Style attributes for each fund](#) table in order to filter the data. Our quarterly fund holdings data was pulled from the [Thomson-Reuters Mutual Fund Holdings \(s12\)](#) dataset.

2.2 Cleaning the Data

We found this part of the replication process challenging - first, finding an optimal way to filter the data to only "US domestic equity" took various trials and errors. However, we discovered the **crsp_obj_cd** column of the **crsp.fund_style** table to be the best way to achieve this filter. Furthermore, when using the fund-level identifier **wfican**, we discovered a handful of occurrences where one **crsp_fundno** matches with multiple **wfican**. We suspected it could have to do something with delisting / merging of funds, and ultimately decided to drop these samples based on the descriptions in the paper. After obtaining the appropriate **wfican** values, we computed the yearly returns by first computing each fund's monthly returns. At this point, we ran into another issue: in our attempt replicate the paper's use of **mtna**, we noticed that not all **mtna** values are available. To solve this issue, we decided to use simple average instead because we expected different share classes of a given mutual fund to have similar returns. We then merged the TNA and yearly return information and created a table with the following head:

Yearly Returns and Year End TNA

	wfican	year	<i>crsp</i> _{TNA}	yret
0	100001	1990	169.57	0.03
1	100001	1991	330.03	0.30
2	100001	1992	596.27	0.06
3	100001	1993	857.67	0.06
4	100001	1994	876.19	-0.01

...

We then followed a similar process when preparing the S12 data. As such, we ran into tangentially similar issues: missing TNA values, minor discrepancies between **mfink1** and **mfink2**, and some

Domestic Equity

	wfci	year	assets	useqTNA
0	100001.00	1990	16957.00	161803.10
1	100001.00	1991	33003.00	314952.40
2	100001.00	1992	59627.00	578201.50
3	100001.00	1993	84286.00	821482.00
4	100001.00	1994	92961.00	896403.43

...

troubles with filtering the data to Domestic Equity. After solving these issues through various methods, we formed a table describing the S12 TNA data. The head of this table is shown above.

Finally, we merged the CRSP and S12 data, and ultimately created a close [replication](#) of Table 1 of the paper.

3 Replicating Table 2

Then, we moved on to replicating table 2. We found much more success in replicating this table; the main challenge of this project was re-creating the original dataset. We began by using the same sample of merged CRSP and S12 data that we computed in table 1. After further cleaning and preparing the data, we created a table for CRSP Mutual Fund Data to get the main sample's monthly returns. We present the latter end of the table.

To obtain the Fama French Factor returns, we pulled factor returns **df_ff** from [Kenneth R. French's Website](#). We then merged the CRSP dataset with a Fama-French dataset based on dates and calculated investment flow for each unique 'wfci' identifier as the percentage change in total net assets adjusted for returns as follows:

$$\text{flow}_{i,t} = \frac{\text{TNA}_{i,t}}{\text{TNA}_{i,t-1}} \times (1 + \text{ret}_{i,t})$$

Again, we present the latter end of this table.

To replicate Panel A, for each fund i in month t , we run the following rolling time-series regression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ret}_{i,t+1-k} = & \alpha_{i,t} + \beta_{\text{MKT}i,t} \times \text{MKT}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{HML}i,t} \times \text{HML}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{SMB}i,t} \times \text{SMB}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{MOM}i,t} \times \text{MOM}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{CMA}i,t} \times \text{CMA}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{RMW}i,t} \times \text{RMW}_{t+1-k} \\ & + \beta_{\text{flow}i,t} \times \text{flow}_{i,t+1-k} \\ & + \epsilon_{i,t,t+1-k} \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, 60$. For Panel B, we classified funds according to Lipper mutual fund classifications and repeated the same regression. Finally, for Panel C, we classified the funds according to index fund status and repeated the process.

Replication of Table 1

	year	<i>crsp</i> _{TNA}		yret	
		mean	median	mean	median
0	1980	135.38	53.50	0.36	0.36
1	1981	154.00	55.03	-0.04	-0.04
2	1982	169.27	67.98	0.24	0.25
3	1983	228.68	84.00	0.18	0.18
4	1984	225.05	79.50	-0.04	-0.04
5	1985	201.82	91.90	0.27	0.27
6	1986	228.07	83.51	0.12	0.13
7	1987	222.74	66.28	0.00	0.01
8	1988	223.77	64.01	0.14	0.14
9	1989	259.74	71.32	0.25	0.26
10	1990	362.16	106.15	-0.04	-0.03
11	1991	416.54	114.86	0.34	0.31
12	1992	361.51	111.59	0.07	0.07
13	1993	429.68	113.84	0.11	0.10
14	1994	417.67	104.85	-0.01	-0.01
15	1995	661.12	146.66	0.29	0.31
16	1996	882.71	170.90	0.18	0.19
17	1997	1063.56	183.65	0.21	0.24
18	1998	1223.19	171.00	0.13	0.13
19	1999	1390.16	179.75	0.26	0.18
20	2000	1317.95	204.40	0.01	-0.02
21	2001	1034.07	156.35	-0.10	-0.11
22	2002	723.14	124.40	-0.21	-0.22
23	2003	1009.79	168.10	0.34	0.31
24	2004	1137.75	200.10	0.13	0.12
25	2005	1266.91	228.30	0.08	0.07
26	2006	1478.86	257.70	0.15	0.13
27	2007	1248.73	210.30	0.06	0.05
28	2008	782.02	124.90	-0.38	-0.38
29	2009	1120.28	190.35	0.32	0.30
30	2010	1469.46	256.40	0.19	0.17
31	2011	1363.40	217.70	-0.03	-0.02
32	2012	1567.57	243.70	0.14	0.15
33	2013	1998.51	344.90	0.32	0.33
34	2014	2239.81	349.05	0.08	0.08
35	2015	2097.29	290.05	-0.02	-0.02
36	2016	2341.01	287.25	0.12	0.11
37	2017	2293.50	283.70	0.18	0.18
38	2018	2189.51	247.45	-0.08	-0.08
39	2019	2691.27	299.50	0.26	0.26
40	2020	2871.09	344.10	0.17	0.14
41	2021	3439.36	399.20	0.21	0.22
42	2022	2920.07	341.25	-0.17	-0.17
43	2023	3727.34	428.20	0.19	0.17