# for a sign in form, how would you protect against brute force attacks?

### ChatGPT said:

Great question — protecting a sign-in form from **brute force attacks** is crucial for securing user accounts and system integrity. Brute force attacks usually involve systematically trying many username/password combinations until the attacker gains access. Here's a layered strategy to protect against this:

# 1. Rate Limiting

Why: Prevents repeated attempts in a short period.

### How:

- Limit login attempts per IP or per user account (e.g., 5 attempts per 5 minutes).
- Use tools like fail2ban, nginx rate limiting, or application-level logic.
- Return generic error messages (e.g., "Invalid username or password") to avoid leaking information.

# 2. Account Lockout / Throttling

Why: Makes brute forcing impractical due to delays or lockouts.

### How:

- Temporarily **lock the account** after n failed login attempts.
- Or apply **incremental delays** between attempts (e.g., exponential backoff).
- Consider temporary captchas instead of hard locks to avoid account lockout DoS.

# ✓ 3. CAPTCHA / reCAPTCHA Integration

Why: Stops bots from automating login attempts.

### How:

- Show CAPTCHA after a few failed attempts.
- reCAPTCHA v2/v3 or hCaptcha are popular and effective.

# ✓ 4. IP Blacklisting / Geo-blocking

Why: Helps mitigate repeated attacks from known malicious sources.

### How:

- Block or flag suspicious IPs or unusual geolocation login attempts.
- Consider integration with threat intelligence databases or services like Cloudflare, AWS WAF.

# 5. Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)

Why: Even if a password is brute-forced, the attacker can't get in.

### How:

- Implement TOTP-based (e.g., Google Authenticator) or hardware MFA (e.g., YubiKey).
- Encourage users to enable it during signup or first login.

## 6. Strong Password Policies & Hashing

Why: Makes brute-forcing less feasible.

### How:

- Enforce strong passwords (length, complexity, no reuse).
- Store passwords using **bcrypt**, **argon2**, or **PBKDF2** with proper salting never plain text or weak hashes like MD5/SHA1.

## **7. Login Anomaly Detection**

Why: Alerts and responds to unusual login patterns.

### How:

- Monitor for spikes in login attempts from a single IP or user.
- Use ML-based tools or write basic heuristics (e.g., sudden location changes).

# **▼** 8. Don't Reveal Too Much in Errors

Why: Prevents account enumeration and targeted attacks.

### How:

• Use a **generic error** like: "Invalid login credentials" — don't say "User not found" or "Wrong password."

# 9. Logging and Alerting

Why: You can't protect what you can't see.

### How:

- Log all login attempts and anomalies.
- Set up alerts for high-volume login failures.

# how about sql injection?

ChatGPT said:

Ah yes — **SQL Injection** is another critical security risk, especially for login forms. Attackers can manipulate input fields to execute malicious SQL statements, potentially bypassing authentication or compromising your database.

Let's walk through how to protect a sign-in form from SQL injection, and how you'd test it as well.



# How to Protect Against SQL Injection



1. Use Parameterized Queries (Prepared Statements)

This is the #1 defense.

```
Instead of:
```

sql

### CopyEdit

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE username = '" + username + "' AND password
= '" + password + "'";
```

Use:

java

### CopyEdit

```
PreparedStatement stmt = conn.prepareStatement("SELECT * FROM users
WHERE username = ? AND password = ?");
stmt.setString(1, username);
stmt.setString(2, password);
```

Applies to: Java (JDBC), Python (DB-API), PHP (PDO), .NET, Node.js — all modern DB libraries support this.

## 2. Use ORM or Query Builders

Frameworks like Hibernate, Entity Framework, or SQLAlchemy build safe queries for you.

But be cautious — **raw queries** in ORMs can still be vulnerable if you're injecting strings directly.

# 3. Avoid Dynamic SQL

Don't concatenate or interpolate user input into SQL strings.

Bad:

python

CopyEdit

```
cursor.execute("SELECT * FROM users WHERE username = '%s'" %
user_input)
```

# ✓ 4. Sanitize and Validate Inputs (Secondary Defense)

Input validation can help **reduce risk** (especially for non-auth fields), though it's not a silver bullet.

- Enforce whitelists (e.g., alphanumeric usernames).
- Reject unexpected characters (', --, ;, etc.) for login fields.

# 🔽 5. Limit Database Privileges

- Use a **least-privilege** database user for application access.
- Don't let your app user have DROP, DELETE, or ALTER permissions unless absolutely needed.

# **☑** 6. Use Stored Procedures (Safely!)

If written carefully with parameters, stored procedures can help reduce injection risks.

Just don't fall into the trap of dynamic SQL inside stored procs.

# **7.** Web Application Firewall (WAF)

Use tools like ModSecurity, Cloudflare, or AWS WAF to filter known SQLi payloads.

can you guide me step by step in setting up Playwright to run a test against this page? https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login

ChatGPT said:

Absolutely! Let's go step-by-step to **set up Playwright and run a test against** the login page at:

https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login

# 🔽 Step 1: Install Node.js

Playwright runs on Node.js. If it's not installed:

Download from: <a href="https://nodejs.org/">https://nodejs.org/</a>

Verify installation:

bash CopyEdit node -v npm -v

•

# ✓ Step 2: Set up a new project

bash
CopyEdit
mkdir playwright-login-test
cd playwright-login-test
npm init -y

# Step 3: Install Playwright

bash CopyEdit

```
npm install -D @playwright/test
npx playwright install
```

This installs browsers (Chromium, Firefox, WebKit) that Playwright will use.

# **▼** Step 4: Create a test file

Create a new file:

```
bash
CopyEdit
tests/login.spec.ts
```

Paste this sample test:

```
ts
CopyEdit
import { test, expect } from '@playwright/test';

test('Login page loads and displays the form', async ({ page }) => {
   await
page.goto('https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login
');

// Check if the login form fields are visible
   await expect(page.getByLabel('Email')).toBeVisible();
   await expect(page.getByLabel('Password')).toBeVisible();
   await expect(page.getByRole('button', { name: /login/i
})).toBeVisible();
});
```

✓ *Tip:* Playwright test uses getByLabel, getByRole for accessible selectors. If labels or buttons aren't accessible, you may need to use CSS or XPath instead.

# Step 5: Run the test

From the root directory:

bash CopyEdit

```
npx playwright test
```

To open the browser and visually watch it run:

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright test --headed

# Step 6: View results or debug

Failed test? Run with trace viewer:

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright test --trace on

After a failure:

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright show-trace trace.zip

# (Optional) Step 7: Use the Code Generator

To record actions and generate test code:

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright codegen

https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login

This launches a GUI where you interact with the page and get code automatically generated.

Would you like to:

- Add a login test with valid credentials?
- Run in CI (like GitHub Actions)?

- Integrate with a test runner like Jest?
- Use JavaScript instead of TypeScript?

Let me know what you'd like next!

# how do i tag my test so i can run it?

ChatGPT said:

Great question! In Playwright, you can **tag tests using the test.describe() block**, or more precisely, **use annotations like @tag in the title** — and then filter them at runtime using the --grep option.

Here's how to do both effectively:

# Option 1: Use test.describe() with logical grouping

```
ts
CopyEdit
import { test, expect } from '@playwright/test';

test.describe('login', () => {
   test('valid login', async ({ page }) => {
        // ...
   });

test('invalid login shows error', async ({ page }) => {
        // ...
   });
});
```

Then you can run just the login suite by title:

```
bash
CopyEdit
npx playwright test --grep login
```

This matches "login" in test names or describe blocks.

# Option 2: Use tags or markers in test titles

If you want ad hoc tags, add them to the test name like this:

```
ts
CopyEdit

test('[@auth] invalid login shows error', async ({ page }) => {
    // ...
});

Then filter by tag with:

bash
CopyEdit

npx playwright test --grep @auth

You can also invert the match to exclude a tag:

bash
CopyEdit

npx playwright test --grep @auth --invert
```

# Option 3: Use .only or .skip (for debugging or temporary runs)

```
ts
CopyEdit
test.only('login fails with invalid credentials', async ({ page })
=> {
    // ...
});

test.skip('this test is flaky and should not run', async ({ page })
=> {
    // ...
});
```

only runs just that test, and skip will ignore it.

# Bonus: Use --project, --grep, or test config

In large projects, tags + configs can help you:

```
bash
CopyEdit
npx playwright test --grep @regression
npx playwright test --grep '[@critical] valid login'
```

Would you like to group your tests by type (e.g., smoke, regression, auth), or by feature area (e.g., account, dashboard, settings)? I can help set that up in a reusable structure!

# how do i run my test in the browser?

ChatGPT said:

Awesome — you're ready to watch your test run in a real browser, which is super helpful for debugging or demoing!



# To Run Your Test in the Browser (Headed Mode)

Use the --headed flag with the Playwright test command:

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright test --headed

This launches the browser (e.g., Chromium) visibly, so you can see exactly what's happening.

# Bonus: Run in Debug Mode (step-by-step, pause + inspect)

bash

CopyEdit

npx playwright test --debug

### This:

- Opens the browser
- Runs slowly, step-by-step
- Lets you pause and inspect
- Opens the **Playwright Inspector** (interactive dev tools)

# Example Full Commands

### Run all tests visibly:

```
bash
CopyEdit
npx playwright test --headed
```

### Run just one test file in browser:

```
bash
CopyEdit
npx playwright test tests/login.spec.ts --headed
```

### Run a specific test name visibly:

```
bash
CopyEdit
npx playwright test --grep "invalid login" --headed
```

# Tip: Add a pause for debugging

You can also pause mid-test:

```
ts
CopyEdit
await page.pause();
```

That line opens the **Playwright Inspector**, pauses the script, and lets you:

- Explore the DOM
- Try locators
- Step through actions

Would you like to run in **different browsers** (Firefox, WebKit), or set the **browser size or device emulation**? Let me know — Playwright makes it easy to customize the browser environment too.

## write a code comment for this test

test.describe("old test", () => { test('Login fails with invalid credentials', async ({ page }) => { await page.goto('https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login'); await page.locator('input[type="email"]').fill('invalid@email.com'); await page.locator('input[type="password"]').fill('invalid'); await page.screenshot({ path: 'screenshots/invalid-login-details.png', fullPage: true}); await page.getByRole('button', { name: 'Log In'}).click(); await expect( page.getByText(/email\/username or password provided is. \*incorrect/i) ).toBeVisible(); await page.screenshot({ path: 'screenshots/invalid-login-error.png', fullPage: true}); }); })

### ChatGPT said:

Here's a clear, descriptive code comment you can place above the test to explain its purpose and steps:

```
ts
CopyEdit
// This test verifies that logging in with invalid credentials shows
an appropriate error message.
// It navigates to the login page, enters a fake email and password,
and clicks the "Log In" button.
// It then checks that the expected error message appears and
captures screenshots before and after the attempt.
test.describe("old test", () => {
  test('Login fails with invalid credentials', async ({ page }) => {
page.goto('https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk/account/login
');
    await
page.locator('input[type="email"]').fill('invalid@email.com');
    await page.locator('input[type="password"]').fill('invalid');
    await page.screenshot({ path:
'screenshots/invalid-login-details.png', fullPage: true });
    await page.getByRole('button', { name: 'Log In' }).click();
    await expect(
      page.getByText(/email\/username or password provided is.
*incorrect/i)
    ).toBeVisible();
    await page.screenshot({ path:
'screenshots/invalid-login-error.png', fullPage: true });
 });
});
```

Let me know if you want to make it part of a larger test suite or tag it as a negative test case for filtering later.

# when committing to github, how do i add a folder to ignore?

ChatGPT said:

To ignore a folder when committing to GitHub, you need to add that folder's path to your .gitignore file. Here's how to do it:

### 1. Open or create the .gitignore file

- It should be in the root directory of your Git repository.
- If it doesn't exist, just create a new file named .gitignore.

### 2. Add the folder path to .gitignore

• To ignore a folder named example-folder, add this line to .gitignore:

```
CopyEdit
example-folder/
```

- This tells Git to ignore the folder and everything inside it.
- Make sure the folder is not already tracked by Git. If it is, see step 4.

### 3. Save and close .gitignore

### 4. (Optional) Stop tracking a folder already added to Git

If Git is already tracking the folder, adding it to .gitignore won't untrack it. You need to remove it from the index:

```
bash
CopyEdit
git rm -r --cached example-folder/
```

Then commit the change:

```
bash
CopyEdit
git commit -m "Stop tracking example-folder"
```

After that, Git will ignore the folder.

Let me know if you're working within a specific environment (like VS Code, Android Studio, etc.) and want tailored instructions.

# when writing a login test, how do i handle login credentials securely?

ChatGPT said:

Handling login credentials securely in tests—especially automated ones—is crucial to avoid exposing sensitive data and maintain good security practices. Here are the best ways to handle them:

Best Practices for Secure Login Credentials in Tests

# <del>\_</del>

### 1. Use Environment Variables

Store credentials in environment variables and access them in your test code.

### **Example (Python):**

```
python
CopyEdit
import os

USERNAME = os.getenv("TEST_USERNAME")
PASSWORD = os.getenv("TEST_PASSWORD")
```

Set these variables in your environment (not in code):

### Unix/macOS:

```
bash
CopyEdit
export TEST_USERNAME="your_username"
export TEST_PASSWORD="your_password"
```

### Windows (cmd):

```
cmd
CopyEdit
set TEST_USERNAME=your_username
set TEST_PASSWORD=your_password
```

Or use a .env file with a tool like <u>python-dotenv</u> (for Python):

```
ini
CopyEdit
TEST_USERNAME=your_username
TEST_PASSWORD=your_password
```

### 2. Do Not Hardcode Credentials

Never put actual usernames or passwords directly in your test code or commit them to version control.

Bad:

```
python
CopyEdit
USERNAME = "admin"
PASSWORD = "secret123"
```

### 3. Use Mock Accounts or Test APIs

- Create special **test accounts** with limited access.
- Use **sandbox** or **staging environments** whenever possible.
- Some services provide **test APIs** (e.g., Stripe, Firebase) that simulate login without real credentials.

### 4. Use Secrets Managers (Advanced/Team Projects)

For more complex or shared projects, use tools like:

- GitHub Actions Secrets
- AWS Secrets Manager
- HashiCorp Vault
- Docker secrets

### 5. Git Ignore Any Local Secrets Files

If you use local .env or config files, **add them to .gitignore** so they're never pushed to GitHub:

```
bash
CopyEdit
.env
secrets.json
```

# **Bonus: GitHub Actions (CI)**

When running tests in GitHub Actions, store secrets in **Repository Settings**  $\rightarrow$  **Secrets and variables**  $\rightarrow$  **Actions**.

Then access them in your workflows like:

```
yaml
CopyEdit
env:
   TEST_USERNAME: ${{ secrets.TEST_USERNAME }}
   TEST_PASSWORD: ${{ secrets.TEST_PASSWORD }}
```

Let me know your programming language or test framework (e.g., Cypress, Selenium, JUnit, etc.) if you'd like examples in that context.

# I'm expecting this, but the rest of the page hasn't loaded await expect(page.getByText('Home Dashboard')).toBeVisible();

ChatGPT said:

Got it — you're expecting this line:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
await expect(page.getByText('Home Dashboard')).toBeVisible();
```

...but the **rest of the page hasn't loaded yet**, so the test may pass or fail inconsistently.

# How to Fix It Properly

The issue is likely that the page is still rendering, or async data (like API content) is loading after that text appears. So just checking for 'Home Dashboard' may not be enough to guarantee the dashboard is fully ready.

Here's how to handle it:

## Option 1: Wait for a more stable indicator

Check for another element that loads after 'Home Dashboard' — e.g., the actual dashboard content, cards, or charts.

Example:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
await expect(page.getByText('Home Dashboard')).toBeVisible();
await expect(page.locator('#dashboard-widgets')).toBeVisible(); //
or whatever container you use
```

This ensures the test only continues when *both* the heading and content are loaded.



Coption 2: Wait for network silence (if applicable)

If the dashboard does a lot of async loading (e.g., fetches user data), consider:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
await page.waitForLoadState('networkidle');
```

• This waits until there are **no network requests** for ~500ms. Useful after navigation.

## Full Example with Login:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
await loginPage.login('mulderscully@test.com', 'Password1!');

// Wait for dashboard page to settle
await expect(page).toHaveURL(/dashboard/);
await expect(page.getByText('Home Dashboard')).toBeVisible();
await expect(page.locator('#dashboard-widgets')).toBeVisible(); //
adjust as needed
await page.screenshot({ path: 'screenshots/dashboard.png', fullPage: true });
```

# Navoid This:

ts

### CopyEdit

await page.waitForTimeout(3000); // bad practice unless you're debugging

It can mask race conditions and slow down tests unnecessarily.

Let me know what the rest of your dashboard page includes (e.g., widget areas, charts, tables), and I can suggest an exact element to wait for.

# i want to create a .env file to store login credentials and the URL

ChatGPT said:

Perfect — using a .env file to store login credentials and URLs is a secure and flexible way to manage sensitive data in your Playwright tests.

Here's how to do it, step-by-step:



Install the dotenv package so your tests can read .env variables.

bash CopyEdit

npm install dotenv

# Step 2: Create the .env file

In your project root, create a file named .env:

ini CopyEdit

# .env

BASE\_URL=https://bonds-client-test.downinglabs.co.uk LOGIN\_EMAIL=mulderscully@test.com LOGIN PASSWORD=Password1!

Pon't commit this file! Add it to .gitignore:

bash

CopyEdit

.env



Step 3: Load the .env file in your test setup

At the top of your test file(s), or in a shared test-setup.ts, add:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
import dotenv from 'dotenv';
dotenv.config();
```

# Step 4: Use the variables in your tests

Example:

```
ts
```

### CopyEdit

```
const baseUrl = process.env.BASE_URL!;
const email = process.env.LOGIN_EMAIL!;
const password = process.env.LOGIN_PASSWORD!;
test('Valid login loads dashboard', async ({ page }) => {
  await page.goto(`${baseUrl}/account/login`);
  const loginPage = new LoginPage(page);
  await loginPage.login(email, password);
  await expect(page).toHaveURL(/dashboard/);
  await expect(page.getByText('Home Dashboard')).toBeVisible();
});
```

You can also make this cleaner by injecting these into your LoginPage class or using test.use() in Playwright's test config.

# Optional: Set up global config

If you want to load .env automatically for all tests:

Create or edit playwright.config.ts:

ts

### CopyEdit

```
import { defineConfig } from '@playwright/test';
import dotenv from 'dotenv';
```

```
dotenv.config();

export default defineConfig({
   use: {
     baseURL: process.env.BASE_URL,
   },
});

Then in your test:

ts
CopyEdit
await page.goto('/account/login'); // will prepend baseURL
```

Let me know if you'd like help organizing this across multiple test files or making the login reusable.

# I'm writing a test now to navigate to PRofile and then make a change. Do I also include the steps for logging in in this test?

ChatGPT said:

Great question — whether you **include the login steps in every test** or not depends on how you want to structure and scale your test suite.

Here are your two main options:

# Option 1: Include login steps in the test (recommended early on)

```
ts
CopyEdit
test('User navigates to Profile and edits details', async ({ page })
=> {
   await page.goto(`${baseUrl}/account/login`);
   const loginPage = new LoginPage(page);
```

```
await loginPage.login(email, password);

await page.goto(`${baseUrl}/account/profile`);

// Make changes to profile here...
});
```

### Good for:

- Simplicity
- Tests that simulate the full real-world user journey
- Smaller test suites

### 🁎 Downsides:

- Slower if repeated across many tests
- Duplicate login code (unless you use a helper or POM)

# Option 2: Use a beforeEach hook or global login fixture

You can move login to a shared setup, like this:

## With beforeEach():

```
ts
CopyEdit
test.beforeEach(async ({ page }) => {
   await page.goto(`${baseUrl}/account/login`);
   const loginPage = new LoginPage(page);
   await loginPage.login(email, password);
});

test('User navigates to Profile and edits details', async ({ page })
=> {
   await page.goto(`${baseUrl}/account/profile`);
   // Make changes to profile
});
```

### With Playwright's built-in authentication state reuse:

- 1. Log in once in a setup script.
- 2. Save the state to a file (e.g., auth.json).
- 3. Reuse it in all tests without logging in again.

This method is great for speeding up test suites and avoiding UI login repetition.

# Final Recommendation

Since you're testing login elsewhere, it's usually a good idea to factor it out once you're confident it works. So:

- For now, include login steps until you're ready to abstract
- Later, move to a shared login setup to avoid duplication and speed things up

Let me know if you want a quick example of using auth. json to persist login state in Playwright — it makes tests much faster.