Assignment 3

Kevin Gardner

2/28/2022

Following is the link to my GitHub account:

https://github.com/Kgardner22/64060_-kgardner

IMPORT AND PREPARE DATA:

Import the UniversalBank.csv file

```
UniversalBank <- read.table('C:/R/MyData/UniversalBank.csv', header = T, sep = ',')

summary(UniversalBank)
```

```
##        ID            Age          Experience       Income                ZIP.Code
##  Min.   :   1   Min.   :23.00   Min.   :-3.0   Min.   :  8.00   Min.   :
9307
##  1st Qu.:1251   1st Qu.:35.00   1st Qu.:10.0   1st Qu.: 39.00   1st
Qu.:91911
##  Median :2500   Median :45.00   Median :20.0   Median : 64.00   Median
:93437
##  Mean   :2500   Mean   :45.34   Mean   :20.1   Mean   : 73.77   Mean
:93153
##  3rd Qu.:3750   3rd Qu.:55.00   3rd Qu.:30.0   3rd Qu.: 98.00   3rd
Qu.:94608
##  Max.   :5000   Max.   :67.00   Max.   :43.0   Max.   :224.00   Max.
:96651
##      Family          CCAvg          Education        Mortgage
##  Min.   :1.000   Min.   : 0.000   Min.   :1.000   Min.   :  0.0
##  1st Qu.:1.000   1st Qu.: 0.700   1st Qu.:1.000   1st Qu.:  0.0
##  Median :2.000   Median : 1.500   Median :2.000   Median :  0.0
##  Mean   :2.396   Mean   : 1.938   Mean   :1.881   Mean   : 56.5
##  3rd Qu.:3.000   3rd Qu.: 2.500   3rd Qu.:3.000   3rd Qu.:101.0
```

```
##  Max.   :4.000   Max.   :10.000   Max.   :3.000   Max.   :635.0
##  Personal.Loan   Securities.Account   CD.Account         Online
##  Min.   :0.000   Min.   :0.0000     Min.   :0.0000   Min.   :0.0000
##  1st Qu.:0.000   1st Qu.:0.0000     1st Qu.:0.0000   1st Qu.:0.0000
##  Median :0.000   Median :0.0000     Median :0.0000   Median :1.0000
##  Mean   :0.096   Mean   :0.1044     Mean   :0.0604   Mean   :0.5968
##  3rd Qu.:0.000   3rd Qu.:0.0000     3rd Qu.:0.0000   3rd Qu.:1.0000
##  Max.   :1.000   Max.   :1.0000     Max.   :1.0000   Max.   :1.0000
##    CreditCard
##  Min.   :0.000
##  1st Qu.:0.000
##  Median :0.000
##  Mean   :0.294
##  3rd Qu.:1.000
##  Max.   :1.000
```

Create a copy of the original data file to preserve

```
Original_File <- UniversalBank
```

Load required libraries

```
library(caret)

## Loading required package: ggplot2

## Loading required package: lattice

library(reshape2) #used for melt() and dcast();

## Warning: package 'reshape2' was built under R version 4.1.2

library(e1071) #used for naiveBayes();
```

We need to divide the data into training (60%) and validation (40%) sets

```
set.seed(64060)

Train_Index <- createDataPartition(UniversalBank$Personal.Loan, p=0.6, list =
FALSE) #60% for train data
Train.df <- UniversalBank[Train_Index,]
Validation.df <- UniversalBank[-Train_Index,] #Remaining 40% for validation
data
```

REQUIREMENT A:

Create a pivot table for the training data with Online as a column variable, CreditCard as a row variable, and Personal.Loan as a secondary row variable. The values inside the table should convey the count. Use functions melt() and cast(), or function table().

Pivot table created using ftable

```
Table1 <- xtabs(~ CreditCard + Online + Personal.Loan, data=Train.df)
ftable(Table1)
```

```
##                   Personal.Loan    0    1
## CreditCard Online
## 0          0                    787   76
##            1                   1144  124
## 1          0                    307   35
##            1                    477   50
```

Optional view of this same pivot table using melt();

```
Table1_Long=melt(Table1, measure.vars=c("No", "Yes"),
variable.name="Personal.Loan", value.name = "value")
Table1_Long
```

```
##   CreditCard Online Personal.Loan value
## 1          0      0             0   787
## 2          1      0             0   307
## 3          0      1             0  1144
## 4          1      1             0   477
## 5          0      0             1    76
## 6          1      0             1    35
## 7          0      1             1   124
## 8          1      1             1    50
```

Optional view of this same pivot table using dcast();

```
Table1_Wide = dcast(Table1_Long, CreditCard + Online ~ Personal.Loan,
value.var = "value" )
Table1_Wide
```

```
##   CreditCard Online    0   1
## 1          0      0  787  76
## 2          0      1 1144 124
## 3          1      0  307  35
## 4          1      1  477  50
```

REQUIREMENT B:

Looking at the pivot tables created, what is the probability that this customer will accept the loan offer (Personal.Loan=1)?

```
ftable(Table1)
```

```
##                   Personal.Loan    0    1
## CreditCard Online
## 0          0                    787   76
##            1                   1144  124
## 1          0                    307   35
##            1                    477   50
```

P(Personal.Loan=1 | CreditCard=1, Online=1)

P(50|477+50) = 0.0949 = 9.49%

ANSWER: 0.0949

REQUIREMENT C:

Create two separate pivot tables for the training data. One will have Personal.Loan (rows) as a function of Online (columns) and the other will have Personal.Loan (rows) as a function of CreditCard.

```
table(CreditCard=Train.df$CreditCard, Personal.Loan=Train.df$Personal.Loan)

##            Personal.Loan
## CreditCard    0    1
##          0 1931  200
##          1  784   85

table(Online=Train.df$Online, Personal.Loan=Train.df$Personal.Loan)

##       Personal.Loan
## Online    0    1
##      0 1094  111
##      1 1621  174
```

REQUIREMENT D:

Compute the following quantities [P(A|B) means "the probability of A given B"]

i. P(CreditCard=1 | Personal.Loan=1) (85/(200+85)) = (85/285) = 0.2982 #Note: I'm using the CreditCard table above

   ANSWER = 0.2982

ii. P(Online=1 | Personal.Loan=1) (174/(111+174)) = (174/285) = 0.6105 #Note: I'm using the Online table above

   ANSWER = 0.6105

iii. P(Personal.Loan=1) ((200+85)/(1931+784+200+85)) = (285/3000) = 0.095 #Note: I'm using the CreditCard table above

```
ANSWER = 0.095
```

iv. P(CreditCard=1 | Personal.Loan=0) (784/(1931+784)) = (784/2715) = 0.2888 #Note: I'm using the CreditCard table above

   ANSWER = 0.2888

v. P(Online=1 | Personal.Loan=0) (1621/(1094+1621)) = (1621/2715) = 0.5971 #Note: I'm using the Online table above

ANSWER = 0.5971

vi. P(Personal.Loan=0) ((1931+784)/(1931+784+200+85)) = (2715/3000) = 0.905
#Note: I'm using the CreditCard table above

ANSWER = 0.905

REQUIREMENT E: Use the quantities computed above to compute the naive Bayes probability P(Personal.Loan=1 | CreditCard=1, Online=1)

Using the quantities from the tables generated in requirement C, we can compute the Naive Bayes Calculations as follows:

P = ((85/285)(174/285)(285/3000)) / (((85/285)(174/285)(285/3000))+((784/2715)(1621/2715)(2715/3000))) P = (((0.2982456)(0.6105263)(0.095)) / (((0.2982456)(0.6105263)(0.095)) / ((0.2887661)(0.5970534)(0.905))) P = 0.0172982 / 0.1733281 P = 0.0998003

ANSWER = 0.0998

REQUIREMENT F: Compare the value calculated in requirement E with the one obtained from the pivot table in requirement B.

In requirement B, we calculated this as: P(Personal.Loan=1 | CreditCard=1, Online=1) (50|477+50) = 0.0949 This is the Complete (Exact) Bayes Calculation

In requirement E, we calculated this as: P = (0.0172982 / 0.1733281) = 0.0998 This is the Naive Bayes Calculation as described on page 194 of our textbook.

Which is a more accurate estimate?

ANSWER = The answer of 0.0949 calculated in requirement B is more accurate. This is the Complete (Exact) Bayes Calculation. It does not make any assumptions as does the Naive Bayes Calculation in requirement E. Naive Bayes assumes conditional independence (E) while Bayes theorum (B) does not. This being said, Naive Bayes can provide a close estimate and typically, this has very little if any impact on the rank order of the output.

REQUIREMENT G: Which of the entries in this table are needed for computing P(Personal.Loan=1 | CreditCard=1, Online=1)? Run naiveBayes on the data.

ANSWER: The entries in the table needed to compute this are the results where CreditCard=1 and Online=1 showing the results of 477 observations for Personal.Loan=0 and 50 observations for Personal.Loan=1. We do not need the other data in the table. We then compute this by taking 50/(477+50) = 0.0949.

Examine the model output on training data and find the entry that corresponds to P(Personal.Loan=1 | CreditCard=1, Online=1). Compare this to the number you obtained in requirement E.

```
nb.model<-naiveBayes(Personal.Loan~CreditCard+Online, data=Train.df)
To_Predict=data.frame(CreditCard=1, Online=1)
```

```
predict(nb.model, To_Predict, type='raw') #type set to raw to get
probabilities;

##              0         1
## [1,] 0.8986774 0.1013226
```

These results show, given CreditCard=1 and Online=1, the probability of the personal loan being accepted (Personal.Loan=1) is 0.1013226.

The number we calculated in requirement E was 0.0998003

There is a slight difference in these numbers based on how the model handles the cutoff probability.