Simplistic ZFC Formalization

Sebastian Ullrich

May 10, 2014

Contents

ZFC		2
1.1	Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System	2
1.2	Lemmas on Unions and Intersubsections	4
1.3	Ordered Pairs	5
1.4	Relations and Functions	6
	1.4.1 Existence Proofs	6
1.5	Natural Numbers	7
	1.5.1 Peano's Axioms	8
	1.5.2 Set Properties of \mathbb{N}	9
	1.5.3 Transitive Sets	0
	1.5.4 The order relation on \mathbb{N}	1
	1.5.5 Set Properties of \mathbb{N} (II)	1
Mod	dal Logic 1	2
2.1	Definition	2
2.2	Tautologies	3
2.3	Classes of Kripke Frames	4
2.4	Relative Tautologies	4
2.5	Modal Logical Consequence	5
2.6	Model Deduction Theorem	5
Cor	respondence Theory 1	5
	What Is It About?	
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 Mod 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 Cor	1.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System 1.2 Lemmas on Unions and Intersubsections 1.3 Ordered Pairs 1.4 Relations and Functions 1.4.1 Existence Proofs 1.5 Natural Numbers 1.5.1 Peano's Axioms 1.5.2 Set Properties of IN 1.5.3 Transitive Sets 1 1.5.4 The order relation on IN 1 1.5.5 Set Properties of IN (II) 1 Modal Logic 1 2.1 Definition 1 2.2 Tautologies 1 2.3 Classes of Kripke Frames 1 2.4 Relative Tautologies 1 2.5 Modal Logical Consequence 1 2.6 Model Deduction Theorem 1 Correspondence Theory 1

```
theory ZFC imports HOL begin
```

1 ZFC

```
typedecl set
```

```
axiomatization
member :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool

notation
member \ (op :) \ \mathbf{and}
member \ ((-/:-)[51, 51] 50)

abbreviation not\text{-}member \ \mathbf{where}
not\text{-}member \ x \ A \equiv \ ^\sim (x : A) \ -- \ \text{non-membership}

notation
not\text{-}member \ (op \ ^\sim :) \ \mathbf{and}
not\text{-}member \ ((-/\ ^\sim : -)[51, 51] 50)

notation (xsymbols)
member \ (op \in) \ \mathbf{and}
```

1.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System

 $((-/ \in -) [51, 51] 50)$ and

axiomatization where

not-member $(op \notin)$ and

not-member $((-/ \notin -) [51, 51] 50)$

member

```
extensionality: \forall z. \ (z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \Longrightarrow x = y \text{ and} foundation: \exists y. \ y \in x \Longrightarrow \exists y. \ y \in x \land (\forall z. \ \neg(z \in x \land z \in y)) \text{ and} subset-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P z \text{ and} empty-set: \exists y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y \text{ and} pair-set: \exists y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2 \text{ and} power-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x) \text{ and} sum-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)

definition empty:: set ({}) where empty \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y

axiomatization where infinity: \exists w. \ {} \in x \lor (\forall x. \ x \in w \longleftrightarrow (\exists z. \ z \in w \land (\forall u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \lor u \in x))) and replacement: P \ x \ y \land P \ x \ z \longleftrightarrow y = z \Longrightarrow \exists u. \ (\forall w_1. \ w_1 \in u \longleftrightarrow (\exists w_2. \ w_2 \in u \land P \ w_2 \ w_1))
```

```
lemma empty[simp]: x \notin empty
proof-
  have \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y
  proof (rule ex-ex1I)
    fix y y'
    assume \forall x. x \notin y \ \forall x. x \notin y'
    thus y = y' by -(rule\ extensionality,\ simp)
  qed (rule empty-set)
  hence \forall x. \ x \notin \{\}
    unfolding empty-def
    by (rule the I')
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
Let's try to generalize that for the other introduction axioms.
lemma exAxiomD1:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
  shows \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
using assms
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma exAxiomD2:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow Px
  shows x \in (THE \ y. \ \forall \ x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P \ x) \longleftrightarrow P \ x
apply (rule\ spec[of - x])
by (rule the I'[OF assms[THEN exAxiomD1]])
lemma exAxiomD3:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x x \in (THE y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x)
  shows P x
using assms exAxiomD2
by auto
lemma empty': x \notin empty
apply (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda-. False, simplified, folded empty-def])
by (rule empty-set)
\mathbf{lemma}[simp] \colon (\forall \, x. \, x \notin y) \longleftrightarrow y = \{\}
by (auto intro:extensionality)
definition pair :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-, -\}) where
  pair z_1 z_2 \equiv THE y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
definition singleton :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-\}) where
  singleton x \equiv \{x, x\}
definition sum :: set \Rightarrow set where
  sum \ x \equiv \textit{THE} \ y. \ \forall \, z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \, u. \ z \in u \, \land \, u \in x)
```

```
definition subset :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set where
  subset P x \equiv THE y . \forall z . z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P z
syntax
  subset :: pttrn => set \Rightarrow bool => set ((1\{-\in -./-\}))
translations
  \{z \in x. P\} == subset (\%z. P) x
definition Pow :: set \Rightarrow set where
  Pow \ x \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
lemma pair[simp]: x \in \{z_1, z_2\} \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda x. x = z_1 \vee x = z_2, simplified, folded pair-def]) (rule
pair-set)
lemma singleton[simp]: x \in \{y\} \longleftrightarrow x = y
by -(unfold\ singleton\text{-}def,\ simp)
lemma sum[simp]: z \in sum \ x \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \exists u. z \in u \land u \in x, simplified, folded sum-def]) (rule
sum-set)
lemma subset[simp]: z \in \{z \in x. \ P \ z\} \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P \ z
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. z \in x \land P z, simplified, folded subset-def]) (rule
subset-set)
lemma Pow[simp]: z \in Pow \ x \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x, simplified, folded Pow-def])
(rule power-set)
         Lemmas on Unions and Intersubsections
1.2
definition union :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cup 65) where
  union \ x \ y \equiv sum \ (pair \ x \ y)
lemma union[simp]: z \in a \cup b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \vee z \in b
by (auto simp:union-def)
lemma union-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \lor z \in b
by (rule\ exI[of - a \cup b])\ simp
definition intersect :: set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cap 70) where
  a \cap b \equiv \{x \in a. \ x \in b\}
lemma intersect[simp]: z \in a \cap b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
by (simp add:intersect-def)
lemma intersect-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
```

```
definition Intersect :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set (\bigcap - [1000] 999) where
  \bigcap P \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
lemma Intersect[simp]: \exists z. \ P \ z \Longrightarrow z \in \bigcap P \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
proof (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. Pu \longrightarrow z \in u, simplified, folded Intersect-def])
  assume \exists z. P z
  then obtain z where [simp]: P z ...
  let ?y = \{x \in z. \ \forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u\}
  have \forall x. \ x \in ?y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u) by auto
  thus \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. P u \longrightarrow x \in u)..
qed
definition Union :: set \Rightarrow set (\bigcup - [1000] 999) where
 \bigcup a \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u. \ z \in u \land u \in a)
lemma Union[simp]: z \in \bigcup a \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. z \in u \land u \in a)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \exists u. z \in u \land u \in a, simplified, folded Union-def]) (rule
sum-set)
1.3
         Ordered Pairs
definition ordered-pair :: set \Rightarrow set (\langle -, - \rangle) where
  \langle a,b\rangle \equiv \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}
lemma intersect-singleton[simp]: x \cap \{y\} = (if y \in x then \{y\} else \{\})
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma empty-singleton-neg[simp]: \{x\} \neq \{\}
proof
  assume assm: \{x\} = \{\}
  have x \notin \{\} by simp
  with assm have x \notin \{x\} by simp
  thus False by simp
qed
lemma singleton-eqD[dest!]: \{x\} = \{y\} \Longrightarrow x = y
by (drule\ arg\text{-}cong[of - -\lambda z.\ y \in z])\ simp
lemma singleton-pair-eqD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x\} = \{y, z\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
proof-
  from assms have y \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{y, z\} by simp
```

by (rule subset-set)

hence x = y by simp

```
from assms have z \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow z \in \{y, z\} by simp
  hence x = z by simp
  with \langle x = y \rangle show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma singleton-pair-eqD'[dest!]:
  assumes \{y, z\} = \{x\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
using assms[symmetric] by (rule singleton-pair-eqD)
lemma pair-singleton[simp]: \{x, x\} = \{x\}
unfolding singleton-def ..
lemma pair-eq-fstD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x,y\} = \{x,z\}
  shows y = z
using assms
proof (cases x = y)
  {f case} False
  from assms have y \in \{x,y\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{x,z\} by simp
  with False show ?thesis by simp
qed auto
lemma ordered-pair-eq[simp]: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow x_1 = y_1 \land x_2 = y_2
proof
  assume assm: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle
  hence \{x_1\} \in \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1\} \in \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle by simp
  hence[simp]: x_1 = y_1 by (auto simp: ordered-pair-def)
  show x_1 = y_1 \wedge x_2 = y_2 using assm
  proof (cases x_2 = x_1)
    case False
    from assm have \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle x_1,x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle y_1,y_2 \rangle by simp
    with False show ?thesis by (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
  qed (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
qed simp
         Relations and Functions
1.4
definition rel r \equiv \forall x. \ x \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x_1 \ x_2. \ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle)
definition rel'' \ r \ a \ b \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x_1 \ x_2. \ \langle x_1, \ x_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x_1 \in a \land x_2 \in b)
definition rel' r s \equiv rel'' r s s
definition func r \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x \ y_1 \ y_2. \ \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in r \land \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y_1 = y_2)
definition func' f \ a \ b \equiv func \ f \ \land \ rel'' f \ a \ b
1.4.1 Existence Proofs
definition singletons a \equiv \{b \in Pow \ a. \ \exists x. \ b = \{x\}\}
lemma singletons[simp]: b \in singletons \ a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ b = \{x\} \land x \in a)
by (auto simp:singletons-def)
```

```
definition pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (a \cup b). \ \exists x \ y. \ c = \{x, y\} \land x \in a \land y \in b\}
lemma pairs-correct[simp]: c \in pairs\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ y.\ c = \{x,\ y\} \land x \in a \land y \in a)
by (auto simp:pairs-def)
definition ordered-pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (Pow \ a \cup Pow \ (a \cup b)). \ \exists \ x \ y. \ c = \langle x, y \rangle
\land x \in a \land y \in b\}
lemma ordered-pairs [simp]: c \in ordered-pairs a \ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \ y. \ c = \langle x,y \rangle \land x \in a
by (auto simp add:ordered-pairs-def ordered-pair-def)
definition rels a b \equiv \{r \in Pow \ (ordered\text{-pairs } a \ b). \ rel \ r\}
lemma rels[simp]: r \in rels \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow rel'' \ r \ a \ b
by (auto simp:rels-def rel-def rel"-def)
definition funcs a b \equiv \{f \in rels \ a \ b. \ func \ f\}
lemma funcs[simp]: f \in funcs \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow func' f \ a \ b
by (auto simp:funcs-def func'-def func-def)
         Natural Numbers
definition succ :: set \Rightarrow set (-+ \lceil 1000 \rceil 999) where
  a^+ \equiv a \cup \{a\}
definition zero :: set (0) where 0 \equiv \{\}
definition Ded a \equiv 0 \in a \land (\forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a)
lemma icanhazded: \exists a. Ded a
proof-
  from infinity obtain a where inf: \{\} \in a
    \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow (\exists z. \ z \in a \land (\forall u. \ (u \in z) = (u \in x \lor u = x))) by auto
  have \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a
  proof (rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in a
    with inf obtain z where z: z \in a \ \forall u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \lor u = x \ \text{by} \ auto
    from this(2) have [simp]: z = x \cup \{x\}
    by (auto intro:extensionality)
    with z(1) show x^+ \in a by (auto simp:succ-def)
  with inf(1) show ?thesis by (auto simp add:Ded-def zero-def)
```

```
qed
definition nats :: set (\mathbb{N}) where \mathbb{N} \equiv \bigcap Ded
lemma nats: n \in \mathbb{N} \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \ Ded \ a \longrightarrow n \in a)
unfolding nats-def
by (rule Intersect) (rule icanhazded)
1.5.1
         Peano's Axioms
lemma ax-zero[simp]: \theta \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma ax-succ[simp]: n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^+ \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma nonempty-member[simp]: x \neq \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. \ y \in x)
by (rule ccontr) simp
lemma union-nonempty[simp]: x \neq \{\} \lor y \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow x \cup y \neq \{\}
by auto
lemma ax-succ-neq-zero[simp]: n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^+ \neq 0
by (simp add:succ-def zero-def)
lemma ax-succ-inj:
  assumes n \in \mathbb{N} m \in \mathbb{N} n^+ = m^+
  shows n = m
proof-
  from assms(3) have m \in n \cup \{n\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
  hence m: n = m \lor m \in n by auto
  from assms(3)[symmetric] have n \in m \cup \{m\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
 hence n: n = m \lor n \in m by auto
  from n m foundation[of <math>\{n,m\}]
 show ?thesis by auto
qed
definition subseteq :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool ((- \subseteq -) [51,51] 50) where
  x \subseteq y \equiv \forall z. \ z \in x \longrightarrow z \in y
lemma empty-subseteq[simp]: \{\}\subseteq x
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma singleton-subseteq[simp]: \{x\} \subseteq y \longleftrightarrow x \in y
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
```

lemma subseteq-trans[trans]: $[x \subseteq y; y \subseteq z] \implies x \subseteq z$

by (*simp add:subseteq-def*)

```
lemma subseteq-member[trans]: [x \in y; y \subseteq z] \implies x \in z
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma subseteqI[intro]: (\bigwedge z. \ z \in x \Longrightarrow z \in y) \Longrightarrow x \subseteq y
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma subseteq-unionI[intro!]: x \subseteq y \lor x \subseteq z \Longrightarrow x \subseteq y \cup z
by (auto simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma union-subseteqI[simp]: x \cup y \subseteq z \longleftrightarrow x \subseteq z \land y \subseteq z
by (auto simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma ax-induct: [\![\theta \in x; \bigwedge y.\ y \in x \Longrightarrow y^+ \in x]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \subseteq x
by (simp add:subseteq-def nats Ded-def)
           Set Properties of N
1.5.2
lemma[simp]: \theta \in \theta^+
by (simp add:succ-def)
lemma[simp]: \theta \in y \Longrightarrow \theta \in y^+
by (simp add:succ-def)
lemma
  assumes n \in \mathbb{N} n \neq 0
  shows \theta \in n
proof-
  let ?x = \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \theta \in n\} \cup \{\theta\}
  note assms(1)
  also
  have \mathbb{N} \subseteq ?x by (rule ax-induct) auto
  finally have n \in ?x.
  with assms(2) show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma nat-induct[case-names Zero Succ[hyps IH], consumes 1]:
  assumes n \in \mathbb{N}
  and P \ \theta \ \bigwedge n. [n \in \mathbb{N}; P \ n] \Longrightarrow P \ (n^+)
  shows P n
proof-
  let ?x = \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \ P \ n\}
  \mathbf{note} \ \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle
  also have \mathbb{N} \subseteq ?x by (rule ax-induct, simp-all add:assms(2,3))
  finally have n \in ?x.
  thus P \ n by simp
qed
```

1.5.3 Transitive Sets

```
definition trans a \equiv \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x \subseteq a
lemma trans': trans a \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ y. \ x \in a \land y \in x \longrightarrow y \in a)
by (auto simp add:trans-def subseteq-member)
lemma succ-subseteq[simp]: n \subseteq n^+
by (auto simp add:succ-def)
lemma succE:
 assumes n \in m^+
 obtains n \in m \mid n = m
proof (cases n \in m)
  {f case}\ {\it False}
 assume n = m \Longrightarrow thesis
  with False assms(1) show thesis by (simp add:succ-def)
\mathbf{qed}\ simp
\mathbf{lemma}[simp]: n \notin 0
by (simp add:zero-def)
lemma n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow trans \ n
proof (induct rule:nat-induct)
 {\bf case}\ {\it Zero}
 show ?case by (simp add:trans-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Succ \ n)
 \mathbf{show}~? case
  unfolding trans-def
  proof (rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in n^+
    thus x \subseteq n^+
   proof (cases \ x \ n \ rule:succ E)
      case 1
      with \langle trans \ n \rangle have x \subseteq n by (simp \ add:trans-def)
      also have n \subseteq n^+ by simp
      finally show ?thesis.
    \mathbf{next}
      case 2
      thus ?thesis by (auto simp add:succ-def)
    qed
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma trans \mathbb{N}
\mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{trans-def}
proof (rule, rule)
 \mathbf{fix}\ n
```

```
assume n \in \mathbb{N}
  thus n \subseteq \mathbb{N}
  by (rule nat-induct) (simp-all add:zero-def succ-def)
1.5.4
           The order relation on \mathbb{N}
definition trans-rel r \equiv \forall x \ y \ z. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \ \land \ \langle y,z \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in r
lemma trans-relD:
 assumes trans-rel r \langle x, y \rangle \in r \langle y, z \rangle \in r
 shows \langle x,z\rangle\in r
proof-
  from assms(1) have \langle x,y\rangle \in r \land \langle y,z\rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,z\rangle \in r
    unfolding trans-rel-def
    by -(erule \ all E)+
  with assms(2,3) show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma
 assumes trans-rel r \land n. \langle n, n^+ \rangle \in r \ m \in \mathbb{N}
 shows n \in m \longrightarrow \langle n, m \rangle \in r
using assms(3) proof (induct m rule:nat-induct)
  case (Succ \ m)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume n \in m^+
    thus \langle n, m^+ \rangle \in r
    proof (cases n \ m \ rule:succE)
      case 1
      show ?thesis proof (rule trans-relD[OF assms(1)])
        from 1 show \langle n,m\rangle \in r by (rule\ Succ.IH[THEN\ mp])
        show \langle m, m^+ \rangle \in r by (rule \ assms(2))
    qed (simp \ add:assms(2))
  qed
qed simp
1.5.5
          Set Properties of N (II)
definition less (- < - [51, 51] 50) where n < m \equiv n \in m
lemma trans-nat: [n \in \mathbb{N}; m \in n] \implies m \in \mathbb{N}
proof (induct rule:nat-induct)
  case (Succ \ n)
  from this(3) show ?case by (cases m n rule:succE) (auto intro:Succ)
qed simp
lemma n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : m < n\}
unfolding less-def
```

```
by (rule extensionality) (auto intro:trans-nat)
```

end

theory ModalLogic imports Main begin

2 Modal Logic

2.1 Definition

```
datatype 'a PModFml
= V'a
 \mid Not 'a \ PModFml \ (\neg_M - [140] \ 140)
  And 'a PModFml 'a PModFml (infixr \wedge_M 125)
 \mid Box 'a \ PModFml \ (\Box - [140] \ 140)
definition Or :: 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \ (infixr \lor_M \ 130)
where
 x \vee_M y \equiv \neg_M(\neg_M x \wedge_M \neg_M y)
definition Implies :: 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml (infixr \longrightarrow_M
125) where
 x \longrightarrow_M y \equiv \neg_M \ x \vee_M y
definition Iff :: 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml (infixr \longleftrightarrow_M 110)
 x \longleftrightarrow_M y \equiv (x \longrightarrow_M y) \land_M (y \longrightarrow_M x)
definition Diamond :: 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \ (\lozenge - [140] \ 140) where
  \Diamond x \equiv \neg_M \ \Box \ \neg_M \ x
definition Mod\text{-}True :: 'a \ PModFml \ (True_M) where
  True_M \equiv V \ undefined \lor_M \lnot_M V \ undefined
typedef 'g KripkeFrame = \{(G :: 'g \ set, R :: 'g \ rel). \ Field \ R \subseteq G\} by auto
abbreviation G Fr \equiv fst (Rep-KripkeFrame Fr)
abbreviation R Fr \equiv snd (Rep-KripkeFrame Fr)
lemma Frame-wf: Field (R Fr) \subseteq G Fr
using Rep-KripkeFrame[of Fr] by auto
lemma[simp]:
  assumes (g,h) \in R Fr
```

```
shows g \in G Fr h \in G Fr
proof-
  from assms have g \in Domain (R Fr) by auto
  also from Frame-wf[of Fr] have Domain(R Fr) \subseteq G Fr by (simp\ add: Field-def)
  finally show q \in G Fr.
  from assms have h \in Range (R Fr) by auto
  also from Frame-wf [of Fr] have Range (R Fr) \subseteq G Fr by (simp \ add: Field-def)
  finally show h \in G Fr.
qed
type-synonym ('g, 'a) KripkeStruct = 'g KripkeFrame \times (['g, 'a] \Rightarrow bool)
abbreviation Frame K \equiv fst K
abbreviation v \mathcal{K} \equiv snd \mathcal{K}
abbreviation G' \mathcal{K} \equiv G \ (Frame \ \mathcal{K})
abbreviation R' \mathcal{K} \equiv R \ (Frame \ \mathcal{K})
fun eval :: [('g, 'a) \ KripkeStruct, 'g, 'a \ PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (\langle -, -\rangle \models -[0,0,51] \ 50)
where
   \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (V \ var) = (v \ \mathcal{K}) \ g \ var
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| \models \neg_M f = (\neg \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f)
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f1 \wedge_M f2 = (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f1 \wedge \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f2)
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = \Box f = (\forall h. (g,h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle = f)
lemma eval-or[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f1 \vee_M f2 \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f1 \vee \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f2 by (simp
add:Or-def)
lemma eval-implies[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longrightarrow_M f2 \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f2
by (simp add:Implies-def)
lemma eval-iff [simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longleftrightarrow_M f2 \longleftrightarrow (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1) = (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f2) by
(auto simp add:Iff-def)
lemma eval-diamond[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Diamond f \longleftrightarrow (\exists h. (g,h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \land \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models f) by
(simp add:Diamond-def)
lemma eval-true[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models True_M by (simp add:Mod-True-def)
lemmas \ eval-impliesI = eval-implies[THEN iffD2, rule-format]
lemmas eval\text{-}boxD = eval.simps(4)[THEN iffD1, rule\text{-}format]
abbreviation global-eval :: [('g, 'a) KripkeStruct, 'a PModFml] <math>\Rightarrow bool (- \models -
[51,51] 50) where
  \mathcal{K} \models F \equiv (\forall g \in G' \mathcal{K}. \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F)
2.2
           Tautologies
abbreviation tautology F \equiv \forall (\mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) \text{ KripkeStruct}). \mathcal{K} \models F
lemma taut1: tautology (\Box F \longleftrightarrow_M \neg_M \Diamond \neg_M F) by auto
lemma taut2: tautology (\Box(P \longrightarrow_M Q) \longrightarrow_M (\Box P \longrightarrow_M \Box Q)) by simp
lemma taut3: tautology (\Box(P \land_M Q) \longleftrightarrow_M (\Box P \land_M \Box Q)) by auto
lemma taut4: tautology (\lozenge(P \vee_M Q) \longleftrightarrow_M (\lozenge P \vee_M \lozenge Q)) by auto
```

```
lemma taut5: tautology ((\Box P \lor_M \Box Q) \longrightarrow_M \Box (P \lor_M Q)) by simp lemma taut6: tautology (\Diamond (P \land_M Q) \longrightarrow_M (\Diamond P \land_M \Diamond Q)) by auto
```

2.3 Classes of Kripke Frames

```
type-synonym 'g KripkeClass = 'g KripkeFrame set abbreviation K :: 'g \text{ KripkeClass } \mathbf{where } K \equiv \text{UNIV} abbreviation T \equiv \{Fr \in K. \text{ refl-on } (G \text{ Fr}) \text{ } (R \text{ Fr})\}
```

lemma T[simp]: $Fr \in T \longleftrightarrow (\forall g \in G \ Fr. \ (g,g) \in R \ Fr)$ **using** $Frame-wf[of \ Fr]$ **by** $(auto \ simp:refl-on-def)$

2.4 Relative Tautologies

```
definition CTaut C F \equiv \forall Fr \in C. \ \forall v. \ \forall g \in G Fr. \ \langle (Fr,v),g \rangle \models F
```

```
lemma pred-def-rewrite: P \equiv Q \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow P by simp lemmas CTautI = CTaut-def[THEN pred-def-rewrite, rule-format]
```

```
lemma ttaut1: CTaut (T :: 'g \ KripkeClass) (\Box p \longrightarrow_M p)
proof (rule CTautI)
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  \mathbf{fix} \ v :: 'g \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  \mathbf{fix} \ q
  let ?\mathcal{K} = (Fr, v)
  assume Fr \in T g \in G Fr
  from this(1) have refl-on (G Fr) (R Fr) by simp
  hence (g,g) \in R Fr using \langle g \in G \text{ Fr} \rangle by (rule \text{ refl-on}D)
  hence (g,g) \in R' ?K by simp
  show \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (\Box p \longrightarrow_M p)
  proof (rule eval-impliesI)
    assume \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (\Box p)
    thus \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models p using \langle (g,g) \in R' ?\mathcal{K} \rangle by (rule\ eval\text{-}boxD)
  qed
qed
```

```
by (auto simp: CTaut-def intro:refl-onD) lemma ttaut3: CTaut T (\Box\Box p \longrightarrow_M \Box p)
```

lemma ttaut2: CTaut $T (p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond p)$

```
by (rule ttaut1) \mathbf{lemma} \ ttaut4 \colon \mathit{CTaut} \ T \ (\Box \Diamond p \longrightarrow_{M} \Diamond p)
```

by (rule ttaut1)

```
lemma ttaut5: CTaut T (\Box p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond \Box p)
by (rule ttaut2)
```

```
lemma ttaut6: CTaut T (\Diamond p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond \Diamond p) by (rule ttaut2)
```

2.5 Modal Logical Consequence

```
definition conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_L - [31,31] 30) where M \models_L F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) \ KripkeStruct. \ \forall g \in G' \ \mathcal{K}. \ \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models M \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F
```

definition global-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_G - [31,31] 30) where

$$M \models_G F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) KripkeStruct. \mathcal{K} \models M \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models F$$

definition rel-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'g KripkeClass, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_L - [31,0,31] 30) **where** $M \models_L{}^C F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K}$. Frame $\mathcal{K} \in C \longrightarrow (\forall g \in G' \mathcal{K}. \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models M \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F)$

definition global-rel-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'g KripkeClass, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_{G} - [31,0,31] 30) where

 $M \models_G ^{\vec{C}} F \equiv \forall \vec{\mathcal{K}}. \ \textit{Frame} \ \mathcal{K} \in C \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models M \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models F$

2.6 Model Deduction Theorem

theorem modal-deduction: $F_1 \models_L F_2 \longleftrightarrow True_M \models_L (F_1 \longrightarrow_M F_2)$ by $(simp\ add:conseq-def)$

end

theory ModalCharacterization imports ModalLogic begin

3 Correspondence Theory

3.1 What Is It About?

abbreviation char \mathcal{G} $F \equiv \forall Fr. Fr \in \mathcal{G} \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. (Fr,v) \models F)$

```
lemma char (T:: 'g \ KripkeClass) (\Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M V \ p)

proof (rule, rule)

fix Fr:: 'g \ KripkeFrame

show Fr \in T \Longrightarrow \forall v. \ (Fr, v) \models \Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M V \ p by (auto \ intro: refl-onD)

next

fix Fr:: 'g \ KripkeFrame

assume asm: \forall v. \ (Fr, v) \models \Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M V \ p

show Fr \in T

proof (rule \ ccontr)

assume Fr \notin T

then obtain g_0 where g\theta: g_0 \in G \ Fr \ (g_0,g_0) \notin R \ Fr by (auto \ simp: refl-on-def)

let ?v\theta = \lambda g \ p. \ (g_0,g) \in R \ Fr
```

```
have \neg \langle (Fr, ?v\theta), g_0 \rangle \models \Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M V \ p \ \text{using} \ g\theta(2) \ \text{by} \ simp with asm[THEN \ spec[\mathbf{where} \ x=?v\theta], \ THEN \ bspec[\mathbf{where} \ x=g_0]] \ g\theta(1) \ \text{show} False by simp qed qed end
```