# Simplistic ZFC Formalization

### Sebastian Ullrich

## April 19, 2014

### Contents

1	Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System	2
2	Lemmas on Unions and Intersections	4
3	Ordered Pairs	5
4	Relations and Functions 4.1 Existence Proofs	<b>6</b>
5	Natural Numbers 5.1 Peano's Axioms	<b>7</b> 8

```
theory ZFC
imports HOL
begin
typedecl set
axiomatization
  member :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool
notation
  member (op:) and
  member ((-/:-)[51, 51]50)
abbreviation not-member where
  not-member x A \equiv (x : A) — non-membership
notation
  not-member (op \sim:) and
  not-member ((-/\sim:-)[51, 51] 50)
notation (xsymbols)
  member
                   (op \in) and
                   ((-/ \in -) [51, 51] 50) and
  member
  not-member (op \notin) and
  not-member ((-/ \notin -) [51, 51] 50)
1
        Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System
axiomatization where
  extensionality: \forall z. (z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \Longrightarrow x = y and
  foundation: \exists y. y \in x \Longrightarrow \exists y. y \in x \land (\forall z. \neg (z \in x \land z \in y)) and
  subset\text{-}set: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land Pz and
  empty-set: \exists y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y and
  pair-set: \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2 and
  power-set: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x) and
  sum\text{-}set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)
definition empty :: set (\{\}) where
  empty \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ x. \ x \notin y
axiomatization where
  \textit{infinity:} \ \exists \ w. \ \{\} \in w \ \land \ (\forall \ x. \ x \in w \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \ z. \ z \in w \ \land \ (\forall \ u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \ \lor )
u=x)) and
  replacement: P \times y \wedge P \times z \longrightarrow y = z \Longrightarrow \exists u. (\forall w_1. w_1 \in u \longleftrightarrow (\exists w_2. w_2 \in v_3))
a \wedge P w_2 w_1)
```

```
proof-
  have \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y
  proof (rule ex-ex1I)
    fix y y'
    assume \forall x. x \notin y \ \forall x. x \notin y'
    thus y = y' by -(rule\ extensionality,\ simp)
  qed (rule empty-set)
  hence \forall x. x \notin \{\}
    unfolding empty-def
    by (rule theI')
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
Let's try to generalize that for the other introduction axioms.
lemma exAxiomD1:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
  shows \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
using assms
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma exAxiomD2:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
  shows x \in (THE y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x) \longleftrightarrow P x
apply (rule\ spec[of - x])
by (rule the I' [OF assms [THEN exAxiomD1]])
lemma exAxiomD3:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P \ x \ x \in (THE \ y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P \ x)
  shows P x
using assms exAxiomD2
by auto
lemma empty': x \notin empty
apply (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda-. False, simplified, folded empty-def])
by (rule empty-set)
\mathbf{lemma}[simp] \colon (\forall \, x. \, x \notin y) \longleftrightarrow y = \{\}
by (auto intro:extensionality)
definition pair :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-, -\}) where
  pair \ z_1 \ z_2 \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
definition singleton :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-\}) where
  singleton x \equiv \{x, x\}
definition sum :: set \Rightarrow set where
  sum \ x \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)
definition subset :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set where
```

```
subset P x \equiv THE y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P z
syntax
  subset :: pttrn => set \Rightarrow bool => set ((1\{-\in -./-\}))
translations
  \{z \in x. P\} == subset (\%z. P) x
definition Pow :: set \Rightarrow set where
  Pow \ x \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
lemma pair[simp]: x \in \{z_1, z_2\} \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda x. x = z_1 \vee x = z_2, simplified, folded pair-def]) (rule
pair-set)
lemma singleton[simp]: x \in \{y\} \longleftrightarrow x = y
by -(unfold\ singleton\text{-}def,\ simp)
lemma sum[simp]: z \in sum \ x \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \exists u. z \in u \land u \in x, simplified, folded sum-def]) (rule
sum-set)
lemma subset[simp]: z \in \{z \in x. \ P \ z\} \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P \ z
by (rule\ exAxiomD2[of\ \lambda z.\ z\in x\ \land\ P\ z,\ simplified,\ folded\ subset-def])\ (rule\ exAxiomD2[of\ \lambda z.\ z\in x\ \land\ P\ z,\ simplified,\ folded\ subset-def])
subset-set)
lemma Pow[simp]: z \in Pow \ x \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x, simplified, folded Pow-def])
(rule power-set)
2
       Lemmas on Unions and Intersections
definition union :: set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cup 65) where
  union \ x \ y \equiv sum \ (pair \ x \ y)
lemma union[simp]: z \in a \cup b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \lor z \in b
by (auto simp:union-def)
lemma union-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \lor z \in b
by (rule\ exI[of - a \cup b])\ simp
definition intersect :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cap 70) where
  a \cap b \equiv \{x \in a. \ x \in b\}
lemma intersect[simp]: z \in a \cap b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
by (simp add:intersect-def)
lemma intersect-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
by (rule subset-set)
```

```
definition Intersect :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set (\bigcap - [1000] 999) where
  \bigcap P \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
lemma Intersect[simp]: \exists z. \ P \ z \Longrightarrow z \in \bigcap P \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
proof (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. Pu \longrightarrow z \in u, simplified, folded Intersect-def])
  assume \exists z. P z
  then obtain z where [simp]: Pz ...
  let ?y = \{x \in z. \ \forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u\}
  have \forall x. \ x \in ?y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u) by auto
  thus \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. P u \longrightarrow x \in u)..
qed
       Ordered Pairs
3
definition ordered-pair :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set \ (\langle -,-\rangle) where
  \langle a,b\rangle \equiv \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}\
lemma intersect-singleton[simp]: x \cap \{y\} = (if \ y \in x \ then \ \{y\} \ else \ \{\})
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma empty-singleton-neg[simp]: \{x\} \neq \{\}
proof
  assume assm: \{x\} = \{\}
  have x \notin \{\} by simp
  with assm have x \notin \{x\} by simp
  thus False by simp
qed
lemma singleton-eqD[dest!]: \{x\} = \{y\} \Longrightarrow x = y
by (drule\ arg\text{-}cong[of - -\lambda z.\ y \in z])\ simp
lemma singleton-pair-eqD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x\} = \{y, z\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
proof-
  from assms have y \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{y, z\} by simp
  hence x = y by simp
  from assms have z \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow z \in \{y, z\} by simp
  hence x = z by simp
  with \langle x = y \rangle show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma singleton-pair-eqD'[dest!]:
  assumes \{y, z\} = \{x\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
using assms[symmetric] by (rule singleton-pair-eqD)
```

```
lemma pair-singleton[simp]: \{x, x\} = \{x\}
unfolding singleton-def ..
lemma pair-eq-fstD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x,y\} = \{x,z\}
  shows y = z
using assms
proof (cases x = y)
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  from assms have y \in \{x,y\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{x,z\} by simp
  with False show ?thesis by simp
qed auto
lemma ordered-pair-eq[simp]: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow x_1 = y_1 \land x_2 = y_2
proof
  assume assm: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle
  hence \{x_1\} \in \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1\} \in \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle by simp
  hence[simp]: x_1 = y_1 by (auto simp: ordered-pair-def)
  show x_1 = y_1 \wedge x_2 = y_2 using assm
  proof (cases x_2 = x_1)
    {f case} False
    from assm have \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle x_1,x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle y_1,y_2 \rangle by simp
    with False show ?thesis by (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
  qed (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
qed simp
       Relations and Functions
4
definition rel r \equiv \forall x. \ x \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x_1 \ x_2. \ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle)
definition rel'' \ r \ a \ b \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x_1 \ x_2. \ \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x_1 \in a \land x_2 \in b)
definition rel' r s \equiv rel'' r s s
definition func r \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x \ y_1 \ y_2, \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in r \land \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y_1 = y_2)
definition func' f \ a \ b \equiv func \ f \land rel'' f \ a \ b
4.1
         Existence Proofs
definition singletons a \equiv \{b \in Pow \ a. \ \exists x. \ b = \{x\}\}
lemma singletons[simp]: b \in singletons \ a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ b = \{x\} \land x \in a)
by (auto simp:singletons-def)
definition pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (a \cup b), \ \exists x \ y. \ c = \{x, y\} \land x \in a \land y \in b\}
lemma pairs-correct[simp]: c \in pairs\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ y.\ c = \{x,\ y\} \land x \in a \land y \in a)
by (auto simp:pairs-def)
```

```
definition ordered-pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (Pow \ a \cup Pow \ (a \cup b)). \ \exists x \ y. \ c = \langle x,y \rangle \land x \in a \land y \in b\}

lemma ordered-pairs[simp]: c \in ordered-pairs a \ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \ y. \ c = \langle x,y \rangle \land x \in a \land y \in b)
by (auto simp add:ordered-pairs-def ordered-pair-def)

definition rels a \ b \equiv \{r \in Pow \ (ordered-pairs a \ b). \ rel \ r\}

lemma rels[simp]: r \in rels \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow rel'' \ r \ a \ b
by (auto simp:rels-def rel-def rel''-def)

definition funcs a \ b \equiv \{f \in rels \ a \ b. \ func \ f\}

lemma funcs[simp]: f \in funcs \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow func' \ f \ a \ b
by (auto simp:funcs-def func'-def func-def)
```

#### 5 Natural Numbers

```
definition succ :: set \Rightarrow set ((-+) \lceil 1000 \rceil 999) where
  a^+ \equiv a \cup \{a\}
definition zero :: set (0) where 0 \equiv \{\}
definition Ded a \equiv 0 \in a \land (\forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a)
lemma icanhazded: \exists a. Ded a
proof-
  thm infinity
  from infinity obtain a where inf: \{\} \in a
    \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow (\exists z. \ z \in a \land (\forall u. \ (u \in z) = (u \in x \lor u = x))) by auto
  have \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a
  proof (rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    \mathbf{assume}\ x\in a
    with inf obtain z where z: z \in a \ \forall u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \lor u = x \ \text{by} \ auto
    from this(2) have [simp]: z = x \cup \{x\}
    by (auto intro:extensionality)
    with z(1) show x^+ \in a by (auto simp:succ-def)
  with inf(1) show ?thesis by (auto simp add:Ded-def zero-def)
qed
definition nats :: set (\mathbb{N}) where \mathbb{N} \equiv \bigcap Ded
lemma nats: n \in \mathbb{N} \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \ Ded \ a \longrightarrow n \in a)
unfolding nats-def
by (rule Intersect) (rule icanhazded)
```

#### 5.1 Peano's Axioms

end

```
lemma ax-zero: \theta \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma ax-succ: n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow n^+ \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma nonempty-member[simp]: x \neq \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists y.\ y \in x)
by (rule ccontr) simp
lemma union-nonempty[simp]: x \neq \{\} \lor y \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow x \cup y \neq \{\}
by auto
lemma ax-succ-neq-zero: n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^+ \neq 0
by (simp add:succ-def zero-def)
lemma ax-succ-inj:
 assumes n \in \mathbb{N} m \in \mathbb{N} n^+ = m^+
 shows n = m
proof-
  from assms(3) have m \in n \cup \{n\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
 hence m: n = m \lor m \in n by auto
 from assms(3)[symmetric] have n \in m \cup \{m\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
 hence n: n = m \lor n \in m by auto
 from n m foundation[of {n,m}]
 show ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
definition subseteq :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool (- \subseteq - 50) where
 x \subseteq y \equiv \forall z. \ z \in x \longrightarrow z \in y
lemma ax-trivial: \llbracket \theta \in x; \forall y. \ y \in x \longrightarrow y^+ \in x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \subseteq x
by (simp add:subseteq-def nats Ded-def)
```