FormSys2 Formalization

Sebastian Ullrich

May 10, 2014

Contents

1	ZFC		2
	1.1	Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System	2
	1.2	Lemmas on Unions and Intersubsections	4
	1.3	Ordered Pairs	5
	1.4	Relations and Functions	6
		1.4.1 Existence Proofs	6
	1.5	Natural Numbers	7
		1.5.1 Peano's Axioms	8
		1.5.2 Set Properties of \mathbb{N}	9
		1.5.3 Transitive Sets	10
		1.5.4 The order relation on \mathbb{N}	11
		1.5.5 Set Properties of \mathbb{N} (II)	11
2	Mo	dal Logic	12
	2.1	Definition	12
	2.2	Tautologies	13
	2.3	Classes of Kripke Frames	14
	2.4	Relative Tautologies	14
	2.5	Modal Logical Consequence	15
	2.6	Model Deduction Theorem	15
3	Cor	respondence Theory	15
	3.1	What Is It About?	15
	3.2		16
	3.3		17
	3.4		19

```
theory ZFC imports HOL begin
```

1 ZFC

```
typedecl set
```

```
axiomatization
member :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool

notation
member \ (op :) \ \mathbf{and}
member \ ((-/:-)[51, 51] 50)

abbreviation not\text{-}member \ \mathbf{where}
not\text{-}member \ x \ A \equiv \ ^\sim (x : A) \ -- \ \text{non-membership}

notation
not\text{-}member \ (op \ ^\sim :) \ \mathbf{and}
not\text{-}member \ ((-/\ ^\sim : -)[51, 51] 50)

notation (xsymbols)
member \ (op \in) \ \mathbf{and}
```

1.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Axiom System

 $((-/ \in -) [51, 51] 50)$ and

axiomatization where

not-member $(op \notin)$ and

not-member $((-/ \notin -) [51, 51] 50)$

member

```
extensionality: \forall z. \ (z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \Longrightarrow x = y \text{ and} foundation: \exists y. \ y \in x \Longrightarrow \exists y. \ y \in x \land (\forall z. \ \neg(z \in x \land z \in y)) \text{ and} subset-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P z \text{ and} empty-set: \exists y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y \text{ and} pair-set: \exists y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2 \text{ and} power-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x) \text{ and} sum-set: \exists y. \ \forall z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)

definition empty:: set ({}) where empty \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y

axiomatization where infinity: \exists w. \ {} \in x \lor (\forall x. \ x \in w \longleftrightarrow (\exists z. \ z \in w \land (\forall u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \lor u \in x))) and replacement: P \ x \ y \land P \ x \ z \longleftrightarrow y = z \Longrightarrow \exists u. \ (\forall w_1. \ w_1 \in u \longleftrightarrow (\exists w_2. \ w_2 \in u \land P \ w_2 \ w_1))
```

```
lemma empty[simp]: x \notin empty
proof-
  have \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \notin y
  proof (rule ex-ex1I)
    fix y y'
    assume \forall x. x \notin y \ \forall x. x \notin y'
    thus y = y' by -(rule\ extensionality,\ simp)
  qed (rule empty-set)
  hence \forall x. x \notin \{\}
    unfolding empty-def
    by (rule the I')
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
Let's try to generalize that for the other introduction axioms.
lemma exAxiomD1:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
  shows \exists ! y. \ \forall x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x
using assms
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma exAxiomD2:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow Px
  shows x \in (THE \ y. \ \forall \ x. \ x \in y \longleftrightarrow P \ x) \longleftrightarrow P \ x
apply (rule\ spec[of - x])
by (rule the I'[OF assms[THEN exAxiomD1]])
lemma exAxiomD3:
  assumes \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x \ x \in (THE \ y. \ \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow P x)
  shows P x
using assms exAxiomD2
by auto
lemma empty': x \notin empty
apply (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda-. False, simplified, folded empty-def])
by (rule empty-set)
\mathbf{lemma}[simp] \colon (\forall \, x. \, x \notin y) \longleftrightarrow y = \{\}
by (auto intro:extensionality)
definition pair :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-, -\}) where
  pair z_1 z_2 \equiv THE y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
definition singleton :: set \Rightarrow set (\{-\}) where
  singleton x \equiv \{x, x\}
definition sum :: set \Rightarrow set where
  sum \ x \equiv \textit{THE } y. \ \forall \, z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \, u. \ z \in u \, \land \, u \in x)
```

```
definition subset :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set where
  subset P x \equiv THE y . \forall z . z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P z
syntax
  subset :: pttrn => set \Rightarrow bool => set ((1\{-\in -./-\}))
translations
  \{z \in x. P\} == subset (\%z. P) x
definition Pow :: set \Rightarrow set where
  Pow \ x \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
lemma pair[simp]: x \in \{z_1, z_2\} \longleftrightarrow x = z_1 \lor x = z_2
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda x. x = z_1 \vee x = z_2, simplified, folded pair-def]) (rule
pair-set)
lemma singleton[simp]: x \in \{y\} \longleftrightarrow x = y
by -(unfold\ singleton\text{-}def,\ simp)
lemma sum[simp]: z \in sum \ x \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u. \ z \in u \land u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \exists u. z \in u \land u \in x, simplified, folded sum-def]) (rule
sum-set)
lemma subset[simp]: z \in \{z \in x. \ P \ z\} \longleftrightarrow z \in x \land P \ z
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. z \in x \land P z, simplified, folded subset-def]) (rule
subset-set)
lemma Pow[simp]: z \in Pow \ x \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. u \in z \longrightarrow u \in x, simplified, folded Pow-def])
(rule power-set)
         Lemmas on Unions and Intersubsections
1.2
definition union :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cup 65) where
  union \ x \ y \equiv sum \ (pair \ x \ y)
lemma union[simp]: z \in a \cup b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \vee z \in b
by (auto simp:union-def)
lemma union-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \lor z \in b
by (rule\ exI[of - a \cup b])\ simp
definition intersect :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow set (infixl \cap 70) where
  a \cap b \equiv \{x \in a. \ x \in b\}
lemma intersect[simp]: z \in a \cap b \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
by (simp add:intersect-def)
lemma intersect-script: \exists y. \forall z. z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \in a \land z \in b
```

```
definition Intersect :: (set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow set (\bigcap - [1000] 999) where
  \bigcap P \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
lemma Intersect[simp]: \exists z. \ P \ z \Longrightarrow z \in \bigcap P \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow z \in u)
proof (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \forall u. Pu \longrightarrow z \in u, simplified, folded Intersect-def])
  assume \exists z. P z
  then obtain z where [simp]: P z ...
  let ?y = \{x \in z. \ \forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u\}
  have \forall x. \ x \in ?y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ P \ u \longrightarrow x \in u) by auto
  thus \exists y. \forall x. x \in y \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. P u \longrightarrow x \in u)..
qed
definition Union :: set \Rightarrow set (\bigcup - [1000] 999) where
 \bigcup a \equiv THE \ y. \ \forall \ z. \ z \in y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u. \ z \in u \land u \in a)
lemma Union[simp]: z \in \bigcup a \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. z \in u \land u \in a)
by (rule exAxiomD2[of \lambda z. \exists u. z \in u \land u \in a, simplified, folded Union-def]) (rule
sum-set)
1.3
         Ordered Pairs
definition ordered-pair :: set \Rightarrow set (\langle -, - \rangle) where
  \langle a,b\rangle \equiv \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}
lemma intersect-singleton[simp]: x \cap \{y\} = (if y \in x then \{y\} else \{\})
by (auto intro:extensionality)
lemma empty-singleton-neg[simp]: \{x\} \neq \{\}
proof
  assume assm: \{x\} = \{\}
  have x \notin \{\} by simp
  with assm have x \notin \{x\} by simp
  thus False by simp
qed
lemma singleton-eqD[dest!]: \{x\} = \{y\} \Longrightarrow x = y
by (drule\ arg\text{-}cong[of - -\lambda z.\ y \in z])\ simp
lemma singleton-pair-eqD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x\} = \{y, z\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
proof-
  from assms have y \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{y, z\} by simp
```

by (rule subset-set)

hence x = y by simp

```
from assms have z \in \{x\} \longleftrightarrow z \in \{y, z\} by simp
  hence x = z by simp
  with \langle x = y \rangle show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma singleton-pair-eqD'[dest!]:
  assumes \{y, z\} = \{x\}
  shows x = y \land y = z
using assms[symmetric] by (rule singleton-pair-eqD)
lemma pair-singleton[simp]: \{x, x\} = \{x\}
unfolding singleton-def ..
lemma pair-eq-fstD[dest!]:
  assumes \{x,y\} = \{x,z\}
  shows y = z
using assms
proof (cases x = y)
  {f case} False
  from assms have y \in \{x,y\} \longleftrightarrow y \in \{x,z\} by simp
  with False show ?thesis by simp
qed auto
lemma ordered-pair-eq[simp]: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow x_1 = y_1 \land x_2 = y_2
proof
  assume assm: \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle
  hence \{x_1\} \in \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1\} \in \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle by simp
  hence[simp]: x_1 = y_1 by (auto simp: ordered-pair-def)
  show x_1 = y_1 \wedge x_2 = y_2 using assm
  proof (cases x_2 = x_1)
    case False
    from assm have \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle x_1,x_2 \rangle \longleftrightarrow \{x_1,x_2\} \in \langle y_1,y_2 \rangle by simp
    with False show ?thesis by (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
  qed (auto simp:ordered-pair-def)
qed simp
         Relations and Functions
1.4
definition rel r \equiv \forall x. \ x \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x_1 \ x_2. \ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle)
definition rel'' \ r \ a \ b \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x_1 \ x_2. \ \langle x_1, \ x_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x_1 \in a \land x_2 \in b)
definition rel' r s \equiv rel'' r s s
definition func r \equiv rel \ r \land (\forall x \ y_1 \ y_2. \ \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in r \land \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y_1 = y_2)
definition func' f \ a \ b \equiv func \ f \ \land \ rel'' f \ a \ b
1.4.1 Existence Proofs
definition singletons a \equiv \{b \in Pow \ a. \ \exists x. \ b = \{x\}\}
lemma singletons[simp]: b \in singletons \ a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ b = \{x\} \land x \in a)
by (auto simp:singletons-def)
```

```
definition pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (a \cup b). \ \exists x \ y. \ c = \{x, y\} \land x \in a \land y \in b\}
lemma pairs-correct[simp]: c \in pairs\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ y.\ c = \{x,\ y\} \land x \in a \land y \in a)
by (auto simp:pairs-def)
definition ordered-pairs a \ b \equiv \{c \in Pow \ (Pow \ a \cup Pow \ (a \cup b)). \ \exists \ x \ y. \ c = \langle x, y \rangle
\land x \in a \land y \in b\}
lemma ordered-pairs [simp]: c \in ordered-pairs a \ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \ y. \ c = \langle x,y \rangle \land x \in a
by (auto simp add:ordered-pairs-def ordered-pair-def)
definition rels a b \equiv \{r \in Pow \ (ordered\text{-pairs } a \ b). \ rel \ r\}
lemma rels[simp]: r \in rels \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow rel'' \ r \ a \ b
by (auto simp:rels-def rel-def rel"-def)
definition funcs a b \equiv \{f \in rels \ a \ b. \ func \ f\}
lemma funcs[simp]: f \in funcs \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow func' f \ a \ b
by (auto simp:funcs-def func'-def func-def)
         Natural Numbers
definition succ :: set \Rightarrow set (-+ \lceil 1000 \rceil 999) where
  a^+ \equiv a \cup \{a\}
definition zero :: set (0) where 0 \equiv \{\}
definition Ded a \equiv 0 \in a \land (\forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a)
lemma icanhazded: \exists a. Ded a
proof-
  from infinity obtain a where inf: \{\} \in a
    \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow (\exists z. \ z \in a \land (\forall u. \ (u \in z) = (u \in x \lor u = x))) by auto
  have \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x^+ \in a
  proof (rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in a
    with inf obtain z where z: z \in a \ \forall u. \ u \in z \longleftrightarrow u \in x \lor u = x \ \text{by} \ auto
    from this(2) have [simp]: z = x \cup \{x\}
    by (auto intro:extensionality)
    with z(1) show x^+ \in a by (auto simp:succ-def)
  with inf(1) show ?thesis by (auto simp add:Ded-def zero-def)
```

```
qed
definition nats :: set (\mathbb{N}) where \mathbb{N} \equiv \bigcap Ded
lemma nats: n \in \mathbb{N} \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \ Ded \ a \longrightarrow n \in a)
unfolding nats-def
by (rule Intersect) (rule icanhazded)
1.5.1
         Peano's Axioms
lemma ax-zero[simp]: \theta \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma ax-succ[simp]: n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^+ \in \mathbb{N}
by (simp add:nats Ded-def)
lemma nonempty-member[simp]: x \neq \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. \ y \in x)
by (rule ccontr) simp
lemma union-nonempty[simp]: x \neq \{\} \lor y \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow x \cup y \neq \{\}
by auto
lemma ax-succ-neq-zero[simp]: n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^+ \neq 0
by (simp add:succ-def zero-def)
lemma ax-succ-inj:
  assumes n \in \mathbb{N} m \in \mathbb{N} n^+ = m^+
  shows n = m
proof-
  from assms(3) have m \in n \cup \{n\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
  hence m: n = m \lor m \in n by auto
  from assms(3)[symmetric] have n \in m \cup \{m\} by (simp\ add:succ-def)
 hence n: n = m \lor n \in m by auto
  from n m foundation[of <math>\{n,m\}]
 show ?thesis by auto
qed
definition subseteq :: set \Rightarrow set \Rightarrow bool ((- \subseteq -) [51,51] 50) where
  x \subseteq y \equiv \forall z. \ z \in x \longrightarrow z \in y
lemma empty-subseteq[simp]: \{\}\subseteq x
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma singleton-subseteq[simp]: \{x\} \subseteq y \longleftrightarrow x \in y
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
```

lemma subseteq-trans[trans]: $[x \subseteq y; y \subseteq z] \implies x \subseteq z$

by (*simp* add:subseteq-def)

```
lemma subseteq-member[trans]: [x \in y; y \subseteq z] \implies x \in z
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma subseteqI[intro]: (\bigwedge z. \ z \in x \Longrightarrow z \in y) \Longrightarrow x \subseteq y
by (simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma subseteq-unionI[intro!]: x \subseteq y \lor x \subseteq z \Longrightarrow x \subseteq y \cup z
by (auto simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma union-subseteqI[simp]: x \cup y \subseteq z \longleftrightarrow x \subseteq z \land y \subseteq z
by (auto simp add:subseteq-def)
lemma ax-induct: [\![\theta \in x; \bigwedge y.\ y \in x \Longrightarrow y^+ \in x]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \subseteq x
by (simp add:subseteq-def nats Ded-def)
          Set Properties of N
1.5.2
lemma[simp]: \theta \in \theta^+
by (simp add:succ-def)
lemma[simp]: \theta \in y \Longrightarrow \theta \in y^+
by (simp add:succ-def)
lemma
  assumes n \in \mathbb{N} n \neq 0
 shows \theta \in n
proof-
  let ?x = \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \theta \in n\} \cup \{\theta\}
  note assms(1)
  also
 have \mathbb{N} \subseteq ?x by (rule ax-induct) auto
  finally have n \in ?x.
  with assms(2) show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma nat-induct[case-names Zero Succ[hyps IH], consumes 1]:
 assumes n \in \mathbb{N}
 shows P n
proof-
  let ?x = \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \ P \ n\}
 \mathbf{note} \ \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle
 also have \mathbb{N} \subseteq ?x by (rule ax-induct, simp-all add:assms(2,3))
 finally have n \in ?x.
  thus P \ n by simp
qed
```

1.5.3 Transitive Sets

```
definition trans a \equiv \forall x. \ x \in a \longrightarrow x \subseteq a
lemma trans': trans a \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ y. \ x \in a \land y \in x \longrightarrow y \in a)
by (auto simp add:trans-def subseteq-member)
lemma succ-subseteq[simp]: n \subseteq n^+
by (auto simp add:succ-def)
lemma succE:
 assumes n \in m^+
 obtains n \in m \mid n = m
proof (cases n \in m)
  {f case}\ {\it False}
 assume n = m \Longrightarrow thesis
  with False assms(1) show thesis by (simp add:succ-def)
\mathbf{qed}\ simp
\mathbf{lemma}[simp]: n \notin 0
by (simp add:zero-def)
lemma n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow trans \ n
proof (induct rule:nat-induct)
 {\bf case}\ {\it Zero}
 show ?case by (simp add:trans-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Succ \ n)
 \mathbf{show}~? case
  unfolding trans-def
  proof (rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in n^+
    thus x \subseteq n^+
   proof (cases \ x \ n \ rule:succ E)
      case 1
      with \langle trans \ n \rangle have x \subseteq n by (simp \ add:trans-def)
      also have n \subseteq n^+ by simp
      finally show ?thesis.
    \mathbf{next}
      case 2
      thus ?thesis by (auto simp add:succ-def)
    qed
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma trans \mathbb{N}
\mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{trans-def}
proof (rule, rule)
 \mathbf{fix}\ n
```

```
assume n \in \mathbb{N}
  thus n \subseteq \mathbb{N}
  by (rule nat-induct) (simp-all add:zero-def succ-def)
1.5.4
           The order relation on \mathbb{N}
definition trans-rel r \equiv \forall x \ y \ z. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \ \land \ \langle y,z \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in r
lemma trans-relD:
 assumes trans-rel r \langle x, y \rangle \in r \langle y, z \rangle \in r
 shows \langle x,z\rangle\in r
proof-
  from assms(1) have \langle x,y\rangle \in r \land \langle y,z\rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,z\rangle \in r
    unfolding trans-rel-def
    by -(erule \ all E)+
  with assms(2,3) show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma
 assumes trans-rel r \land n. \langle n, n^+ \rangle \in r \ m \in \mathbb{N}
 shows n \in m \longrightarrow \langle n, m \rangle \in r
using assms(3) proof (induct m rule:nat-induct)
  case (Succ \ m)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume n \in m^+
    thus \langle n, m^+ \rangle \in r
    proof (cases n \ m \ rule:succE)
      case 1
      show ?thesis proof (rule trans-relD[OF assms(1)])
        from 1 show \langle n,m\rangle \in r by (rule\ Succ.IH[THEN\ mp])
        show \langle m, m^+ \rangle \in r by (rule \ assms(2))
    qed (simp \ add:assms(2))
  qed
qed simp
1.5.5
          Set Properties of N (II)
definition less (- < - [51, 51] 50) where n < m \equiv n \in m
lemma trans-nat: [n \in \mathbb{N}; m \in n] \implies m \in \mathbb{N}
proof (induct rule:nat-induct)
  case (Succ \ n)
  from this(3) show ?case by (cases m n rule:succE) (auto intro:Succ)
qed simp
lemma n \in \mathbb{N} \Longrightarrow n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : m < n\}
unfolding less-def
```

```
by (rule extensionality) (auto intro:trans-nat)
```

end

theory ModalLogic imports Main begin

2 Modal Logic

2.1 Definition

```
datatype 'a PModFml
= V'a
 \mid Not 'a \ PModFml \ (\neg_M - [140] \ 140)
  And 'a PModFml 'a PModFml (infixr \wedge_M 125)
 \mid Box 'a \ PModFml \ (\Box - [140] \ 140)
definition Or :: 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \ (infixr \lor_M \ 130)
where
 x \vee_M y \equiv \neg_M(\neg_M x \wedge_M \neg_M y)
definition Implies :: 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml (infixr \longrightarrow_M
125) where
 x \longrightarrow_M y \equiv \neg_M \ x \vee_M y
definition Iff :: 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml (infixr \longleftrightarrow_M 110)
 x \longleftrightarrow_M y \equiv (x \longrightarrow_M y) \land_M (y \longrightarrow_M x)
definition Diamond :: 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \ (\lozenge - [140] \ 140) where
  \Diamond x \equiv \neg_M \ \Box \ \neg_M \ x
definition Mod\text{-}True :: 'a \ PModFml \ (True_M) where
  True_M \equiv V \ undefined \lor_M \lnot_M V \ undefined
typedef 'g KripkeFrame = \{(G :: 'g \ set, R :: 'g \ rel). \ Field \ R \subseteq G\} by auto
abbreviation G Fr \equiv fst (Rep-KripkeFrame Fr)
abbreviation R Fr \equiv snd (Rep-KripkeFrame Fr)
lemma Frame-wf: Field (R Fr) \subseteq G Fr
using Rep-KripkeFrame[of Fr] by auto
lemma[simp]:
  assumes (g,h) \in R Fr
```

```
shows g \in G Fr h \in G Fr
proof-
  from assms have g \in Domain (R Fr) by auto
  also from Frame-wf[of Fr] have Domain(R Fr) \subseteq G Fr by (simp\ add: Field-def)
  finally show q \in G Fr.
  from assms have h \in Range (R Fr) by auto
  also from Frame-wf [of Fr] have Range (R Fr) \subseteq G Fr by (simp \ add: Field-def)
  finally show h \in G Fr.
qed
type-synonym ('g, 'a) KripkeStruct = 'g KripkeFrame \times (['g, 'a] \Rightarrow bool)
abbreviation Frame K \equiv fst K
abbreviation v \mathcal{K} \equiv snd \mathcal{K}
abbreviation G' \mathcal{K} \equiv G \ (Frame \ \mathcal{K})
abbreviation R' \mathcal{K} \equiv R \ (Frame \ \mathcal{K})
fun eval :: [('g, 'a) \ KripkeStruct, 'g, 'a \ PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (\langle -, -\rangle \models -[0,0,51] \ 50)
where
  \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (V \ var) = (v \ \mathcal{K}) \ g \ var
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| \models \neg_M f = (\neg \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f)
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f1 \wedge_M f2 = (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f1 \wedge \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = f2)
|\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle| = \Box f = (\forall h. (g,h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle = f)
lemma eval-or[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f1 \vee_M f2 \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f1 \vee \langle \mathcal{K}, q \rangle \models f2 by (simp
add:Or-def)
lemma eval-implies[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longrightarrow_M f2 \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f2
by (simp add:Implies-def)
lemma eval-iff [simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1 \longleftrightarrow_M f2 \longleftrightarrow (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f1) = (\langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models f2) by
(auto simp add:Iff-def)
lemma eval-diamond[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Diamond f \longleftrightarrow (\exists h. (g,h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \land \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models f) by
(simp add:Diamond-def)
lemma eval-true[simp]: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models True_M by (simp add:Mod-True-def)
lemmas \ eval-impliesI[intro] = eval-implies[THEN iffD2, rule-format]
lemmas eval\text{-}boxI[intro] = eval.simps(4)[THEN iffD2, rule\text{-}format]
lemmas eval\text{-}boxD[dest] = eval.simps(4)[THEN iffD1, rule-format]
abbreviation global-eval :: [('g, 'a) KripkeStruct, 'a PModFml] <math>\Rightarrow bool (- \models -
[51,51] 50) where
  \mathcal{K} \models F \equiv (\forall g \in G' \mathcal{K}. \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F)
2.2
          Tautologies
abbreviation tautology F \equiv \forall (\mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) \ KripkeStruct). \ \mathcal{K} \models F
lemma taut1: tautology (\Box F \longleftrightarrow_M \neg_M \Diamond \neg_M F) by auto
lemma taut2: tautology (\Box(P \longrightarrow_M Q) \longrightarrow_M (\Box P \longrightarrow_M \Box Q)) by simp
lemma taut3: tautology (\Box(P \land_M Q) \longleftrightarrow_M (\Box P \land_M \Box Q)) by auto
```

```
lemma taut4: tautology (\lozenge(P \vee_M Q) \longleftrightarrow_M (\lozenge P \vee_M \lozenge Q)) by auto lemma taut5: tautology ((\Box P \vee_M \Box Q) \longrightarrow_M \Box(P \vee_M Q)) by simp lemma taut6: tautology (\lozenge(P \wedge_M Q) \longrightarrow_M (\lozenge P \wedge_M \lozenge Q)) by auto
```

2.3 Classes of Kripke Frames

```
type-synonym 'g KripkeClass = 'g KripkeFrame set abbreviation K :: 'g KripkeClass where K \equiv UNIV abbreviation T \equiv \{Fr \in K. refl-on (G Fr) (R Fr)\} abbreviation S4 \equiv \{Fr \in T. trans (R Fr)\} abbreviation S5 \equiv \{Fr \in S4. sym (R Fr)\} abbreviation K4 \equiv \{Fr \in K. trans (R Fr)\} abbreviation B \equiv \{Fr \in K4. sym (R Fr)\} abbreviation D \equiv \{Fr \in K4. sym (R Fr)\} abbreviation D \equiv \{Fr \in K4. sym (R Fr)\} abbreviation D \equiv \{Fr \in K4. sym (R Fr)\} lemma T[simp]: Fr \in T \longleftrightarrow (\forall g \in G Fr. (g,g) \in R Fr) using Frame-wf[of Fr] by (auto simp:refl-on-def)
```

2.4 Relative Tautologies

```
definition CTaut C F \equiv \forall Fr \in C. \ \forall v. \ \forall g \in G Fr. \ \langle (Fr, v), g \rangle \models F
```

```
lemma pred-def-rewrite: P \equiv Q \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow P by simp lemmas CTautI = CTaut-def[THEN pred-def-rewrite, rule-format]
```

```
lemma ttaut1: CTaut (T :: 'g \ KripkeClass) (\Box p \longrightarrow_M p)
proof (rule CTautI)
  \mathbf{fix}\ \mathit{Fr}\ ::\ 'g\ \mathit{KripkeFrame}
  \mathbf{fix} \ v :: {}'g \Rightarrow {}'a \Rightarrow bool
  \mathbf{fix} \ g
  let ?\mathcal{K} = (Fr,v)
  assume Fr \in T g \in G Fr
  from this(1) have refl-on (G Fr) (R Fr) by simp
  hence (g,g) \in R Fr using \langle g \in G \text{ Fr} \rangle by (rule \text{ refl-on}D)
  hence (g,g) \in R'?\mathcal{K} by simp
  show \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (\Box p \longrightarrow_M p)
  proof (rule eval-impliesI)
    assume \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models (\Box p)
    thus \langle ?\mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models p using \langle (g,g) \in R' ?\mathcal{K} \rangle by (rule eval-boxD)
  qed
qed
lemma ttaut2: CTaut T (p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond p)
by (auto simp: CTaut-def intro:refl-onD)
lemma ttaut3: CTaut T (\Box\Box p \longrightarrow_M \Box p)
by (rule ttaut1)
```

```
lemma ttaut4: CTaut T (\Box \Diamond p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond p)
by (rule ttaut1)
lemma ttaut5: CTaut T (\Box p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond \Box p)
by (rule ttaut2)
lemma ttaut6: CTaut T (\Diamond p \longrightarrow_M \Diamond \Diamond p)
by (rule ttaut2)
```

2.5 Modal Logical Consequence

definition conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'a PModFml]
$$\Rightarrow$$
 bool (- \models_L - [31,31] 30) **where** $M \models_L F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) \ KripkeStruct. \ \forall g \in G' \ \mathcal{K}. \ \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models M \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F$

definition global-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_G - [31,31] 30) where

$$M \models_G F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K} :: (nat, -) KripkeStruct. \mathcal{K} \models M \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models F$$

definition rel-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'q KripkeClass, 'a PModFml] \Rightarrow bool (- \models_L -[31,0,31] 30) where $M \models_L^C F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K}. \ \textit{Frame} \ \mathcal{K} \in C \longrightarrow (\forall g \in G' \ \mathcal{K}. \ \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models M \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models F)$

definition global-rel-conseq :: ['a PModFml, 'g KripkeClass, 'a PModFml] ⇒ bool (- \models_G - [31,0,31] 30) where $M \models_G^C F \equiv \forall \mathcal{K}$. Frame $\mathcal{K} \in C \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models M \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \models F$

Model Deduction Theorem

theorem modal-deduction: $F_1 \models_L F_2 \longleftrightarrow True_M \models_L (F_1 \longrightarrow_M F_2)$ by (simp)add:conseq-def)

end

 ${f theory}\ {\it Modal Characterization}$ imports ModalLogic begin

3 Correspondence Theory

What Is It About?

abbreviation char \mathcal{G} $F \equiv \forall Fr. Fr \in \mathcal{G} \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. (Fr,v) \models F)$

```
lemma char (T :: 'g \ KripkeClass) (\Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M V \ p)
proof (rule, rule)
 fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  show Fr \in T \Longrightarrow \forall v. (Fr, v) \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M V p by (auto intro:refl-onD)
next
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
```

```
assume asm: \forall v. (Fr, v) \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M V p
  show Fr \in T
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume Fr \notin T
   then obtain g_0 where g_0: g_0 \in G Fr(g_0,g_0) \notin R Fr by (auto simp:refl-on-def)
    let ?v\theta = \lambda g \ p. \ (g_0,g) \in R \ Fr
    have \neg \langle (Fr, ?v\theta), g_0 \rangle \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M V p \text{ using } g\theta(2) \text{ by } simp
    with asm[THEN\ spec[\mathbf{where}\ x=?v\theta],\ THEN\ bspec[\mathbf{where}\ x=g_0]]\ g\theta(1) show
False by simp
  qed
qed
lemma char (K_4 :: 'g \ KripkeClass) \ (\Box V \ p \longrightarrow_M \Box \Box V \ p)
proof (rule, rule)
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  show Fr \in K4 \Longrightarrow \forall v. (Fr, v) \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M \Box \Box V p by (auto elim:transE)
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  assume asm: \forall v. (Fr, v) \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M \Box \Box V p
  show Fr \in K4
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume Fr \notin K4
    then obtain x \ y \ z where xyz: x \in G \ Fr \ y \in G \ Fr \ z \in G \ Fr \ (x,y) \in R \ Fr
(y,z) \in R \ Fr \ (x,z) \notin R \ Fr \ \mathbf{by} \ (auto \ simp:trans-def)
    let ?v = \lambda g \ p. \ g \neq z
    have \neg \langle (Fr,?v),x \rangle \models \Box V p \longrightarrow_M \Box \Box V p using xyz by auto
    with asm[THEN\ spec[{\bf where}\ x=?v],\ THEN\ bspec[{\bf where}\ x=x]] show False
by simp
  qed
qed
         A More General Characterization
3.2
no-notation power (infixr \(^{\text{$}}80\))
primrec power :: 'a rel \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a rel (infixr \hat{\ }80) where
    power-\theta: a \hat{\ } \theta = Id
  \mid power\text{-}Suc: a \hat{\ }Suc \ n = a \hat{\ } n \ O \ a
notation (latex output)
  power ((--) [1000] 1000)
primrec box-n :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \Rightarrow 'a \ PModFml \ (\Box^- - [0,140] \ 140) where
  \Box^0 F = F
\mid box\text{-}n \ (Suc \ n) \ F = \square^n\square \ F
primrec diamond-n :: nat \Rightarrow 'a PModFml \Rightarrow 'a PModFml (\Diamond- [0,140] 140)
where
  \Diamond^0 F = F
| diamond-n (Suc n) F = \lozenge^n \lozenge F
```

```
lemma box-n[simp]:
  assumes g \in G' \mathcal{K}
  shows \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Box^n F \longleftrightarrow (\forall h \in G' \mathcal{K}. (g,h) \in (R' \mathcal{K}) \hat{n} \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F)
proof (induction n arbitrary: F)
  case (Suc \ n)
  show ?case
  proof (rule, rule, rule)
     \mathbf{fix} h
     assume asm: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models box-n \ (Suc \ n) \ F \ h \in G' \ \mathcal{K} \ (g, \ h) \in R' \ \mathcal{K} \ \hat{\ } Suc \ n
     with Suc.IH[THEN iffD1, OF asm(1)[simplified]] show \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F by auto
     assume \forall h \in G' \mathcal{K}. (g, h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \land Suc \ n \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F
     hence \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Box^n \Box F by - (rule Suc.IH[THEN iffD2], auto)
     thus \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models box\text{-}n \ (Suc \ n) \ F \ by \ simp
qed (simp add:assms)
lemmas box-nI[intro] = box-n[THEN iffD2, rule-format]
lemma diamond-n[simp]:
  assumes g \in G' \mathcal{K}
  shows \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Diamond^n F \longleftrightarrow (\exists h \in G' \mathcal{K}. (g,h) \in (R' \mathcal{K}) \hat{n} \land \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F)
proof (induction n arbitrary: F)
  case (Suc \ n)
  show ?case
  proof
     \mathbf{fix} h
     assume asm: \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models diamond\text{-}n \ (Suc \ n) \ F
     with Suc.IH[THEN\ iffD1,\ OF\ asm(1)[simplified]] show \exists\ h\in G'\ \mathcal{K}.\ (g,\ h)\in
R' \mathcal{K} \cap Suc \ n \wedge \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
     assume \exists h \in G' \mathcal{K}. (g, h) \in R' \mathcal{K} \land Suc \ n \land \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \models F
     hence \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models \Diamond^n \Diamond F by - (rule\ Suc.IH[THEN\ iffD2],\ auto)
     thus \langle \mathcal{K}, g \rangle \models diamond\text{-}n \ (Suc \ n) \ F \ \text{by } simp
qed (simp add:assms)
lemmas diamond-nI[intro] = diamond-n[THEN iffD2, rule-format]
3.3
          The C Property
abbreviation C m n j k \equiv \{Fr \in K. \forall w_1 w_2 w_3. (w_1, w_3) \in R Fr \upharpoonright m \land (w_1, w_2)\}
\in R \ Fr \ \hat{j} \longrightarrow (\exists w_4. \ (w_3, w_4) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{n} \land (w_2, w_4) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{k}) \}
lemma \neg char (C 0 0 0 1 :: 'a KripkeClass) (\lozenge^0\square^0 (V p) \longrightarrow_M \square^0 \lozenge^1 (V p))
proof-
  let ?Fr = Abs\text{-}KripkeFrame (\{\},\{\})
  have [simp]: G(?Fr) = \{\} using Abs-KripkeFrame-inverse [of(\{\},\{\})] by (metis)
```

```
(no-types) Field-empty empty-subsetI fst-conv mem-Collect-eq split-conv)
  \mathbf{have}[simp]: R\ (?Fr) = \{\} \mathbf{using}\ Abs-KripkeFrame-inverse[of\ (\{\},\{\})] \mathbf{by}\ (metis
(no-types) Field-empty empty-subset Ifst-conv mem-Collect-eq split-conv surjective-pairing)
  have l: \neg ?Fr \in C \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 by simp
  have r: \forall v. (?Fr, \lambda g \ p. \ True) \models (\lozenge^0 \square^0 \ (V \ p) \longrightarrow_M \square^0 \lozenge^1 \ (V \ p)) by simp
  \mathbf{show}~? the sis
  apply (rule, drule spec[where x = ?Fr])
  using l \ r by auto
qed
— Well... maybe this definition shouldn't be taken quite as literally
abbreviation C' m n j k \equiv \{Fr \in K. \ \forall w_1 \in G \ Fr. \ \forall w_2 \in G \ Fr. \ \forall w_3 \in G \ Fr.
(w_1,w_3) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{} \ m \land (w_1,w_2) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{} \ j \longrightarrow (\exists w_4. \ (w_3,w_4) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{} \ n \land j )
(w_2, w_4) \in R \ Fr \ \hat{\ } k)
lemma R-n-closed:
  assumes g \in G Fr(g,h) \in R Fr \hat{n}
  shows h \in G Fr
using assms by (induct n) auto
theorem char (C' \ m \ n \ j \ k :: 'g \ KripkeClass) \ (\lozenge^m \square^n \ (V \ p) \longrightarrow_M \square^j \lozenge^k \ (V \ p))
proof (rule, rule, rule)
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  \mathbf{fix} \ v
  assume asm: Fr \in C' \ m \ n \ j \ k
  show (Fr, v) \models \Diamond^m \Box^n (Vp) \longrightarrow_M \Box^j \Diamond^k (Vp)
  proof (rule, rule)
    fix w_1
    assume w_1: w_1 \in G'(Fr, v) \langle (Fr, v), w_1 \rangle \models \Diamond^m \square^n (Vp)
    then obtain w_3 where w_3: w_3 \in G Fr(w_1,w_3) \in R Fr \hat{m} \langle (Fr,v),w_3 \rangle \models
\square^n (V p) by auto
    from this (1,3) have p: \forall w_4 \in G Fr. (w_3,w_4) \in R Fr \hat{} n \longrightarrow \langle (Fr,v),w_4 \rangle \models
(V p) by simp
    from w_1(1) show \langle (Fr, v), w_1 \rangle \models \Box^j \Diamond^k (Vp)
    proof
      fix w_2
      assume w_2: w_2 \in G'(Fr, v) (w_1, w_2) \in R'(Fr, v) j
      from asm w_1(1) w_3 this obtain w_4 where w_4: (w_3, w_4) \in R Fr \hat{} n (w_2, w_4)
\in R \ Fr \ \hat{} \ k \ by \ atomize-elim \ auto
      from this(1) w_3(1) have [simp]: w_4 \in G Fr by -(rule\ R-n-closed)
      from w_4(1) have \langle (Fr,v),w_4\rangle \models (Vp) using p[THEN\ bspec[\mathbf{where}\ x=w_4]]
      with w_2(1) w_4(2) show \langle (Fr, v), w_2 \rangle \models \Diamond^k (Vp) by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  fix Fr :: 'g KripkeFrame
  assume asm: \forall v. (Fr, v) \models \Diamond^m \Box^n (V p) \longrightarrow_M \Box^j \Diamond^k (V p)
```

```
show Fr \in C' \ m \ n \ j \ k proof (simp, rule, rule, rule, rule) fix w_1 \ w_2 \ w_3 assume [simp]: \ w_1 \in G \ Fr \ w_2 \in G \ Fr \ w_3 \in G \ Fr assume 123: \ (w_1, \ w_3) \in R \ Fr \ ^n \ h \ (w_1, \ w_2) \in R \ Fr \ ^n have \langle (Fr, ?v), w_1 \rangle \models \lozenge^m \square^n (V \ p) using 123 by auto with asm[THEN \ spec[\mathbf{where} \ x = ?v], THEN \ bspec[\mathbf{where} \ x = w_1]] have \langle (Fr, ?v), w_1 \rangle \models \square^j \lozenge^k \ (V \ p) by auto with 123 obtain w_4 where (w_2, w_4) \in R \ Fr \ ^k \ (w_3, w_4) \in R \ Fr \ ^n by auto thus \exists \ w_4. \ (w_3, \ w_4) \in R \ Fr \ ^n \ h \ (w_2, \ w_4) \in R \ Fr \ ^k by auto qed
```

3.4 Meaning of Some C-Properties

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{lemma}[simp] \colon r \; \hat{\ } & 2 = r \; O \; r \; \mathbf{by} \; (simp \; add : Suc-1[symmetric]) \\ \mathbf{lemma} \; & K4 = C \; 0 \; 1 \; 2 \; 0 \; \mathbf{by} \; (auto \; simp \; add : trans-def) \\ \mathbf{lemma} \; & T = C' \; 0 \; 1 \; 0 \; 0 \; \mathbf{by} \; (rule \; set-eqI, \; subst \; T, \; auto) \\ \mathbf{lemma} \; & \{Fr \in K. \; sym \; (R \; Fr)\} = C \; 1 \; 1 \; 0 \; 0 \; \mathbf{by} \; (simp \; add : sym-def) \end{aligned}
```

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$