

Public opinion on Delhi's street dog law is divided. Some believe it will reduce attacks and ensure safer neighborhoods, while others argue it could be used unfairly against feeders. The mixed responses highlight how polarizing the issue truly is. Those who believe in the law's potential for creating safer neighborhoods point to its structured approach. They argue that a systematically sterilized and vaccinated dog population will be healthier and less aggressive. Combined with regulated feeding, this could lead to a significant reduction in negative incidents. On the other side of the spectrum are those who fear the law will become a tool for persecution. They argue that in the hands of intolerant RWAs or biased officials, the rules could be used to systematically harass people who care for animals, effectively punishing compassion. This deep division in opinion shows that people's views are shaped by their personal experiences and values. The issue is not just about dogs; it's about fear, empathy, community, and control. The law has landed right in the middle of this emotional and ideological minefield, and its mixed reception is a direct consequence of how deeply polarizing the core issue has always been in urban India.