

The new Delhi street dog law has been rejected by critics for being unbalanced. They argue it puts animal rights over human safety, making it a dangerous precedent. Public anger remains strong. The fundamental reason for the rejection of this law is its perceived lack of balance. Critics argue that any law governing human-animal interaction in public spaces must have human safety as its non-negotiable first principle. This law, they contend, violates that principle. It is seen as elevating the 'right' of a dog to live on the street above the right of a human to be safe on that same street. This is not just seen as a flawed policy for the specific issue of street dogs; it is viewed as a dangerous precedent for public policy in general. It suggests a willingness by the government to compromise the safety of its citizens in favor of other ideological goals. This has sparked strong public anger, as it touches upon the very core of the relationship between a state and its people. The law is seen as a betrayal of the government's most basic duty.