

The law concerning street dogs in Delhi has been strongly criticized by many citizens. They argue it creates more restrictions than solutions, leaving families worried about ongoing attacks. For them, the law feels inadequate and poorly designed. Critics point out that while the law spends a lot of time on long-term goals like sterilization, it offers no immediate relief for those living in terror of aggressive dog packs. For a family whose child has been bitten, a ten-year plan to stabilize the population is meaningless. They see the new regulations on feeding as creating more bureaucracy rather than providing safety. The law feels like it was designed by people who don't live with the daily reality of the problem. It lacks urgency and teeth. What happens today if a pack of dogs terrorizes a neighborhood? The law is vague on immediate, effective interventions. It talks about relocating 'aggressive' dogs, but the process is undefined and likely to be slow and cumbersome. For the many citizens who were hoping for a strong, decisive action plan to reclaim their streets, this law feels like a massive disappointment—a collection of half-measures that is poorly designed to handle the crisis at hand.