

Delhi's new dog law has become a topic of coffee-table debates. Some say it finally recognizes citizens' complaints, others feel it criminalizes compassion. Its mixed reception proves how divided society is on this issue. In living rooms and online forums across the city, the law is being dissected. One side argues that it's a victory for public safety and civic order. For too long, they claim, the valid fears of residents, especially parents and the elderly, were ignored in favor of a vocal animal rights lobby. This law, they believe, restores a much-needed balance. The other side passionately argues that the law, with its regulations on feeding, effectively criminalizes a basic act of kindness. They fear it will empower those who are hostile towards animals and their caregivers, leading to more conflict and harassment. This sharp divergence of opinion shows that the law is not just an administrative matter; it's a reflection of a deeper societal schism. It's about how we define our public spaces, our responsibilities towards other living beings, and the limits of individual compassion versus collective safety. The law didn't create this division, but it has certainly brought it out into the open for everyone to see.