

The recently enacted dog law in Delhi is a mixed bag for most citizens. Residents facing frequent dog attacks see relief in regulation, while feeders and activists argue it restricts their work. Both sides acknowledge the issue is serious, but the law may not satisfy everyone equally. For someone living in an area with a high incidence of dog bites, the law's provisions for identifying and relocating aggressive dogs offer a glimmer of hope. It feels like their pleas for safety have finally been heard. Conversely, for the feeders, who often form deep bonds with the animals they care for, the regulation of feeding spots feels like an unnecessary and restrictive measure. They argue that their work is a form of community service, helping to keep the dogs healthy and calm. They fear the new rules could be used by hostile neighbors to harass them. The law, in trying to please everyone, has created a situation where both sides feel they have had to compromise. It's a classic case of a policy that provides partial solutions to both camps, leaving neither fully satisfied and continuing the underlying tension that has defined this issue for decades.