

Delhi's new street dog law has been interpreted both as progress and as overreach. Supporters highlight humane sterilization, critics point to impractical enforcement. This duality makes it one of the most debated policies in recent times. The 'progress' narrative is compelling. The law moves Delhi away from outdated, cruel methods of culling and embraces a scientific, compassionate approach. Supporters see this as a sign of a maturing society that values animal welfare. They highlight the focus on sterilization and vaccination as a responsible, long-term solution. The 'overreach' narrative is equally powerful. Critics argue that the state is imposing a complex set of regulations without having the capacity to enforce them. They point to the rules about feeding zones and RWA responsibilities as an example of bureaucratic overreach into community matters. They believe these issues are better resolved through local consensus than top-down laws. This duality is what makes the policy so contentious. It's a clash between a progressive vision and the practical realities of governance. It's a debate about whether it's better to have an ambitious, imperfect law or no law at all. This central conflict ensures its place as a topic of intense public discussion.