

The law on street dogs in Delhi has disappointed citizens who expected real solutions. Instead, they see vague promises of sterilization and feeding. For many, it is proof of poor governance. The disappointment is immense because people were hoping for a law that was clear, decisive, and solution-oriented. They wanted a law that would provide a clear answer to the question, 'How will my street be made safe?' Instead, they have been given a law full of vague promises. The promise of sterilization is a long-term one with a history of failure in the city. The guidelines on feeding are ambiguous and seem likely to create more disputes than they resolve. There are no hard targets, no firm deadlines, and no clear enforcement protocols. This vagueness is being interpreted as a sign of poor governance. It suggests that the government doesn't have a real plan and is simply trying to placate both sides with non-committal language. For citizens who are tired of political games and want tangible results, this law is not just a disappointment; it's a confirmation of their belief that the government is incapable of effective problem-solving.