

Minnesota April 11, 2020

Mobility changes

Google prepared this report to help you and public health officials understand responses to social distancing guidance related to COVID-19. This report shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data](#).

Retail & recreation

-44%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-2%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+50%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

-51%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-36%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+14%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

Aitkin County


Retail & recreation *

-47% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-35% compared to baseline



Parks *

-58% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Anoka County


Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline




Parks

+118% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline




Workplace

-36% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Becker County


Retail & recreation *

-57% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

+62% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-36% compared to baseline



Residential *

+16% compared to baseline



Beltrami County


Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

+3% compared to baseline



Parks *

-38% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-30% compared to baseline



Residential *

+22% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Benton County

Retail & recreation *

-35% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *


+21% compared to baseline



Big Stone County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-35% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Blue Earth County


Retail & recreation

-57% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline



Parks *

-26% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-32% compared to baseline



Residential *

+23% compared to baseline



Brown County

Retail & recreation *

-29% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-21% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-16% compared to baseline



Residential *

+9% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Carlton County


Retail & recreation *

-27% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-14% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-27% compared to baseline



Residential *


+11% compared to baseline



Carver County


Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline




Parks *

+46% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *

+28% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cass County


Retail & recreation *

-60% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline




Parks *

-11% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *


+0% compared to baseline



Chippewa County

Retail & recreation *

-19% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-22% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Chisago County


Retail & recreation

-43% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline




Parks *

-33% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-32% compared to baseline



Residential *

+24% compared to baseline



Clay County


Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-35% compared to baseline



Residential *

+25% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clearwater County


Retail & recreation *

-72% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-28% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-47% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Cook County


Retail & recreation *

-64% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-58% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-54% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cottonwood County


Retail & recreation *

-46% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-52% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Crow Wing County


Retail & recreation

-42% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline



Parks *

+14% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-39% compared to baseline



Residential *

+20% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dakota County


Retail & recreation

-42% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline



Parks

+90% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline




Workplace

-38% compared to baseline



Residential


+14% compared to baseline



Dodge County


Retail & recreation *

-46% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-17% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-27% compared to baseline



Residential *

+4% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Douglas County


Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-20% compared to baseline




Parks *

-47% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

-28% compared to baseline




Workplace

-27% compared to baseline



Residential *

+22% compared to baseline



Faribault County


Retail & recreation *

-31% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-10% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-14% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fillmore County


Retail & recreation *

-52% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-7% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-21% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Freeborn County


Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations

-20% compared to baseline




Workplace

-30% compared to baseline



Residential *

+18% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Goodhue County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+13% compared to baseline




Parks *

-20% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-34% compared to baseline



Residential *

+21% compared to baseline



Grant County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hennepin County


Retail & recreation

-53% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline




Parks

+65% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-65% compared to baseline




Workplace

-43% compared to baseline



Residential


+16% compared to baseline



Houston County

Retail & recreation *

-78% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-11% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-30% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hubbard County


Retail & recreation *

-35% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-42% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Isanti County


Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+14% compared to baseline



Parks *

-36% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-24% compared to baseline



Residential *

+20% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Itasca County


Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-31% compared to baseline



Residential *


+19% compared to baseline



Jackson County


Retail & recreation *

-77% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-46% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kanabec County


Retail & recreation *

-34% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-12% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-40% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Kandiyohi County


Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-7% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-20% compared to baseline



Residential *

+20% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Koochiching County

Retail & recreation *

-46% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-18% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-29% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Lac qui Parle County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-36% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lake County


Retail & recreation *

-43% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-16% compared to baseline



Parks *

+25% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-42% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Lake of the Woods County


Retail & recreation *

-71% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

Not enough data for this date



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Le Sueur County


Retail & recreation *

-1% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-26% compared to baseline



Residential *


+18% compared to baseline



Lincoln County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-40% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lyon County


Retail & recreation *

-39% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-12% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-26% compared to baseline



Residential *


+4% compared to baseline



Mahnomen County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-60% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marshall County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-49% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Martin County

Retail & recreation *

-45% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-16% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-13% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McLeod County


Retail & recreation

-32% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-14% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-22% compared to baseline



Residential *

+21% compared to baseline



Meeker County


Retail & recreation *

-31% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-17% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-21% compared to baseline



Residential *

+3% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Mille Lacs County


Retail & recreation *

-30% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

+4% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-32% compared to baseline



Residential *


+11% compared to baseline



Morrison County


Retail & recreation *

-37% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-17% compared to baseline




Parks *

-61% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-28% compared to baseline



Residential *

+14% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Mower County


Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-17% compared to baseline



Residential *


+20% compared to baseline



Murray County


Retail & recreation *

-70% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-39% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Nicollet County


Retail & recreation *

-47% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-34% compared to baseline



Residential *

+20% compared to baseline



Nobles County


Retail & recreation *

-33% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-3% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

-49% compared to baseline




Workplace

-45% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Norman County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Olmsted County

Retail & recreation

-50% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



Parks

+107% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-29% compared to baseline



Workplace

-37% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Otter Tail County


Retail & recreation

-41% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

+0% compared to baseline



Parks *

-9% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-26% compared to baseline



Residential *


+20% compared to baseline



Pennington County


Retail & recreation *

-35% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-23% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-49% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pine County

Retail & recreation *

-41% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

+9% compared to baseline




Parks *

-47% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-46% compared to baseline



Residential *

+10% compared to baseline



Pipestone County

Retail & recreation *

-29% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-20% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Polk County


Retail & recreation *

-52% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-19% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-32% compared to baseline



Residential *

+15% compared to baseline



Pope County


Retail & recreation *

-55% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-39% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ramsey County


Retail & recreation

-54% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline




Parks

+26% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline




Workplace

-39% compared to baseline



Residential


+15% compared to baseline



Red Lake County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-37% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Redwood County


Retail & recreation *

-41% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-16% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-30% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Renville County


Retail & recreation *

-77% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace

-22% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Rice County


Retail & recreation

-31% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+14% compared to baseline




Parks *

+2% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline



Workplace

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *


+21% compared to baseline



Rock County

Retail & recreation *

-41% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-40% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Roseau County


Retail & recreation *

-73% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-23% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-52% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Scott County


Retail & recreation

-40% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline



Parks

+83% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-40% compared to baseline



Residential

+15% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sherburne County


Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline



Parks *

-42% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-32% compared to baseline



Residential *


+24% compared to baseline



Sibley County

Retail & recreation *

-71% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-11% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

St. Louis County


Retail & recreation

-51% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline




Parks

+32% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-36% compared to baseline




Workplace

-36% compared to baseline



Residential


+14% compared to baseline



Stearns County


Retail & recreation

-48% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline




Parks

-19% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-53% compared to baseline



Workplace

-34% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline



Steele County


Retail & recreation

-40% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+22% compared to baseline



Parks *

-37% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-47% compared to baseline




Workplace

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *

+22% compared to baseline



Stevens County


Retail & recreation *

-48% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-4% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-33% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Swift County

Retail & recreation *

-38% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-15% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-41% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Todd County


Retail & recreation *

-23% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-7% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-35% compared to baseline



Residential *

+3% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wabasha County


Retail & recreation *

-59% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

+13% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-31% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Wadena County


Retail & recreation *

-40% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

-8% compared to baseline



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Waseca County

Retail & recreation *

-25% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

-9% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-23% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



Washington County


Retail & recreation

-41% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



Parks

+136% compared to baseline




Transit stations *

-7% compared to baseline




Workplace

-38% compared to baseline



Residential

+15% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Watonwan County


Retail & recreation *

-51% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-12% compared to baseline



Residential *


Not enough data for this date



Wilkin County


Retail & recreation *

Not enough data for this date




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date



Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace *

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Winona County


Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline




Parks *

Not enough data for this date




Transit stations *

-54% compared to baseline



Workplace

-31% compared to baseline



Residential *


+24% compared to baseline



Wright County


Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline




Parks *

+79% compared to baseline



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date



Workplace

-31% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Yellow Medicine County


Retail & recreation *

-57% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy *

Not enough data for this date




Parks *

Not enough data for this date



Transit stations *

Not enough data for this date




Workplace *

-38% compared to baseline



Residential *

Not enough data for this date



About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility