

6. Mechanism: Limited Direct Execution

Operating System: Three Easy Pieces

How to efficiently virtualize the CPU with control?

- ▣ The OS needs to share the physical CPU by **time sharing**.
- ▣ Issue
 - ◆ **Performance**: How can we implement virtualization without adding excessive overhead to the system?
 - ◆ **Control**: How can we run processes efficiently while retaining control over the CPU?

Direct Execution

- Just run the program directly on the CPU.

OS	Program
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Create entry for process list2. Allocate memory for program3. Load program into memory4. Set up stack with <code>argc / argv</code>5. Clear registers6. Execute call <code>main()</code> <ol style="list-style-type: none">9. Free memory of process10. Remove from process list	<ol style="list-style-type: none">7. Run <code>main()</code>8. Execute <code>return from main()</code>

**Without *limits* on running programs,
the OS wouldn't be in control of anything and
thus would be "just a library"**

Problem 1: Restricted Operation

- ▣ What if a process wishes to perform some kind of restricted operation such as ...
 - ◆ Issuing an I/O request to a disk
 - ◆ Gaining access to more system resources such as CPU or memory

- ▣ **Solution:** Using protected control transfer (processor supports it)
 - ◆ **User mode:** Applications do not have full access to hardware resources.
 - ◆ **Kernel mode:** The OS has access to the full resources of the machine

System Call

- ▣ Allow the kernel to **carefully expose** certain key pieces of functionality to user program, such as ...
 - ◆ Accessing the file system
 - ◆ Creating and destroying processes
 - ◆ Communicating with other processes
 - ◆ Allocating more memory

System Call (Cont.)

▣ **Trap** instruction

- ◆ Jump into the kernel
- ◆ Raise (the processor) privilege level to kernel mode

▣ **Return-from-trap** instruction

- ◆ Return into the calling user program
- ◆ Reduce (the processor) privilege level back to user mode

Limited Direction Execution Protocol

OS @ boot
(kernel mode)

Hardware

initialize trap table

remember address of ...
syscall handler

OS @ run
(kernel mode)

Hardware

Program
(user mode)

Create entry for process list
Allocate memory for program
Load program into memory
Setup user stack with argv
Fill kernel stack with reg/PC
return-from -trap

restore regs from kernel stack
move to user mode
jump to main

Run main()
...
Call system
trap into OS

Limited Direction Execution Protocol (Cont.)

OS @ run
(kernel mode)

Hardware

Program
(user mode)

(Cont.)

Handle trap
Do work of syscall
return-from-trap

save regs to kernel stack
move to kernel mode
jump to trap handler

restore regs from kernel stack
move to user mode
jump to PC after trap

Free memory of process
Remove from process list

...
return from main
trap (via `exit()`)

Problem 2: Switching Between Processes

- ▣ How can the OS **regain control** of the CPU so that it can switch between *processes*?
 - ◆ A cooperative Approach: **Wait for system calls**
 - ◆ A Non-Cooperative Approach: **The OS takes control**

A cooperative Approach: Wait for system calls

- ▣ Processes **periodically give up the CPU** by making **system calls** such as `yield`.
 - ◆ The OS decides to run some other task.
 - ◆ Application also transfer control to the OS when they do something illegal.
 - Divide by zero
 - Try to access memory that it shouldn't be able to access
 - ◆ Ex) Early versions of the Macintosh OS, The old Xerox Alto system

A process gets stuck in an infinite loop.
→ Reboot the machine

A Non-Cooperative Approach: OS Takes Control

▣ A timer interrupt

- ◆ During the boot sequence, the OS start the timer (hardware).
- ◆ The timer raise an interrupt every so many milliseconds. (hardware)
- ◆ When the interrupt is raised :
 - The currently running process is halted.
 - Save enough of the state of the program
 - A pre-configured interrupt handler in the OS runs.

A timer interrupt gives OS the ability to run again on a CPU.

Saving and Restoring Context

- ▣ **Scheduler** makes a decision:
 - ◆ Whether to continue running the **current process**, or switch to a **different one**.
 - ◆ If the decision is made to switch, the OS executes context switch.

Context Switch

- ▣ A low-level piece of assembly code
 - ◆ **Save a few register values** for the current process onto its kernel stack
 - General purpose registers
 - PC
 - kernel stack pointer
 - ◆ **Restore a few** for the soon-to-be-executing process from its kernel stack
 - ◆ **Switch to the kernel stack** for the soon-to-be-executing process

Limited Direction Execution Protocol (Timer interrupt)

OS @ boot
(kernel mode)

Hardware

initialize trap table

remember address of ...
syscall handler
timer handler

start interrupt timer

start timer
interrupt CPU in X ms

OS @ run
(kernel mode)

Hardware

Program
(user mode)

Process A

...

timer interrupt

save regs(A) to k-stack(A)
move to kernel mode
jump to trap handler

Limited Direction Execution Protocol (Timer interrupt)

OS @ run
(kernel mode)

Hardware

Program
(user mode)

(Cont.)

Handle the trap
Call switch() routine
 save regs(A) to proc-struct(A)
 restore regs(B) from proc-struct(B)
 switch to k-stack(B)
return-from-trap (into B)

restore regs(B) from k-stack(B)
move to user mode
jump to B's PC

Process B
...

The xv6 Context Switch Code

```
1 # void swtch(struct context **old, struct context *new);
2 #
3 # Save current register context in old
4 # and then load register context from new.
5 .globl swtch
6 swtch:
7     # Save old registers
8     movl 4(%esp), %eax           # put old ptr into eax
9     popl 0(%eax)                # save the old IP (pop from stack to mem)
10    movl %esp, 4(%eax)           # and stack
11    movl %ebx, 8(%eax)           # and other registers
12    movl %ecx, 12(%eax)
13    movl %edx, 16(%eax)
14    movl %esi, 20(%eax)
15    movl %edi, 24(%eax)
16    movl %ebp, 28(%eax)
17
18    # Load new registers
19    movl 4(%esp), %eax           # put new ptr into eax
20    movl 28(%eax), %ebp          # restore other registers
21    movl 24(%eax), %edi
22    movl 20(%eax), %esi
23    movl 16(%eax), %edx
24    movl 12(%eax), %ecx
25    movl 8(%eax), %ebx
26    movl 4(%eax), %esp          # stack is switched here
27    pushl 0(%eax)               # return addr put in place
28    ret                         # finally return into new ctxt
```


Current xv6 Code

```
# Context switch
#
# void swtch(struct context **old, struct context *new);
#
# Save current register context in old
# and then load register context from new.

.globl swtch
swtch:
    movl 4(%esp), %eax
    movl 8(%esp), %edx

    # Save old callee-save registers
    pushl %ebp
    pushl %ebx
    pushl %esi
    pushl %edi

    # Switch stacks
    movl %esp, (%eax)
    movl %edx, %esp

    # Load new callee-save registers
    popl %edi
    popl %esi
    popl %ebx
    popl %ebp
    ret
```

In proc.h

// Don't need to save %eax, %ecx, %edx, because the 46

// x86 convention is that the caller has saved them.

Worried About Concurrency?

- ▣ What happens if, during interrupt or trap handling, another interrupt occurs?
- ▣ OS handles these situations:
 - ◆ **Disable interrupts** during interrupt processing
 - ◆ Use a number of sophisticated **locking** schemes to protect concurrent access to internal data structures.

- Disclaimer: This lecture slide set is used in AOS course in University of Cantabria. Was initially developed for Operating System course in Computer Science Dept. at Hanyang University. This lecture slide set is for OSTEP book written by Remzi and Andrea Arpaci-Dusseau (at University of Wisconsin)