# Foreign Investments and Aid in Africa Examined

Khalid Ahmed

Revised in 2025,

First written in 2024

Date: April, 27th, 2025

# <u>Section 1: Introduction</u>

In recent years, Africa has become a focal point for global economic engagement, with foreign investments and aid pouring into the continent from various sources, including powerful nations, multinational corporations, and international charitable organizations. The dynamics of the continent's economies have fundamentally changed as a result of the increase in outside participation in Africa's economic development.

In light of this, it is crucial to examine Africa's complex economic environment, which is influenced by past legacies and current global dynamics. For many people with personal connections to Africa, as well as for policymakers and development professionals, understanding the multifaceted impact of foreign investments and aid on African economies is of utmost importance.

This inquiry seeks to uncover the nuanced effects of these external interventions, spanning from their influence on political stability and social development to their consequences for overall economic growth in Africa.

At the heart of this investigation lies a crucial question: What is the effect of foreign investments and aid on African economies? This paper tests the hypothesis that ***foreign aid has a long-term negative effect on African economies due to an overdependence on support***. This hypothesis will be examined through three scholarly articles that investigate the impact of aid on governance, GDP, and investment effectiveness in African contexts.

## Section 2: Examination

To test the hypothesis that foreign aid has a long-term negative effect on African economies, three academic studies were reviewed, each offering a unique perspective on the issue.

The first article by Bräutigam and Knack (2004) investigates whether large amounts of foreign assistance to Sub-Saharan Africa contribute to poor governance and weak institutional development. This study utilized panel/time-series data across 59 developing countries from 1971 to 2003, using the Penn World Table as a key source. They measured governance using the International Country Risk Guide indices and employed Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and Two-Stage Least Squares (2SLS) to control for endogeneity.

Their findings showed that ***high levels of foreign aid correlated negatively with governance quality*** in Sub-Saharan Africa. While aid aimed to improve development, the results suggested it often eroded government institutions by fostering dependency and weakening incentives for reform. According to the authors, "***Foreign aid as an institution began in 1947 with the Marshall Plan, and almost immediately concerns arose over the impact of large amounts of aid on the behaviour and attitudes of recipient governments***" (Bräutigam & Knack). This supports the hypothesis, as governance is central to economic development and institutional strength.

The second study, by Herzer and Morrissey (2013), analyzed the long-term relationship between foreign aid and domestic output (GDP). It used a blend of observational data, including panel cointegration methods and time-series analysis, covering 59 developing countries from 1971 to 2003. Their method, which employed group-mean panel DOLS estimators, explored both the direct impact of aid on investment and the indirect effects on productivity.

The authors found that ***aid can increase GDP through investment,*** but in countries with weak governance, it can ***negatively affect aggregate productivity***. This dual effect challenges the idea that aid is uniformly harmful. The study found that *"**the impact of aid on GDP involves a trade-off… aid directly boosts** GDP **through financing investment, but it can also indirectly***

***affect aggregate productivity, potentially in a negative way if it exacerbates growth-retarding factors like poor governance***" (Herzer & Morrissey). These results suggest that aid's long-term impact depends on the governance environment, partially supporting the hypothesis, but with important caveats.

The third article, "Low Investment Is Not the Constraint on African Development" by Devarajan, Easterly, and Pack (2003), challenges the notion that increasing investment, including foreign investment, leads to substantial economic growth in Africa. The study relied on observational data and cross-country regressions, drawing from sources such as the World Bank and the IMF, with a focus on Sub-Saharan Africa between the 1960s and 1990s.

Their key finding was that ***there is no robust link between higher investment levels and improved economic performance in Africa.*** The authors assert that ***"the cross-country evidence suggests that there is no direct relationship" between investment and growth performance*** (Devarajan, Easterly, & Pack). They argue that poor governance and weak policies reduce the effectiveness of investment, foreign or domestic. This insight aligns with the hypothesis, reinforcing the idea that foreign capital flows, including aid, fail to yield long-term benefits without structural institutional improvements.

Together, these three articles show that ***while foreign aid may have short-term financial benefits, its long-term effect is often negative or mixed, particularly when governance is weak.*** The hypothesis is supported in significant part, especially concerning the systemic issues that hinder aid from achieving lasting growth in African nations.

## Section 3: Policy and Future

Combining the findings from the three studies, several key policy implications emerge. First, aid programs must be ***willing to accommodate on specific needs and governance capacities of recipient nations.*** Rather than promoting a one-size-fits-all model, donor institutions and African governments should adopt context-specific approaches that prioritize building institutional capacity.

Second, there is a critical need to ***strengthen governance frameworks and reduce aid dependency.*** Bräutigam and Knack emphasize that aid should be conditional on governance improvements, while Herzer and Morrissey stress the importance of managing aid in ways that prevent negative impacts on productivity. Devarajan, Easterly, and Pack further recommend that ***the quality and efficiency of investment matter more than its quantity***. Thus, future aid strategies should focus on enhancing institutional resilience, fiscal responsibility, and policy reform.

Third, there must be ***greater emphasis on monitoring and evaluation.*** Continuous assessments of aid outcomes — particularly regarding their effects on governance and productivity — should be embedded within aid programs to allow for dynamic, responsive adjustments.

Ultimately, foreign aid can be effective **only when paired with domestic policy improvements, strong leadership, and transparent institutions.** Without these, aid may reinforce stagnation rather than promote sustainable development.

## Section 4: Personal Understanding and Reflection

After reviewing the three articles and analyzing their findings, I strongly agree with the overarching conclusion that foreign aid alone is not a sustainable or comprehensive solution to Africa's development challenges. My original hypothesis, that foreign aid has a long-term negative effect on African economies, is largely supported by the evidence. However, the studies also demonstrate that in the presence of effective governance, aid can contribute meaningfully to economic growth and institutional development.

What has become increasingly clear through this research is that the effectiveness of foreign aid is not inherently negative or positive; its impact is entirely dependent on the conditions into which it is introduced. When aid enters fragile, poorly governed systems, it can exacerbate existing problems such as dependency, inefficiency, or institutional decay. However, when implemented alongside governance reform, capacity-building, and long-term strategy, aid has the potential to provide real benefits.

One of the most important takeaways is that the development challenges facing African nations are far too complex to be addressed by a single explanation or solution. Foreign aid exists within a broader ecosystem of issues that include government corruption, corporate exploitation, Western political and economic interference, armed conflict, famine, systemic oppression, and a widespread lack of access to quality education. Each of these factors, independently or in combination, can undermine the intended benefits of aid and render even the best-funded programs ineffective.

Thus, while the hypothesis finds strong support, it must be nuanced: foreign aid itself is not the problem — the issue lies with how it is designed, distributed, managed, and eventually withdrawn. A critical failure arises when aid is given without proper infrastructure, transparency, or knowledge transfer, and then removed abruptly, leaving nations without the means to sustain newly raised standards of living. This cycle can inflict long-term damage, creating dependency without fostering resilience or self-sufficiency.

Ultimately, this research reaffirms that Africa does not need more aid—it needs better aid. Aid that is tailored, accountable, and tied to long-term systems-building; aid that empowers rather than replaces local institutions; and aid that respects the sovereignty and unique challenges of each nation. The future of African development must be rooted in holistic, locally informed approaches that prioritize institutional strength, education, and economic independence over short-term relief.

## References

Bräutigam, D. A., & Knack, S. (2004). *Foreign Aid, Institutions, and Governance in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 52(2). https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/380592?seq=22

Herzer, D., & Morrissey, O. (2013). *Foreign Aid and Domestic Output in the Long Run*. Review of World Economics, 149, 723–748. https://www-jstor-org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/stable/42635339?search

Devarajan, S., Easterly, W. R., & Pack, H. (2003). *Low Investment Is Not the Constraint on African Development*. The University of Chicago. https://www-jstor-org.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/stable/10.1086/368154