**Task1**. Will the conversion to BCNF be dependency preserving in any case? Proof your statement and give a reasoning for choosing BCNF design.

BCNF is not be dependency preserving. For example, if we consider a schema:

 ${\tt dept\_advisor(student\_ID, instructor\_ID, dept\_name)} \ with \ {\tt dependencies:}$ 

instructor\_ID -> dept\_name

student\_ID, dept\_name -> instructor\_ID.

dept\_advisor is not in BCNF: instructor\_ID is not a superkey. Any decomposition of dept\_advisor will not include all the attributes in student ID, dept\_name -> instructor\_ID.

Thus, the composition is NOT be dependency preserving

#### Task2.

<u>StudentID</u>	<u>UnitID</u>	Date	Room	Grade
St1	U1	23.02.03	629	4.7
St2	U2	18.11.02	631	5.1
St4	U1	23.02.03	629	4.3
St2	U5	05.05.03	632	4.9
St2	U4	04.07.03	621	5.0

<u>UnitID</u>	Topic	Book
U1	GMT	Deumlich
U2	Gln	Zehnder
U5	PhF	Dummlers
U4	AVG	SwissTopo

<u>TutorID</u>	TutEmail
Tut1	tut1@fhbb.ch
Tut3	tut3@fhbb.ch
Tut5	tut5@fhbb.ch

<u>UnitID</u>	<u>StudentID</u>	<u>TutorID</u>
U1	St1	Tut1
U2	St1	Tut3
U1	St4	Tut1
U5	St2	Tut3
U4	St2	Tut5

#### Task3.

<u>ProjectName</u>	<u>ManagerID</u>
Project1	1
Project2	2

<u>ProjectName</u>	Budget	TeamSize
Project1	1kk \$	15
Project3	1,5kk \$	12

ManagerID	ProjectManager	Position
1	Manager1	СТО
2	Manager2	CTO2

# Task4.

Group	Speciality
G1	S1
G2	S2

<u>Speciality</u>	Faculty
S1	F1
S2	F2

# Task5.

<u>ID</u>	<u>ProjectID</u>	Department	Curator	TeamID
ld1	P1	D1	E1	T1
ld2	P2	D2	E2	T2

<u>TeamID</u>	ProjectGroupNumber
T1	5
T2	6

<u>ID</u>	<u>TeamID</u>	<u>Teamsize</u>
ld1	T1	100
ld2	T2	120

### Task6.

Goal for a relational database design is:

- BCNF
- Lossless join
- Dependency preservation

If we cannot achieve this, we accept one of

- Lack of dependency preservation
- Redundancy due to use of 3NF