Boostrap

Khanin Sisaengsuwanchai

2022-06-07

Create a bootstrap function in R.

Parameters - B: The number of bootstrap replications to be done (default of 1000). - ci: Confidence level for the interval (default 95%).

```
\# Define a function to calculate confidence interval with t distribution because
# we don't know the population parameters
conf_interv = function(data, index, ci) {
   # Select data based on given index
  data = data[index]
   sample.n = length(data) # Number of sample
   sample.mean = mean(data) # Calculate sample mean
             = sd(data)/sqrt(sample.n) # Calculate sample standard errors
   # Calculate t-score
   alpha = 1-ci # Confidence interval
   t.score = qt(p=alpha/2, df=sample.n-1, lower.tail=FALSE)
  margin.error <- t.score * sample.se # Calculate margin of errors</pre>
   bound.lower <- sample.mean - margin.error # Lower bound</pre>
   bound.upper <- sample.mean + margin.error # Upper bound</pre>
   conf_limits = data.frame("lower_bound" = bound.lower, "upper_bound" = bound.upper)
   return(conf_limits)
}
# Create a function that do bootstrap as defined params
boost ci = function(Y, B=1000, ci=0.95) {
  # 1. if ci > 1 or ci < 0, returns errors
  # 2. Define max B
  i = 1
  # Define an empty dataframe to keep the confidence interval in each loop
  boost_ci_df = data.frame(lower_bound = numeric(0), upper_bound = numeric(0))
  while(i \le B){
   boost = sample(length(Y), length(Y), replace = T)
   # Collect confidence interval
```

```
boost_ci_df = rbind(boost_ci_df, conf_interv(Y, boost, ci=ci))
i = i + 1
}
return(boost_ci_df)
}
```

(a) Using the baby weights dataset, construct a bootstrap 95% confidence interval for the mean infant birth weight. Compare this to the ordinary confidence interval.

```
load(url("http://www.stodden.net/StatData/KaiserBabies.rda"))
set.seed(1)
# 1. Calculate ordinary confidence interval on birth weight
ord ci.bw = conf interv(infants$bwt, 1:length(infants$bwt), ci=0.95)
ord_ci.bw
     lower_bound upper_bound
##
        118.5592
                    120.5945
# 2. Generate boostrap dataset and return confidence interval
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, ci=0.95)
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5384
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.572
# Ordinary lower bound is 118.5592 and boostrap lower bound is 118.5384,
# which is 0.021 more than boostrap lower bound.
# Ordinary upper bound is 120.5945 and boostrap upper bound is 120.572,
# which is 0.0225 more than boostrap upper bound.
```

(b) Repeat the bootstrap interval from (a) 9 more times, using B=1000 each time. Do you think B=1000 is big enough for this problem? Why or why not? You may want to empirically test your ideas by running your function with $B \gg 1000$.

```
# Repeat bootstrap 10 more times with B=100 and ci=0.95
# 1
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#1')
```

[1] "#1"

```
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5466
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5793
# 2
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#2')
## [1] "#2"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5252
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5598
# 3
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#3')
## [1] "#3"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5875
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.6191
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#4')
## [1] "#4"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5762
```

```
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.6094
# 5
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#5')
## [1] "#5"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5631
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5979
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#6')
## [1] "#6"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.566
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.6015
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#7')
## [1] "#7"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5255
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.561
```

```
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#8')
## [1] "#8"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5518
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5857
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#9')
## [1] "#9"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5603
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5968
# 10
boost_ci_df.bw = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=1000)
print('#10')
## [1] "#10"
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5747
mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.6084
## Try with B >> 1000
set.seed(1)
#B = 10,000
boost_ci_df = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=10000, ci=0.95)
mean(boost_ci_df$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
```

```
## [1] 118.5541
mean(boost_ci_df$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5883
#B = 30,000
boost_ci_df = boost_ci(infants$bwt, B=30000, ci=0.95)
mean(boost_ci_df$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 118.5595
mean(boost_ci_df$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 120.5937
# B = 1000
# lower bound is 118.5384
# upper bound is 120.572
#B = 10000
# lower bound is 118.5541
# upper bound is 120.5883
# B = 50000
# lower bound is 118.5595
# upper bound is 120.5937
# According to the results, I believe having B = 1000 is not big enough because
# When we increase B, the lower bound and upper bound still increase and they become
# stable with only third digits differences. However, I choose B=1000 due to computationally
# limitation.
 (c) Compute bootstrap (B = 1000) and regular 95% confidence intervals for for mean house price in the
    SFHousing data.
# Load house data
load(url("https://www.stodden.net/StatData/SFHousing.rda"))
set.seed(1)
# 1. Calculate ordinary confidence interval on housing price
ord_ci.p = conf_interv(housing$price, 1:length(housing$price), ci=0.95)
ord_ci.p
```

lower bound upper bound

603300

600699.5

1

```
# 2. Generate boostrap dataset and return confidence interval
boost_ci_df.hou = boost_ci(housing$price, B=1000, ci=0.95)
mean(boost_ci_df.hou$lower_bound) # mean of lower bound
## [1] 600705.4
mean(boost_ci_df.hou$upper_bound) # mean of upper bound
## [1] 603305
 (d) For which dataset does the difference in the bootstrap and regular confidence intervals appear great-
    est? Is there anything about these datssets that might lead you to predict that for one of them, the
    parametric intervals might be better than bootstrap intervals, or vice versa?
# To calculate the difference in the bootstrap and regular confidence intervals,
# I will use percent differences to illustrate the results.
# 1. Kaiser dataset
# Calculate percent difference of regular confidence interval and boostrap
diff_lower.kai = abs(ord_ci.bw$lower_bound-mean(boost_ci_df.bw$lower_bound))*100/ord_ci.bw$lower_bound
diff_lower.kai
## [1] 0.01310211
diff_upper.kai = abs(ord_ci.bw$upper_bound-mean(boost_ci_df.bw$upper_bound))*100/ord_ci.bw$upper_bound
diff_upper.kai
## [1] 0.01147113
# 2. SF Housing dataset
# Calculate percent difference of regular confidence interval and boostrap
diff_lower.hou = abs(ord_ci.p$lower_bound-mean(boost_ci_df.hou$lower_bound))*100/ord_ci.p$lower_bound
diff_lower.hou
## [1] 0.0009898389
diff_upper.hou = abs(ord_ci.p$upper_bound-mean(boost_ci_df.hou$upper_bound))*100/ord_ci.p$upper_bound
diff_upper.hou
## [1] 0.0008248393
# According to the results, the Kaiser dataset has the largest percent differences compared to SFhousin
# The situation where parametric intervals might be better than bootstrap intervals is when
# we already have a lot of data says more than 100,000 rows, so it is no need to boostrap.
```