# Life Without Parole Prisoner Organ Donations

Kahlil W. Ethan T. Owen. D
11/13/2019

# Contents

$\boldsymbol{A}$	Abstract 1			
1	Importance			
3	Proposition 2.1 Baseline	2 2 3		
	3.1 Assumptions	3		
4	Data	4		
5	Sources			
6	Work to be completed			

# Abstract

We aim to determine if using the kidneys of inmates that are serving life without parole (LWOP) or death row inmates can benefit society. The life savings of decreasing transplant wait list patients might offset the cost of the transplant operation itself, as well as any social costs of an involuntary program. Perhaps even a voluntary one.

# 1 Importance

There is a global shortage of organs. The most common transplant being kidneys. Many people who could continue to live healthy normal lives if given kidneys are often placed on long waiting lists for organs. Organs legally can't be sold or bought anywhere, except Iran, and **must** be donated. By increasing the supply of organs without any cost to functioning society we could potentially see life savings in the hundreds of milliosns.

# 2 Proposition

### 2.1 Baseline

As with any benefit cost analysis, we will consider a  $Net\ Benefits=0$ . We could calculate and quantify the cost of life of the status quo as it relates to people dying on transplant wait-lists. However, it would just work into the primary proposal and cancel out.

## 2.2 Primary Proposal

All LWOP and Death Row inmates are involuntarily marked as kidney donors upon their death. There kidneys are then made available to patients on a waiting list.

# 2.3 Secondary Propoal

Similar to the first proposal, but the system is volunatary.

# 3 Technical Plan

## 3.1 Assumptions

- 1. All kidneys are healthy and usable
- 2. The survey methodology is sound
- 3. There is no corruption
- 4. We can assume that if a patient in need of a life-saving organ were to decline it due to its origin, that another patient further down the line in need of a transplant would accept it, and as such, we will assume that we can value prisoner's organs at the same value as non-prisoner's organs.

## 3.2 Monetization and Quantification

Symbol	Benefit	Cost
$\overline{\phi}$	Life Savings	
$\gamma$		Transplant Operation
$\rho$		Social Cost

#### 3.2.1 Life Savings $\phi$

Description: The monetary value of life savings due to an increase in usable kidneys for the use of human transplants

Life Savings Benefit = 
$$\widehat{LAT} * \widehat{\Delta T} * D_s * p(U_k)$$

 $\widehat{LAT}$ : Average number of years of healthy life after a successful transplant

 $\hat{\Delta T}$ : Change (increase) in kidney supply. Number of LWOP and Death Row inmates that die annually.

 $D_s$ : Dialysis Standard. Roughly \$124,000 per year of healthy life.

 $p(U_k)$ : Probability of sucess/usable kidney

#### 3.2.2 Tranplant Operation Cost $\gamma$

Description: The cost of completing an operation. Kidneys can't be sold or bought, but typically the receipient pays for all the medical operations.

\$414,800 for kidneys. Based on the 2016 Milliman Report.

### 3.2.3 Social Cost $\rho$

Description: Cost to society in USD for involunatarily harvesting deceased prisoners organs without their consent.

$$Social\ Cost = \widehat{p(C)} * \bar{A_m} * \widehat{I_c}$$

 $\widehat{p(C)}$ : Number of people who would need to have their next of kind compensated for having their kidneys used.

 $\bar{A_m}$ : Average compensation amount.

 $\widehat{I}_c$ : Number of LWOP and Death Row in mates that die in prison annualy.

Footnote: The probabilities and compensation amounts are calculated on a team authored survey that was sent out to a small sample of people. As the assumption states, we are assuming our methodology is sound. We know it more than likely isn't and the sample is too small to make any real claims about, but we are working on improving the quality of feedback.

#### 3.2.4 Calculating Net Benefits (NB)

$$NB = \phi - \gamma - \rho$$

#### 3.2.5 Discounting

Assuming that that organs have a relatively high demand elasticity and don't have a direct biological value we don't think discounting them is appropriate. If anything, they are already discounted based on survival rates and healthy years post-op based on the papers we are sourcing our data from.

The other point that could be considered for discounting is adjusting the compensation number for inflation. However we are considering the project on a year-by-year basis and don't think the increase will be drastic enough to warrant consideration.

### 4 Data

Still working on ensuring our data is sound and the numbers make sense in scope of our analysis. We feel that the required data is clearly listed and is attainable in a reasonable amount of time

# 5 Sources

Working on it

# 6 Work to be completed

- 1. Finding numbers
- 2. Summarising and analysing survey data
- 3. Proper citation
- 4. Listing contingencies.
- 5. Finish the write up