### Stochastic matrices

A/Prof Richard Yi Da Xu Yida.Xu@uts.edu.au Wechat: aubedata

https://github.com/roboticcam/machine-learning-notes

University of Technology Sydney (UTS)

June 4, 2018

### Stochastic matrices

Right stochastic matrix (or row stochastic matrix) is a real square matrix, with each row summing to 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\rightarrow 1} & \dots & K_{1\rightarrow n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{d\rightarrow 1} & \dots & K_{d\rightarrow n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{n\rightarrow 1} & \dots & K_{n\rightarrow n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Left stochastic matrix (or column stochastic matrix) is a real square matrix, with each column summing to 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\rightarrow 1} & \dots & K_{n\rightarrow 1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{1\rightarrow d} & \dots & K_{n\rightarrow d} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{1\rightarrow n} & \dots & K_{n\rightarrow n} \end{bmatrix}$$

doubly stochastic matrices: is a real square matrix, where both each column and each row summing to 1.



### Product of two stochastic matrix is still stochastic

Each entry in the product AB is a dot product of a row from A and a column from B.

$$(AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj}$$

• We need to prove, for a single row of product  $(AB)_{i,:}$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (AB)_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{kj})$$

- ▶ Because *B* is stochastic,  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{kj} = 1$
- ▶ Because *A* is stochastic,  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} = 1$

### Perron-Frobenius Theorem:

If K is a **positive**, left stochastic matrix, then:

- ▶ 1 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity one.
- ▶ 1 is the largest eigenvalue: all the other eigenvalues have absolute value smaller than 1.
- the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 have either only positive entries or only negative entries.
- Note that K is a **positive** means,  $K_{ij} \ge 0 \ \forall i, j$ . It's NOT **positive** definite matrix

# Power Method Convergence Theorem

- Let K be a positive, left (i.e., column) stochastic  $n \times n$  matrix.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\pi^*$  its **probabilistic eigenvector** corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\to 1} & \dots & K_{n\to 1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{1\to n} & \dots & K_{n\to n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_n^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_n^* \end{bmatrix}$$

- Let  $\pi^{(1)}$  be the column vector with all entries equal to some arbitrary stochastic vector.
- ▶ Then sequence  $\{\pi^{(1)}, K\pi^{(1)}, K^2\pi^{(1)}, \dots, K^t\pi^{(1)}, \dots, K^\infty\pi^{(1)}\}$  converges to the vector  $\pi^*$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} K^t = K^{\infty} \implies \lim_{t \to \infty} K^t \pi^{(1)} = \pi^*$$

Exercise Generate some random matrix in MATLAB and to show an example of the above.



#### Extend to continous case

in the **discrete** case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1 \rightarrow 1} & K_{2 \rightarrow 1} & \dots & K_{n \rightarrow 1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{1 \rightarrow d} & K_{2 \rightarrow d} & \dots & K_{n \rightarrow d} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{1 \rightarrow n} & K_{2 \rightarrow n} & \dots & K_{n \rightarrow n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_d^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_n^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_d^* \\ \dots \\ \pi_n^* \end{bmatrix} \implies \pi_d^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i^* K_{i \rightarrow d}$$

• in the **continous** case, let  $\pi(x)$  be the target distribution:

$$\pi(x^{(n+1)}) = \int_{x_n} \pi(x^{(n)}) K(x^{(n)} \to x^{(n+1)})$$

- A transition kernel K contains element-wise entries:  $\{K(x^{(n)} \to x^{(n+1)})\}\ \forall x^{(n)}, x^{(n+1)}$
- ▶ Sometimes we prefer to write  $(x^{(n)} \text{ as } x)$  and  $(x^{(n+1)} \text{ as } x^*)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$   $K(x \to x^*)$  is the probability a process at state x moves to state  $x^*$  in a **one step**
- $ightharpoonup K^n(x o x^*)$  is the probability a process at state x moves to state  $x^*$  in **n steps**



# Power Method Convergence in continuous case

• One may have first sample  $x^{(1)}$  distributed from an arbitrary distribution:

$$x^{(1)} \sim \pi^{(1)}$$

**b** by applying K function, to obtain  $x^{(2)}$  given  $x^{(1)}$  with probability:

$$\pi^{(2)}(x^{(2)}) = \int_{x^{(1)}} \pi^{(1)}(x^{(1)}) K(x^{(1)} \to x^{(2)}) dx^{(1)}$$

**b** by applying K function again, to obtain  $x^{(3)}$  with probability:

$$\begin{split} \pi^{(3)}\big(x^{(3)}\big) &= \int_{x^{(1)}} \int_{x^{(2)}} \pi^{(1)}\big(x^{(1)}\big) \, \mathcal{K}\big(x^{(1)} \to x^{(2)}\big) \, \mathcal{K}\big(x^{(2)} \to x^{(3)}\big) \mathrm{d}x^{(1)} \mathrm{d}x^{(2)} \\ &= \int_{x^{(1)}} \pi^{(1)}\big(x^{(1)}\big) \underbrace{\int_{x^{(2)}} \mathcal{K}\big(x^{(1)} \to x^{(2)}\big) \mathcal{K}\big(x^{(2)} \to x^{(3)}\big) \mathrm{d}x^{(2)}}_{} \mathrm{d}x^{(1)} \\ &= \int_{x^{(1)}} \pi^{(1)}\big(x^{(1)}\big) \underbrace{\mathcal{K}^2\big(x^{(1)} \to x^{(3)}\big)}_{} \mathrm{d}x^{(1)} \quad \to \text{converge closer to } \pi\big(x^{(3)}\big) \end{split}$$

► This says,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \pi^{(t)}(x^{(t)}) \to \pi(x^{(t)})$$



### Burn in samples

We know,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\pi^{(t)}\big(x^{(t)}\big)\to\pi(x^{(t)})$$

▶ But, in practice,

$$\lim_{t\to B} \pi^{(t)}\big(x^{(t)}\big)\to \pi\big(x^{(t)}\big)$$

 $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(B)}\}$  are the **burn-in** samples, which we discard.

### What is MCMC research is all about

equilibrium equation:

$$\pi(x^*) = \int_x \pi(x) K(x \to x^*) dx$$

- In machine learning, we always know the expression of stationary distribution  $\pi(x)$ ,
- ▶ Our task is therefore, **find an appropriate**  $K(x \rightarrow x^*)$  to generate samples in a Markov fashion.

### Detailed Balance

At equilibrium, that stationary distribution satisfies:

$$\pi(x^*) = \int_x \pi(x) K(x \to x^*) dx$$
 equilibrium equation

- Proving equilibrium equation may be difficult in some cases, therefore, we instead prove detail balance:
- detailed balance condition holds when:

$$\pi(x)K(x \to x^*) = \pi(x^*)K(x^* \to x)$$

detailed balance implies equilibrium equation:

$$\begin{split} \int_x \pi(x) \mathcal{K} \big( x \to x^* \big) \mathrm{d} x &= \int_x \pi(x^*) \mathcal{K} \big( x^* \to x \big) \mathrm{d} x \\ &= \pi(x^*) \int_x \mathcal{K} (x^* \to x) \mathrm{d} x \\ &= \pi(x^*) \qquad \text{equilibrium equation} \end{split}$$

the reverse is not always true.



### Extend target distribution with auxiliary variables

At equilibrium, that stationary distribution satisfies:

$$\pi(x^*) = \int_x \pi(x) K(x \to x^*) dx$$

• under many scenarios, we may have an extended joint density (x, u):

$$\pi(x|u)\pi(u)K\big(u,x\rightarrow u^*,x^*\big)=\pi\big(x^*|u^*\big)\pi(u^*)K\big(x^*,u^*\rightarrow x,u\big)$$

- u is auxiliary variables help samping
- one needs to ensure that:

$$\int_{u} \pi(x, u) du = \pi(x)$$



### Alternative Use of Stochastic Matrix

- Before dive deep into MCMC algorithms, let's have a look at alternative use of stochastic matrix
- ▶ PageRank algorithm is different to MCMC, in PageRank algorithm: K is known
- PageRank algorithm then computes  $\pi$  which is the **invariant distribution**, tells the importance of each web page.

# PageRank algorithm

- Imagine we have the following four web pages and their links
- we can then compute the probability of navigating from i<sup>th</sup> page (discrete state) to j<sup>th</sup> page (discrete state)
- ▶ Page 1 links to pages {2,3}

$$\implies K_{1\to 1} = 0, K_{1\to 2} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{1\to 3} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{1\to 4} = 0$$

Page 2 has links to pages {1,3,4}

$$\Longrightarrow K_{2\to 1} = \frac{1}{3}, K_{2\to 2} = 0, K_{2\to 3} = \frac{1}{3}, K_{2\to 4} = \frac{1}{3}$$

▶ Page 3 has links to pages {1,3}

$$\implies K_{3\to 1} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{3\to 2} = 0, K_{3\to 3} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{3\to 4} = 0$$

▶ Page 4 has links to pages {2,3}

$$\implies K_{4\to 1} = 0, K_{4\to 2} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{4\to 3} = \frac{1}{2}, K_{4\to 4} = 0$$



### Stochastic matrix K

From the preceding example, **Left stochastic matrix** is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1 \to 1} & K_{2 \to 1} & K_{3 \to 1} & K_{4 \to 1} \\ K_{1 \to 2} & K_{2 \to 2} & K_{3 \to 2} & K_{4 \to 2} \\ K_{1 \to 3} & K_{2 \to 3} & K_{3 \to 3} & K_{4 \to 3} \\ K_{1 \to 4} & K_{2 \to 4} & K_{3 \to 4} & K_{4 \to 4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- From Power Method Convergence Theorem, we know:
  - sequence  $\{\pi^{(1)}, K\pi^{(1)}, K^2\pi^{(1)}, \ldots, K^t\pi^{(1)}, \ldots, K^\infty\pi^{(1)}\}$  converges to the vector  $\pi^*$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} K^t \pi^{(1)} = \pi^*$$

where  $\pi^*$  is a **probabilistic eigenvector** of K corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.

**Exercise** What is the usefulness of  $\pi^*$  in the setting of web pages?



# Usefulness of $\pi^*$ in the setting of web pages

The **answer** to usefulness of  $\pi^*$  in the setting of web pages is:

- ► Shows how **important** each webpage is
- ightharpoonup i.e., regardless of the probabilities of the initial webpage visit:  $\pi^{(1)}$ ,
- $\pi^{(1)} \to \pi^*$ , where  $\pi^*(i)$  is the target distribution i.e, the probability that the visit will end up at a web page i.
- Note that this is a reverse problem of MCMC

# Dangling nodes

▶ What happens when you have the following *K*:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\to1} & K_{2\to1} & K_{3\to1} & K_{4\to1} \\ K_{1\to2} & K_{2\to2} & K_{3\to2} & K_{4\to2} \\ K_{1\to3} & K_{2\to3} & K_{3\to3} & K_{4\to3} \\ K_{1\to4} & K_{2\to4} & K_{3\to4} & K_{4\to4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Note that 4<sup>th</sup> has no out-going node
- **Exercise** check eigenvector correspond to eigenvalue of 1
- What is the eigenvector correspond to eigenvalue of 1, if we change K into:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\to1} & K_{2\to1} & K_{3\to1} & K_{4\to1} \\ K_{1\to2} & K_{2\to2} & K_{3\to2} & K_{4\to2} \\ K_{1\to3} & K_{2\to3} & K_{3\to3} & K_{4\to3} \\ K_{1\to4} & K_{2\to4} & K_{3\to4} & K_{4\to4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Exercise** give reason to why this is so?

**Exercise** How can we solve this?



### Dangling nodes: what may be the solution?

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1 \to 1} & K_{2 \to 1} & K_{3 \to 1} & K_{4 \to 1} \\ K_{1 \to 2} & K_{2 \to 2} & K_{3 \to 2} & K_{4 \to 2} \\ K_{1 \to 3} & K_{2 \to 3} & K_{3 \to 3} & K_{4 \to 3} \\ K_{1 \to 4} & K_{2 \to 4} & K_{3 \to 4} & K_{4 \to 4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

One simply solution is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1 \to 1} & K_{2 \to 1} & K_{3 \to 1} & K_{4 \to 1} \\ K_{1 \to 2} & K_{2 \to 2} & K_{3 \to 2} & K_{4 \to 2} \\ K_{1 \to 3} & K_{2 \to 3} & K_{3 \to 3} & K_{4 \to 3} \\ K_{1 \to 4} & K_{2 \to 4} & K_{3 \to 4} & K_{4 \to 4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- in words, it means any page doesn't have out-link, we assume it has equal probability of visiting entire web.
- Of course, data mining researchers may argue certain web page (having certain properties) may attract higher weights etc.



### Disconnected sub-graphs

▶ What happens when you have the following *K*:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1\rightarrow1} & K_{2\rightarrow1} & K_{3\rightarrow1} & K_{4\rightarrow1} \\ K_{1\rightarrow2} & K_{2\rightarrow2} & K_{3\rightarrow2} & K_{4\rightarrow2} \\ K_{1\rightarrow3} & K_{2\rightarrow3} & K_{3\rightarrow3} & K_{4\rightarrow3} \\ K_{1\rightarrow4} & K_{2\rightarrow4} & K_{3\rightarrow4} & K_{4\rightarrow4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ node  $\{1,2\}$  and  $\{3,4\}$  each form a sub-graph.
- ► Exercise check eigenvector correspond to eigenvalue of 1, also multiplicity of eigenvalue 1
- **Exercise** How can we solve this?



# Disconnected sub-graphs: what may be the solution?

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1 \to 1} & K_{2 \to 1} & K_{3 \to 1} & K_{4 \to 1} \\ K_{1 \to 2} & K_{2 \to 2} & K_{3 \to 2} & K_{4 \to 2} \\ K_{1 \to 3} & K_{2 \to 3} & K_{3 \to 3} & K_{4 \to 3} \\ K_{1 \to 4} & K_{2 \to 4} & K_{3 \to 4} & K_{4 \to 4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

One solution is to use a convex combination between K and a square matrix having identical elements  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

- $\triangleright$  in words, it means most of the time 1-p, a surfer will follow links to navigate a page
- but with probability p, it will arbitrarily close the current page and go to the new one
- **Exercise** Prove K remains a left stochastic matrix



# How to compute the **one hundred billion** dimension eigenvector?

starting from the vector (not probabilistic eigenvector), x:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

- **p** generate the sequence:  $\{x, Kx, K^2x \dots K^tx\}$  until convergence then its is the eigenvectors of K correspond to eigenvalue of 1, up to a normalisation constant c
- This is solved using power method

### Power method

- **power method** is used to finding an eigenvector of a square matrix corresponding to the largest eigenvalue (in terms of absolute value)
- for stochastic matrix K has eigenvalues:

$$1 = \lambda_1 > |\lambda_2| \ge |\lambda_3| \ge \ldots \ge |\lambda_n|$$

▶ the initial vector: x as a linear combination of eigenvectors of K:

$$x = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots c_n v_n$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} x &= \mathcal{K} \big( c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \ldots c_n v_n \big) \\ &= c_1 \underbrace{\lambda_1}_{=1} v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 + \ldots c_n \lambda_n v_n \quad \text{definition of eigven value/vector} \\ &= c_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 + \ldots c_n \lambda_n v_n \\ & \Longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^2 x = c_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^2 v_2 + \ldots c_n \lambda_n^2 v_n \\ & \Longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^t x = c_1 v_1 + c_t \lambda_2^t v_2 + \ldots c_n \lambda_n^t v_n \end{aligned}$$

 $\lambda_i^k \to 0$  when  $i \ge 2 \implies K^t x \to c_1 v_1$ 

#### Few notes

- ► The second largest eigen value determines the convergence
- ► **Homework** Perform the following simulations:
  - generate lots of K and choose one which has large second eigen values in absolute value
  - also generate a K which has small second eigen values in absolute value
  - ▶ in both cases, try to compute the sequence  $\{x, Kx, K^2x \dots K^tx\}$ , using an arbitrary vector x
- ▶ Homework Generate K from known eigen value/vectors are called Inverse Eigenvalue Problems. Use IEP to generate stochastic matrices above
- Try something like, "Doubly Stochastic Matrices with Prescribed Positive Spectrum"

### Reversible Jump MCMC

the problem setting is:

$$ightharpoonup p_k(\theta|\mathcal{D}) =$$



# Reversible Jump MCMC (2)

the problem setting is:

$$ightharpoonup p_k(\theta|\mathcal{D}) =$$

