Assignment No: -5

Name: - Agush kumar ROII NO: 03014803120 470ap : - 4IZ

1 Am 1-Ho: There is no significant Aft. blux & M. H1: statement 1s fauc

$$|7| = |\overline{x} - \mu| = |6.75 - 6.8|$$

$$= |-0.05| = |-1|$$

 $= \frac{|-0.05|}{|1.5/20|} = \frac{|-1|}{|1.5|} \approx +0.67$ 121 = 0.67 < 1.96 at 5 % significant level . so to is accented i.e. there is no significant difference blu to a.M.

 $\overline{n_1} = 67.5$, $\overline{n_2} = 68$ $n_1 = 1000$, $n_2 = 2000$ (2) AW . SHh 1: .

Null Hypothesis (Ho): HI-Hz Alkmatre Hypothan (Hoo) = MI = H2

Test stake: - X1 - X2 = 67.5 - 68.0 = -0.5

Since s.D. of the population is known

$$S.E.S = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{2000}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{2000}}$$

$$= 0.092$$

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{\pi_1 - \pi_2}{s} = -0.5 = -5.15$$

$$121 = 5.15$$

level of significance & = 0.28.1.

The value of Zaat 0.27% level of significance from tabo 13 since the computed value of 121=5.15 is greater than critical rathe 7x = 3 the hypothesis is rejected

... The sample cannot be regarded on arown from same population

The following null & alkrnative hypothers need to be feind (3) AM1 HO: H=0.300

HI: H\$ 0100

This corresponds to a two falled tell for which a t-kit for one mean 1 with unknown population standard deviation, using the Sample standard deviation 1 will be oved

$$\frac{deviation 1 will be orded}{t = 1 - M} = \frac{0.742 - 0.700}{0.040/\sqrt{10}} \approx 3.3204$$

so yet the work is inferior

9 AN:-

Nine 14ms of a sample - 45, 47, 50, 52, 48, 47, 49, 53, 51

Mean = (sum of observation)

Total no of observation

= 442/9 = 49.11

Assumed mean = 475

The proon difference

= Mean - assumed normalmoon

= 49.11-47.5

= 1.61

Thus I both the mean differ bignificanny by 1.61

5) Am Here n1= 200 , n2=100

$$P_1 = \frac{n_1}{n_1} = \frac{46}{200} \cdot 1 \cdot n_2 = \frac{19}{100}$$

P= proportion of premium tea brond in

pobulation = 0.1

Q= 1-p=0.99

NUII hypothesis: Ho: The manufacturer claim is accented Alternative Hypothesis Hi: p \$0.1

under 401

$$Z = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{p_0(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} = \frac{0.04}{\sqrt{0.1 \times 0.049 \times 3}} = 26.9$$

conclusion; since the calculated value of 1217 1.648 & 9180 1217 2.83.

Hence the is reserted 5% and 1% level of 849nihavi

D ANO

Ho the experimental results wo not support the theory

(B) Am: Nall Hypothesis Ho: The experimental results apport the theory 1.e there is no significant difference blu the observed and theoretical frequency under Ho, the theoretical trequency

$$E(A) = \frac{1600 \times 9}{16} = 300 \cdot E(B) = \frac{1600 \times 3}{16} = 300$$

$$E(C) = 1600 \times 3 = 300$$
, $E(D) = 1600 \times 1 = 100$.

observed frequency of 882 313 287 118 300 100 Expected frequency El 200 300

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum (0! - \xi!)^2}{\xi!} = 4.7266$$

conclusion: Table value of x2 at 5% level of significance for 3d of is 7.815. since the calculated value of N2 is less than the tabulated value. Hence Ho is accepted to the experimental result supports the theony

(Au Null Hypothem: (Ho): - ox2 = oy2 (sample are drawn from same population).

Alternake Hypothesis (Hi): - one foy? (I've samples are not drown from the same populations

level of significance

Test statiches

$$F = \frac{s_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{s_2^4/\sigma_2^2} = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2}$$
 under Ho

$$s_h^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum (\eta_1 - \overline{u})^2 = \frac{160}{8} = 20$$

$$s_{h}^{2} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum (\eta_{1} - \overline{u})^{2} = \frac{160}{8} = 20.$$

$$s_{h}^{2} = \frac{1}{\eta_{-1}} \sum (\eta_{1} - \overline{\eta})^{2} = \frac{160}{8} = 13.$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{5\eta^{2}}{5\eta^{2}} = \frac{20}{13} = 1.5\eta$$

corresponding critical values are;

corresponding critical values are;

corresponding critical values are;

± 2.1314

the null hypothesis is not resected and we conclude that samples are drawn from same population,

(8) AW:- NUIL Hypothesis Ho: The data are consistent with the hypothesis of equal.

Probability for male and female bitter 1.c p=q=12.

N(r) = N x n cr prq n-r

male children, pag are possibilites of male and female birth respectively in is the nor of children.

N(0) = 8 00 X 400 (1/5) 4 = 20' N(1) = 500 1 N(5) = 300 1

N(3) = 200, N(4) = 50

64 290 236 observed frequency of 32 178 Expected frequency El 50 50 200 300 200 (0) - E()2 324 484 100 1296 196 101-6112 6.48 2.42 0.333 6.48 3.92 01

$$x_5 = \sum \left[\frac{(0! - E(1))^2}{E(1)} \right] = 10.633$$

Tabulated value of no at 5% level of significance for 5-1= 4 degrees

conclusion: since the calculated value of N2 as greater than tabulated value , Ho is reserted 1.e. the data are not consistent with the hypothesis that the binomial law holds & that the chance of a male birth is not equal of that of a female birth.