

P1

HW1 High Dimensional Probability
 Kiarash Gheisari Pour 402102302

Q1)

1.1) $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(|X|)]$: since φ is non-decreasing and

derivable $\rightarrow \varphi(\infty) - \varphi(0) > 0$

we know that if $X \geq 0$ then $\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty P(X > t) dt$

therefore we can write: $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(|X|) - \varphi(0)) = \int_0^\infty P(\varphi(|X|) - \varphi(0) > t) dt$

if $P(\varphi(|X|) - \varphi(0) > t) = P(|X| > \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(0) + t))$

say $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(0) + t) = t' \rightarrow \frac{dt}{dt'} = \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\varphi(|X|) - \varphi(0)] = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t') P(|X| > t') dt'$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\varphi] = \varphi_0$ so that completes the proof. \square .

1.2) since $X \sim \text{subg}(\sigma^2)$

then $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$.

Q1) continued:

1.2) so we want to bound

$$P(X > t) = P(e^{\lambda X} > e^{\lambda t}) \leq \frac{M_X(\lambda)}{e^{\lambda t}} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} - \lambda t}$$

Markov

$$\rightarrow P(X > t) \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} - \lambda t} = e^{\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

similarly we have: $P(X < -t) = P(e^{\lambda X} > e^{-\lambda t})$

$$\leq \frac{M_X(\lambda)}{e^{-\lambda t}} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} e^{\lambda t} \xrightarrow{\text{minimize}} P(X < -t) \leq e^{\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

therefore: $P(|X| > t) \leq P(X > t) + P(X < -t) \leq 2e^{\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ \square .

$$\begin{aligned} 1.3) E(e^{\frac{X^2}{6\sigma^2}}) &\leq 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{3\sigma^2} e^{\frac{t^2}{6\sigma^2}} 2e^{\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt \\ &= 1 + 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{3\sigma^2} e^{\frac{-t^2}{3\sigma^2}} dt = 1 + 2 \int_0^\infty t e^{-t^2} dt \\ &\quad (t = \sqrt{3}\sigma t') \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2. \quad \square.$$

1.4)

$$e^x \leq 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} e^{|x|} \text{ therefore } \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq 1 + E[\lambda X] + E[\lambda^2 X^2 e^{|X|}]$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{note that } M_X(\lambda) \leq 1 + \lambda^2 E X^2 e^{|\lambda|} \\ E X = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$2|\lambda X| \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{6\sigma^2} + 6\sigma^2 \lambda^2 \rightarrow e^{|\lambda X|} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{12\sigma^2}} e^{3\sigma^2 \lambda^2}$$

$$, \quad \lambda^2 \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \rightarrow \lambda^2 \leq \sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{12\sigma^2}}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E} X^2 e^{|\lambda X|} \leq e^{6\sigma^2} \underbrace{\mathbb{E} e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{6\sigma^2}}}_{\leq 2} \leq 6\sigma^2 e^{3\sigma^2 \lambda^2}$$

$$\rightarrow M_X(\lambda) \leq 1 + \lambda^2 6\sigma^2 e^{3\sigma^2 \lambda^2} \leq (1 + \lambda^2) e^{3\sigma^2 \lambda^2}$$

$$\leq e^{9\sigma^2 \lambda^2} = e^{\frac{18\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2}} \text{ therefore: } X \sim \text{Subg}(\sqrt{18}\sigma)$$

(1+u \leq e^u)

1.5) let's try to bound the moments of $X^2 - EX^2$ by question "6" we know that: $E X^{2K} \leq 2(2\sigma^2)^K K!$

$$\mathbb{E} |X^2 - EX^2|^K \leq 2^{K-1} (EX^{2K} + (EX^2)^K)$$

$$(|a-b|^k \leq 2^{k-1} (|a|^k, |b|^k))$$

$$(||X||_{L_2} \leq ||X||_{L_{2K}}) \rightarrow \leq 2^K (\mathbb{E} X^{2K}) = 2(4\sigma^2)^K K!$$

1.5) (continued)

now let's try and bound the MGF

lets state the moment bound like this:

$$E|X^2 - EX^2|^k \leq 2(4\sigma^2)^k k! = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(64\sigma^4)}_{\sigma_x^2} \underbrace{\underbrace{(4\sigma^2)^{k-1}}_b}_{k!}$$

$$E e^{s(X^2 - EX^2)} = 1 + s E(X^2 - EX^2) + \frac{s^2}{2} E(X^2 - EX^2)^2 \\ \text{taylor expansion} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} s^k \frac{E(X^2 - EX^2)^k}{k!}$$

$$\leq 1 + \frac{s^2 \sigma_x^2}{2} + \frac{s^2 \sigma_x^2}{2} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (|s|b)^{k-2} \\ \rightarrow \text{Geometric Sum (converges for } |s| < \frac{1}{4\sigma^2})$$

□.

Note that the above is the def. of subexp that fits for $X^2 - EX^2 \rightarrow EX^2 \leq \sigma^2$, $|s| \leq \frac{1}{8\sigma^2}$

$$\rightarrow e^{sEX^2} \leq \exp \frac{1}{8}$$

→ therefore for $E e^{sX^2} \leq \exp \frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{32s^2 \sigma^4}{1 - 4|s|\sigma^2} \right)$

we can tweak the constants to get:

$$E e^{sX^2} \leq \exp \left(\frac{Cs^2}{1 - 4|s|\sigma^2} \right) \quad \square.$$

Q2) we want to calculate the distribution and expectation for d_i . (let's name the cluster $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{2})$, $d_i | i \in C \sim K-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-K} X_j$ set C .)

$$d_i | i \notin C \sim \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} X_j \text{ and } P(i \in C) = \frac{\binom{n-1}{K-1}}{\binom{n}{K}} = \frac{K}{n}$$

$$\text{So } P(d_i = D) = \frac{K}{n} P(Y_1 = D - K + 1) + \left(\frac{n-K}{n}\right) P(Y_2 = D)$$

$$\text{because } P(w) = \prod_i P(w_i | \Omega_i) P(\Omega_i)$$

Ω_i is a partition on Ω

$$Y_1 \sim \text{Binomial}(n-K, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$Y_2 \sim \text{Binomial}(n-1, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\mathbb{E}(d_i) = \frac{K}{n} \left(K-1 + \frac{n-K}{2} \right) + \frac{n-K}{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)$$

We want to show that the maximum K degrees (top)

are most likely the ones that make up the cluster. in order to do so

we'll bound the Probability of the error outcome : $P(\max_{i \notin C} d_i > \min_{j \in C} d_j)$

Q2) continued:

$$\left(\frac{\mu_c - \mu_{c'}}{4}\right) = \frac{\mu_c - \mu_{c'}}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{j \in C} d_j > \min_{j \in C} d_j\right) &\leq P\left(\exists i \in C': d_i > \mu_{c'} + \frac{k-1}{4}\right) \\ &\quad , \exists j \in C: d_j < \mu_c - \frac{k-1}{4} \\ &\leq P\left(\exists i \in C': d_i > \mu_{c'} + \frac{k-1}{4}\right) + P\left(\exists j \in C: d_j < \mu_c - \frac{k-1}{4}\right) \\ P(A \cap B) &\leq P(A) + P(B) \end{aligned}$$

by the first part of the question we know that

d_i have a "Binomial like" Behaviour

we can obtain a Hoeffding bound

by taking them as sub-g R.V.s

for $v \in C$ $\text{deg}(v) \sim \text{subg} (\sigma^2 = \frac{(n-k)}{4})$

Ber: $\text{subg}(\frac{1}{4})$ $\forall c' \in C'$ $\text{deg}(u) \sim \text{subg} (\sigma^2 = \frac{n-1}{4})$

therefore... $\leq n-k P(d_i > \mu_{c'} + \frac{k-1}{4}) + k P(d_j < \mu_c - \frac{k-1}{4})$

Hoeffding: $\leq (n-k) e^{-\frac{-2(\frac{k-1}{4})^2}{n-1}} + k e^{-\frac{-2(\frac{k-1}{4})^2}{n-k}}$

$\leq n e^{-\frac{-2(\frac{k-1}{4})^2}{n-1}}$, $K = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$

$= O(1)$ we can take c
so that this goes to zero

Q3) X_i iid; $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)$ P₅

$S_N = \sum_1^N X_i$, we want to bound $P(S_n < \frac{N}{2})$

by applying chernoff inequality

to sums of bernoulli random variables

We can achieve the following bound:

$$P(S_N \leq t) \leq e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e^\mu}{t} \right)^t \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq \mu \quad (\text{versignia})$$

$$\mu = E S_N = n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta \right)$$

$$\rightarrow P(S_N < \frac{N}{2}) \leq e^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-N\delta} \left(\frac{e^{N(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)}}{\frac{N}{2}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

$$= e^{-N\delta} (1+2\delta)^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}{\delta - \frac{\ln(1+2\delta)}{2}} \leq N \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{(taylor series:} \\ &\ln(1+2\delta) = 2\delta - 2\delta^2 + o(\delta^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow N \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}{\delta^2 + o(\delta^2)} \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}{2\delta^2}$$

Q4)

it's evident that if $X \sim \text{subg}(\sigma^2)$

$$\therefore P(X - E[X] > t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$P\left(\max_{i \in [n]} \{X_i - E[X_i]\} > t\right) \leq n e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

(union bound)

$$t = (1+\varepsilon)\sigma\sqrt{2\log n}$$

$$\leq n e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2 \sigma^2 2 \log n}{2\sigma^2}} = n e^{-(1+\varepsilon^2)}$$

$$= n^{-(\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{this bound}$$

goes to zero

Q5)

5.1) $Z_n = \sum X_i$, $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\alpha)$, iid

$$\Pr(Z_n \leq n\delta) = \Pr(e^{\lambda Z_n} \geq e^{n\lambda\delta}) \leq \prod M_X(\lambda) \cdot e^{-n\lambda\delta}$$
$$(M_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) = (1-\alpha) + \alpha e^\lambda)$$
$$= (1 + \alpha(e^\lambda - 1))^n e^{-n\lambda\delta}$$

$$= \underbrace{(e^{-\lambda\delta} (1 + \alpha(e^\lambda - 1)))^n}_{L(\lambda) \text{ we want to minimize this w.r.t. } \lambda} \rightarrow \log(L(\lambda)) = -\lambda\delta + \log(1 + \alpha(e^\lambda))$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} (\text{Log loss}) = -\delta + \frac{\alpha e^\lambda}{(1-\alpha) + \alpha e^\lambda} \rightarrow e^{\lambda^*} = \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\delta}$$

$$L(\lambda^*) = -\left(\delta \log \frac{\delta}{\alpha} + (1-\delta) \log \frac{1-\delta}{1-\alpha}\right) = -D(\delta || \alpha)$$

$$\rightarrow \Pr(Z_n \leq n\delta) \leq e^{-nD(\delta || \alpha)}$$

to show that this bound is strictly better than Hoeffding bound we take the following steps. we know that Hoeffding come from Chernoff and a rather crude estimation of MGF at least in the case of the Bernoulli random var.

(Q5) continued:

$$\text{therefore: } \overline{P}(Z_n \leq \delta_n) \leq \frac{M_X(\lambda)}{e^{\lambda \delta_n}} \leq e^{\frac{n\lambda^2}{8}} e^{-\lambda \delta_n}$$

↓ ↓ ↓
 KL-Bound Hoeff. Bound $F_1(\lambda)$ $F_2(\lambda)$
 ↓ ↓ ↓

$$\rightarrow \inf_{\lambda} F_1(\lambda) < \inf_{\lambda} F_2(\lambda)$$

5.2) lower bound:

$$P(Z_n \leq n\delta) \geq P(Z_n = L^n \delta)$$

$$P(Z_n = m) = \binom{n}{m} \alpha^m (1-\alpha)^{n-m}$$

$m = L^n \delta$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \log P(Z_n \leq n\delta) \geq \frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n}{m} + \tilde{\delta} \log \alpha + (1-\tilde{\delta}) \log 1-\alpha$$

□.

$$5.2.2) \quad 1 = \sum_{l=0}^n P(Y=l) \leq n+1 \binom{n}{m} (\tilde{\delta})^m$$

$Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \tilde{\delta})$

$$\rightarrow 0 \leq \log(n+1) + \log \binom{n}{m} + m \log \tilde{\delta} + n-m \log 1-\tilde{\delta}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n}{m} \geq -(\tilde{\delta} \log \tilde{\delta} + (1-\tilde{\delta}) \log(1-\tilde{\delta})) = \frac{\log(n+1)}{n}$$

$$5.2.3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \log P(Z_n \leq \delta_n) \geq -\frac{\log(n+1)}{n} + \tilde{\delta} \log \alpha - \tilde{\delta} \log \tilde{\delta}$$

□.

$$+ (1-\tilde{\delta}) \log 1-\alpha - (1-\tilde{\delta}) \log(1-\tilde{\delta})$$

Q 5) continued:

$$= -\frac{\log^{n+1}}{n} + \tilde{\delta} \log \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\delta}} + (1-\tilde{\delta}) \log \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\tilde{\delta}} \right)$$

$$m = n \tilde{\delta} = \lfloor n \delta \rfloor \rightarrow n \tilde{\delta} = n \delta - c$$

$\cdot c < 1$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{\delta} = \delta - \frac{c}{n}$$

$$\rightarrow = -\frac{\log^{n+1}}{n} - D(\delta || \alpha) - \frac{c}{n} \left(\log \frac{\alpha}{\delta} + \log \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\delta} \right)$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\gamma_0}$

neglecting this small term yields: \hookrightarrow

$$P(Z_n \leq n \delta) \geq \frac{1}{n+1} e^{-n D(\delta || \alpha)}$$

Q6)

$$6.1) \quad \mathbb{E}[X^{21}] = \int_0^\infty P(X^{21} > t) dt = \int_0^\infty P(|X| > u) 4q u^{21-1} du$$

$u^{21} = t$

$$P(|X| > u) \leq e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X^{21}] \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} 4q u^{21-1} du$$

$X \sim \text{sub-g}(\sigma^2)$

$$u^2 = 2\sigma^2 t \rightarrow = 4q \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (2\sigma^2 t)^{q-1} \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2}}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt$$

$$= 2q (2\sigma^2)^q \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{q-1} dt = 2(2\sigma^2)^q q! \quad (q \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$= 2(2\sigma^2)^q q!$$

$$6.2) \quad \max |X_i| \leq (\sum |X_i|^p)^{1/p}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\max |X_i|] \leq \mathbb{E}[(\sum |X_i|^p)^{1/p}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sum |X_i|^p]^{1/p}$$

Jensen ($\frac{1}{p}$ is a concave function)

$$\text{from 6.1) } X \sim \text{sub-g}(\sigma^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^{1/p} \leq C \sigma \sqrt{p}$$

$$\rightarrow \leq C \sigma \sqrt{p} n^{1/p} \rightarrow \inf f \sqrt{p} n^{1/p} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dp} \left(\frac{\log p}{2} + \frac{\log n}{p} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2p} - \frac{\log n}{p^2} \rightarrow p = 2 \log n \rightarrow C \sigma \sqrt{2 \log n} \frac{n^{1/2 \log n}}{\sqrt{e}} = C' \sigma \sqrt{\log n}$$

□.

$$Q7) \text{ first note that } \|a^T X\|_{L_p} = (\mathbb{E} |a^T X|^p)^{1/p}$$

now we show that the L_p norm is monotonic, meaning if $p > q \Rightarrow \|X\|_{L_p} > \|X\|_{L_q}$

$$\text{Jensen: } \mathcal{O}[E[X^q]] \leq E[\mathcal{O}(X^q)] \rightarrow (\mathbb{E} X^q)^{p/q} \leq \mathbb{E} X^p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(x) &= x^{p/q} \\ (\text{convex}) \quad &\rightarrow (\mathbb{E} X^q)^{1/q} \leq (\mathbb{E} X^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|a^T X\|_{L_2} = \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \mathbb{E} X_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{a^T a} \quad \|X\|_{L_1} \leq \|X\|_{L_p}$$

↓
1
note that $E[X_i X_j] = 0$ because of ind.

$$\sqrt{a^T a} \geq \|a^T X\|_{L_2} \leq \|a^T X\|_{L_p} \rightarrow \text{left ineq. is proven.}$$

$$E e^{t(a^T X)} = \prod_i e^{t a_i X_i} \leq \prod_i e^{\frac{a_i^2 t^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}} \leq e^{\frac{t^2 (\max \sigma_i^2) a^T a}{2}}$$

$$\text{by Q6) and vershynin 2.6.6 we know: } \|X\|_{L_p} \leq C \|X\|_{L_2} \sqrt{p}$$

$$\text{therefore we have } \|a^T X\|_{L_p} \leq \underbrace{C \max \sigma_i^2 \sqrt{p}}_{\max \|X\|_{L_2}} \sqrt{a^T a}$$

therefore the right ineq
is also proven \square .

$$Q8) \text{ Lemma : } \|X\|_{L_2} \leq \|X\|_{L_1}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|X\|_{L_3}^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

$$\text{Proof: } (\mathbb{E} X^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{3}{2}})^2 \leq \mathbb{E} X \mathbb{E} X^3 \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz}$$

$$\mathbb{E} |a^T X| = \|\alpha^T X\|_{L_1} \leq \|a^T X\|_{L_2} = \sqrt{a^T a} \quad \text{from Q7}$$

monotonicity
of norm

so the right ineq. is proven

for the left side we have:

$$\frac{\|\alpha^T X\|_{L_2}^4}{\|\alpha^T X\|_{L_3}^3} \leq \|\alpha^T X\|_{L_1} = \mathbb{E} |a^T X|$$

$$\|\alpha^T X\|_{L_3} \leq C K \sqrt{a^T a} \sqrt{3} \quad (\text{from Q7})$$

$$\rightarrow \|\alpha^T X\|_{L_1} \geq \frac{(\sqrt{a^T a})^4}{C' K^3 (\sqrt{a^T a})^3} = C'' K^{-3} \sqrt{a^T a} \quad \square.$$

Q9)

9.1) in order to show that there exists a vertex with degree higher than $10\bar{d}$ we are going to use a method named the second order method

namely: $X > 0$ than $P(X > 0) \geq \frac{(Ex)^2}{Ex^2}$

Note that $\deg(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} Y_j$, $Y_j \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$
in this Problem, we're gonna define our graph like so:

$$G(n, p), p = \frac{d}{n-1}, d = O(\log n)$$

$$A = \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n_1}{2} \rfloor\}, B = \{\lfloor \frac{n_1}{2} \rfloor + 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\forall i \in A \quad X_i := \#\{j \in B : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge}\}$$

its evident that if $\exists i \in A : \deg(v_i) \geq 10\bar{d}$
then the condition for our original problem is also satisfied.

$$\text{define } I_i := 1_{\{X_i > 10\bar{d}\}}$$

$$Y := \sum_{i \in A} I_i$$

Q9) continued: let's calculate

the (second) moments of Y
(first, and)

$$EY = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor P(X_i \geq 10d) \geq P(X_i = \lceil 10d \rceil) \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$

$$(X_i \sim Bin(m, p)) \quad \lceil 10d \rceil = k$$
$$m = |B|$$

$$P(X_i = k) = \binom{m}{k} p^k (1-p)^{m-k}$$

$$(1-p)^{m-k} \geq \exp(-k(1-p)) = \exp(-(O(m) - O(\lg n))) \cdot \frac{\lg n}{n}$$

$$= \exp(O(\lg n)) = \exp(-O(d))$$

$$\binom{m}{k} \geq \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^k \quad \text{they cancel out}$$

$$\lg P(X_i = k) \geq K \lg \frac{m}{k} + K \lg p + -O(d)$$
$$+ O(1) \quad (\lg d - \lg n + O(1))$$

$$= O(d)$$

$$\rightarrow P(X_i = k) \geq e^{-cd} \quad \text{for some } c > 0$$

$$\rightarrow EY \geq \frac{n}{2} e^{-cd} \quad \text{diverges}$$

because $d = o(\lg n)$ we can set it $d \leq (1+\varepsilon)k \lg n$

Q9) continued:

the beauty of partitioning the graph
comes in now when we don't
have to deal with correlation between
the degrees

$$EY^2 = \text{Var} Y + (EY)^2 = |A|q(1-q) + |A|^2q^2$$

$(q = P(X_i > 10d) \approx e^{-cd})$

$$P(Y > 0) \geq \frac{|A|^2 q^2}{|A|^2 q^2 + |A|q(1-q)} = \frac{|A|q}{|A|q + (1-q)}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A|q}{|A|q + (1-q)} = 1 \quad (\text{because } |A|q \text{ diverges})$$

□.

9.2) we'll use same exact argument here just with $d = O(1)$

on d our thresholds, $K_n = \lfloor \alpha \frac{\lg n}{\lg \lg n} \rfloor$

$$q_n = P(X_i > K_n) \geq P(X_i = K_n)$$

Poisson Approximation

$$= \frac{(\mu')^{K_n} e^{-\mu'}}{K_n!} \approx \exp(-K_n \lg(K_n + O(K_n)))$$

$$K_n \lg K_n \approx \alpha \lg n$$

$$\rightarrow q_n \approx e^{-\alpha \lg n + O(\lg n)} = n^{-\alpha + O(1)}$$

so we can tweak
 α to make the
 bound diverge

$$(n \approx n^{(1-\alpha)})$$

therefore by a similar argument
 to last question

$$P(Y > 0) > \frac{(EY)^2}{\text{Var}Y + (EY)^2} \longrightarrow 1$$