



Thesis Title

Emil AURA

Masters's thesis (30 ECTS)
Supervisors: Prof. Johan LILIUS
Adj. Prof. Marina WALDÉN
Department of Information Technologies
Faculty of Science and Engineering
Åbo Akademi University
November 20, 2017

ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY

Abstract

Faculty of Science and Engineering
Department of Information Technologies

Master of Science (Technology)

Thesis Title

by Emil AURA

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too...

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgments and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
1 Introduction	1
2 Theory	2
2.1 Unmanned Surface Vehicles	2
2.1.1 Usage	2
2.1.2 Challenges	3
2.2 COLREG	3
2.2.1 Parts	3
Part A General	3
Part B Steering and Sailing	3
Part C Lights and Shapes	5
Part D Sound and Light signals	5
Part E Exemptions	5
2.3 Previous research Collision Avoidance Algorithms	5
A Frequently Asked Questions	7
Bibliography	8

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

COLREGs Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

1 Introduction

International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea [1] [2]

2 Theory

2.1 Unmanned Surface Vehicles

[3]

Unmanned Surface Vehicles (USV) or Autonomous Surface Crafts (ASC) are vehicles operating the seas without a crew on-board. USVs encompass both fully autonomous vehicles, from now on referred to as ASCs, and semi-autonomous vehicles. Development of USVs has been ongoing for the last two decades [4]. However, majority of the USVs developed are of the semi-autonomous type [5], [6], meaning that they depend on human intervention to some extent usually by a supervisor located on shore. Although semi-autonomous USVs greatly increase the safety of the operating personnel [5], they do not completely remove the need for human interaction. Supervision of several semi-autonomous vehicles can be admittedly be handled by a single person, which significantly decreases the amount of person-hours needed to accomplish a specific mission [4]. The person-hours needed for surveillance could, however, be removed completely by a ASC. It is, therefore, of great interest to overcome the challenges associated with ASCs.

2.1.1 Usage

Yuh, Marani, and Blidberg [7] mention that roughly two-thirds of the earth's surface is covered by water, with an average depth of the oceans being 3688 m [8]. Thereby, adding up to vast amount of explorable areas of which 95 % is yet to be seen by human eyes [9]. Although ASCs are situated on the surface of the ocean they can greatly increase the efficiency of Unmanned Underwater Vehicles (UUV) by acting as a gateway between UUVs and services, such as GPS, not easily available in underwater environments [5].

Liu, Zhang, Yu, *et al.* [5] have, furthermore, compiled a list of potential applications of USVs, along with previous research on the topics. The list is divided into four major categories: Scientific research, Environmental missions, Ocean resource exploration and Other applications. Many of the applications mentioned would require the vehicles to interact with other vessels. It is therefore crucial for the USVs to follow the Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGs) explained in section 2.2.

2.1.2 Challenges

limited autonomy due to the challenges in automated and reliable guidance, navigation and control (GNC) functions for all different operating conditions in face of sophisticated and hazardous environments, and sensor, actuator and communication failures [5].

2.2 COLREG

Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGs) consist of 38 rules, grouped into five different sections, designed by the International Maritime Organization (IMO). The rules were adopted 1972 and entered into force 1977. COLREGs was designed to ensure traffic separation between vessels in an increasingly populated environment back in 1972, and it is, therefore, sometimes referred to as the Rules of the Road for maritime vessels. COLREGs has since been in use and mandatory to adhere to on international waters, although it has received amended several times [10].

National regulations might differ from the COLREGs to some extent. However, COLREG mention that ‘Nothing in these Rules shall interfere with the operation of special rules made by an appropriate authority for roadsteads, harbours, rivers, lakes or inland waterways connected with the high seas and navigable by seagoing vessels. Such special rules shall conform as closely as possible to these Rules.’ [11]

2.2.1 Parts

This subsection will present the different parts of the COLREGs regulations, with emphasis on the parts related to manoeuvring an USV in international waters. Information in this subsection is taken from the official COLREG regulations [11] if not otherwise specified.

Part A General

Rule 1 cover which vessels that are affected by the COLREGs regulations. This includes all vessels navigation on high seas and all waters connected therewith. Additionally, it specifies that special rules regarding roadsteads, harbours, rivers, lakes or inland waterways connected with the high seas and navigable by seagoing, shall conform as closely as possible to these Rules.

Rule 2 states that the COLREG rules does not in any way free the vessel, owner, master or crew from responsibility to follow the rules and act according to ordinary practice of seamen.

Rule 3 Defines the meaning of words used in the regulations, such as: vessel, power-driven vessel, sailing vessel, length, and breadth.

Part B Steering and Sailing

Rule 4 simply states that all Rules in Part B Section I (rules 4-10) apply in any condition of visibility simply states that all Rules in Part B Section I (rules 4-10)

apply in any condition of visibility rules in Part B Section I (rules 4-10) apply in any condition of visibility

Rule 5 states that all vessels shall at all times maintain proper look-out by sight and hearing and all other available means to ensure the best possible situation awareness.

Rule 6 states that vessels shall maintain a speed so that they can take proper and effective action to avoid collision and stop within distance appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions.

Rule 7 state that all vessels shall use all means possible to determine if there is a risk of collision. This includes the use of radar equipment, however, caution should be exercised not to trust insufficient data. Moreover, Rule 7 states that any doubt whether a risk of collision exists, shall be treated as if a risk exists. Finally it specifies that a constant compass bearing between two vessels, means that the two vessels are on collision course with each other. However, a constant bearing is only a sufficient condition for a risk of collision not a necessary one. Close range, large vessels or a tow might pose a collision risk, without a constant bearing.

Rule 8 concerns actions to avoid collision. Actions shall, for instance, taken according to the rules and as far as possible be conducted in ample time and large enough so that they are easily observable by other vessels. Small alterations should in other words be avoided. Course alterations might, moreover, be accompanied by a lowering of speed, if necessary to ensure in the vessels passing each other at safe distance.

Rule 9 concerns navigation in narrow channels and is therefore not of interest for the scope of this thesis.

Rule 10 Concerns Traffic Separation Schemes ruled by IMO. These are traffic route systems developed for particularly congested shipping areas [12] and, therefore, not of interest for the scope of this thesis.

Rule 11 simply states that all rules in Part B Section II (rules 11-18) apply to vessels in sight of each other.

Rule 12 concerns sailing vessels and is therefore not of interest for the scope of this thesis.

Rule 13 specifies action to be taken by a vessel (give way vessel) when overtaking another vessel (stand on vessel). The give way vessel shall keep out of the way of the stand on vessel. A vessel is considered to overtake another vessel when approaching the other stand on vessel from a direction more than 22.5° abaft the stand on vessels beam, i.e with a relative bearing between $112,5^\circ$ and $247,5^\circ$ from the stand on vessel.

Rule 14 defines a head-on situation as well as actions to be taken in case of a head-on situation. A head-on situation is when a vessel sees another vessel ahead or nearly ahead, i.e. it can see the masthead light in line or both sidelights. The give way vessel shall in this case alter its course to starboard.

Rule 15 states that vessel coming from starboard, i.e right, has the right of way in a crossing situation. The give way vessel shall if possible avoid crossing ahead of the other vessel and, therefore, alter its course to starboard.

Rule 16 states that give way vessel shall take action as early as possible.

Rule 17 states that give way vessels shall keep their course and speed, provided that the

give way vessel acts according to the regulations or if the actions by the give way vessels are insufficient to prevent a collision.

Rule 18 specifies responsibilities between vessels of different type. For instance a power driven vessel shall give way for a vessel engaged in fishing.

Rule 19 specifies reduced visibility operations. Vessels should proceed at speeds appropriate to the circumstances and use radar to determine collision risks. Speed should be reduced to minimum if a vessel can hear another vessels fog horn apparently forward of her beam.

Part C Lights and Shapes

Part C specifies the lights and shapes a vessel shall exhibit, and when they should be used. These are therefore not of interest for the scope of this thesis, with the exception of *rule 21 and 22*, which specify the arcs that specific lights should cover as well as the distance the should be visible from.

Masthead lights cover a 225 °arc from 247,5 °to 112,5 °and should be visible from at least 2-6 miles, depending on the length of the vessel.

Sidelights are green on starboard side and red on port. They cover a 112,5 arc from 0 relative bearing to 112,5 and 247,5 respectively. They should be visible from at least 1-3 miles, depending on the length of the vessel.

Part D Sound and Light signals

Part D specifies sound and light signals and is not of interest for the scope of this thesis.

Part E Exemptions

Is not of interest for the scope of this thesis.

2.3 Previous research Collision Avoidance Algorithms

Compiled from from [5] Protocol-free collision avoidance:

- obstacles are assumed to be enclosed by elliptical shapes, differential equations [13]
- path re-planning approach based on the level set methods [14]
- angular rate-constrained $\Theta \star (ARC - \Theta \star)$ [15]
- optical-flow based approach [16]
- lattice-based path planning method [17]
- underwater collision avoidance[18], [19]
- direct method based on inverse dynamics in the virtual domain (IDVD) [20]

Protocol-based collision avoidance:

- modified virtual force field (MVFF) [21]
- behavior-based control and multi-objective action selection [22], [23]
- near-field reactive control technique [24]
- relative coordinate based collision avoidance strategy with integration of an evolutionary path planner [25]
- simple manual biasing scheme and a direction priority sequential selection (DPSS) strategy under COLREGs. [26]
- *arule – basedrepairing* $A \star (R - RA \star)$ [27]
- velocity obstacles (VO) [28]
- Trajectory planning with adaptive control primitives for autonomous surface vehicles operating in congested civilian traffic [29]
- other [30]–[33]

A Frequently Asked Questions

Bibliography

- [1] European Marine Casualty Information Platform, “Annual Overview of Marine Casualties and Incidents 2016”, 2016.
- [2] B. Luin and T. Brcko, “Framework for Simulation of Collision Avoidance Strategies”, in *Proc. of the 24th International Symposium on Electronics in Transport (ISEP 2016)*, 2016.
- [3] E Jokioinen, “Remote and autonomous ships-the next steps”, London: AAWA, Tech. Rep., 2016.
- [4] J. E. Manley, “Unmanned surface vehicles, 15 years of development”, in *OCEANS 2008*, IEEE, 2008, pp. 1–4.
- [5] Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Yu, and C. Yuan, “Unmanned surface vehicles: An overview of developments and challenges”, *Annual Reviews in Control*, vol. 41, pp. 71–93, 2016.
- [6] J. Park, M. Kang, T. Kim, S. Kwon, J. Han, J. Wang, S. Yoon, B. Yoo, S. Hong, Y. Shim, *et al.*, “Development of an unmanned surface vehicle system for the 2014 maritime robotx challenge”, *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 644–665, 2017.
- [7] J. Yuh, G. Marani, and D. R. Blidberg, “Applications of marine robotic vehicles”, *Intelligent Service Robotics*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. 221, 2011, ISSN: 1861-2784. DOI: [10.1007/s11370-011-0096-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11370-011-0096-5). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11370-011-0096-5>.
- [8] The National Ocean Service, *How deep is the ocean?*, <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/oceandepth.html>, [Online; accessed 25.09.2017], U.S. Department of Commerce.
- [9] The National Ocean Service, *How much of the ocean have we explored?*, <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/exploration.html>, [Online; accessed 25.09.2017], U.S. Department of Commerce.
- [10] International Maritime Organization, *Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGs)*, <http://www.imo.org/en/About/conventions/listofconventions/pages/colreg.aspx>, [Online; accessed 07.11.2017].
- [11] International Maritime Organization, *Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (COLREGs) 30/1977*, <http://www.jag.navy.mil/distrib/instructions/COLREG-1972.pdf>, [Online; accessed 08.11.2017], 1977.
- [12] International Maritime Organization, *Ships’ routeing*, <http://www.imo.org/en/ourwork/safety/navigation/pages/shipsrouteing.aspx>, [Online; accessed 08.11.2017].

- [13] R. A. Soltan, H. Ashrafiuon, and K. R. Muske, "Trajectory realtime obstacle avoidance for underactuated unmanned surface vessels", in *Proc. of the 2009 ASME IDETC/CIE Conference*, 2009.
- [14] B. Xu, D. J. Stilwell, and A. J. Kurdila, "Fast path re-planning based on fast marching and level sets", *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, pp. 1–15, 2013.
- [15] H. Kim, D. Kim, J.-U. Shin, H. Kim, and H. Myung, "Angular rate-constrained path planning algorithm for unmanned surface vehicles", *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 84, pp. 37–44, 2014.
- [16] T. El-Gaaly, C. Tomaszewski, A. Valada, P. Velagapudi, B. Kannan, and P. Scerri, "Visual obstacle avoidance for autonomous watercraft using smartphones", 2013.
- [17] I. R. Bertaska, J. Alvarez, S. Armando, K. Von Ellenrieder, M. Dhanak, B. Shah, P. Švec, and S. K. Gupta, "Experimental evaluation of approach behavior for autonomous surface vehicles", in *Proc. ASME Dynamic Systems Control Conference*, 2013.
- [18] H. K. Heidarrson and G. S. Sukhatme, "Obstacle detection and avoidance for an autonomous surface vehicle using a profiling sonar", in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*, IEEE, 2011, pp. 731–736.
- [19] C. Onunka, G. Bright, and R. Stopforth, "Probabilistic uncertainty identification modelling in usv obstacle detection", *Journal of the South African Institution of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 29, pp. 36–43, 2013.
- [20] O. A. Yakimenko and S. P. Kragelund, "Real-time optimal guidance and obstacle avoidance for umvs", in *Autonomous Underwater Vehicles*, InTech, 2011.
- [21] S.-M. Lee, K.-Y. Kwon, and J. Joh, "A fuzzy logic for autonomous navigation of marine vehicles satisfying colreg guidelines", *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 171–181, 2004.
- [22] M. R. Benjamin and J. A. Curcio, "Colregs-based navigation of autonomous marine vehicles", in *Autonomous Underwater Vehicles, 2004 IEEE/OES*, IEEE, 2004, pp. 32–39.
- [23] M. R. Benjamin, J. J. Leonard, J. A. Curcio, and P. M. Newman, "A method for protocol-based collision avoidance between autonomous marine surface craft", *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 333–346, 2006.
- [24] J. Larson, M. Bruch, R. Halterman, J. Rogers, and R. Webster, "Advances in autonomous obstacle avoidance for unmanned surface vehicles", SPACE and NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO CA, Tech. Rep., 2007.
- [25] J.-y. Zhuang, Y.-m. Su, Y.-l. Liao, and H.-b. Sun, "Motion planning of usv based on marine rules", *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 15, pp. 269–276, 2011.
- [26] W. Naeem, G. W. Irwin, and A. Yang, "Colregs-based collision avoidance strategies for unmanned surface vehicles", *Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 669–678, 2012.

- [27] S. Campbell, M. Abu-Tair, and W. Naeem, "An automatic colregs-compliant obstacle avoidance system for an unmanned surface vehicle", *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part M: Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment*, vol. 228, no. 2, pp. 108–121, 2014.
- [28] Y. Kuwata, M. T. Wolf, D. Zarzhitsky, and T. L. Huntsberger, "Safe maritime autonomous navigation with colregs, using velocity obstacles", *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 110–119, 2014.
- [29] B. C. Shah, P. Švec, I. R. Bertaska, W. Klinger, A. J. Sinisterra, K. von Ellenrieder, M. Dhanak, and S. K. Gupta, "Trajectory planning with adaptive control primitives for autonomous surface vehicles operating in congested civilian traffic", in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2014), 2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, IEEE, 2014, pp. 2312–2318.
- [30] P. Svec, B. C. Shah, I. R. Bertaska, J. Alvarez, A. J. Sinisterra, K. Von Ellenrieder, M. Dhanak, and S. K. Gupta, "Dynamics-aware target following for an autonomous surface vehicle operating under colregs in civilian traffic", in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, IEEE, 2013, pp. 3871–3878.
- [31] P. Švec, B. C. Shah, I. R. Bertaska, W. Klinger, A. J. Sinisterra, K. von Ellenrieder, M. Dhanak, and S. K. Gupta, "Adaptive sampling based colregs-compliant obstacle avoidance for autonomous surface vehicles", in *Workshop on Persistent Autonomy for Marine Robotics (PAMR'14) held at International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), Hong Kong, China*, 2016.
- [32] P. Svec and S. K. Gupta, "Automated synthesis of action selection policies for unmanned vehicles operating in adverse environments", *Autonomous Robots*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 149–164, 2012.
- [33] P. Svec, A. Thakur, B. C. Shah, and S. K. Gupta, "Usv trajectory planning for time varying motion goals in an environment with obstacles", in *ASME 2012 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Chicago, illinois, USA, 2012, pp. 1297–1306.