For our project we wanted to answer the question: "Which political party is most likely to win the majority of counties in each of the seven key battleground states (Arizona, Georgia, Michigan, Nevada, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin) in the 2024 U.S. presidential election based on demographic and economic indicators?"

This question emphasizes the specific outcomes being predicted (party winning counties) while anchoring the scope of the analysis to the demographic and economic factors used in the model. It also highlights the practical application of the model, potentially aiding political analysts, campaign strategists, or sociopolitical researchers in understanding the influence of these factors on electoral outcomes. We employed a supervised learning classification model, starting with decision trees and expanding to Random Forests to improve accuracy and robustness. Decision trees allowed us to identify key patterns and thresholds in the data that separated counties voting Democrat from those voting Republican. Random Forests further enhanced these predictions by combining multiple decision trees to mitigate overfitting and capture complex relationships between features.

The results of our analysis closely aligned with the actual 2024 election outcomes across all seven battleground states. Using our Random Forest model, we accurately captured the voting patterns in these states by leveraging demographic and economic indicators like race, education, and poverty rate. This high level of accuracy underscores the effectiveness of our approach in predicting county-level outcomes and demonstrates the stability of key factors influencing voting behavior. The close correspondence between our predictions and the actual results highlights the robustness of our model and the relevance of historical voting trends and demographic data in forecasting electoral outcomes.

Nevada

A state with 17 counties, Nevada did not pose many problems in constructing the random forest model. We merged data from three past election cycles to train and test the model. Some observations (county data for a specific election year) contained missing data for specific measures. We imputed values of 0 if they were insignificant/common (i.e. proportion of the population identifying with the Hawaiian race). Otherwise, we removed the rows.

Attached are the results of the random forest model. 16/17 counties were predicted to be Republican majorities for the 2024 U.S. presidential election. In comparison with the actual results, we found that our model had a success rate of 16/17 (94.11%). Clark County, while predicted to be Republican, turned out to be Democratic. This was the only discrepancy between the model's predicted results and the actual results of the 2024 U.S. presidential election.

```
County Name predicted_party
257
      Churchill County
                             REPUBLICAN
258
          Clark County
                             REPUBLICAN
259
        Douglas County
                             REPUBLICAN
260
           Elko County
                             REPUBLICAN
261
      Esmeralda County
                             REPUBLICAN
262
         Eureka County
                             REPUBLICAN
263
       Humboldt County
                             REPUBLICAN
264
                             REPUBLICAN
         Lander County
265
        Lincoln County
                             REPUBLICAN
266
           Lyon County
                             REPUBLICAN
267
        Mineral County
                             REPUBLICAN
            Nye County
268
                             REPUBLICAN
269
       Pershing County
                             REPUBLICAN
         Storey County
270
                             REPUBLICAN
271
         Washoe County
                               DEMOCRAT
272
    White Pine County
                             REPUBLICAN
           Carson City
                             REPUBLICAN
273
```

Arizona

As we built the random forest model, we merged the prior 3 past elections (2012, 2016, 2020) to train the model. However, the model ran into a problem of roughly 272 incidents of NaNs being found. To mitigate this error as we split the data, we decided to fill the na's with 0 and proceeded with the random forest. As our party variables were the variable of interest to predict, we created a label encoder to represent the Democrat and Republican party for each county. After running the model, Arizona's 2024 county winning parties were predicted and were almost identical to the actual results of the election.

```
County Name predicted_party
        Apache County
                                DEMOCRAT
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
       Cochise County
                                DEMOCRAT
      Coconino County
                               DEMOCRAT
           Gila County
                             REPUBLICAN
        Graham County
                             REPUBLICAN
      Greenlee County
                             REPUBLICAN
                             REPUBLICAN
         La Paz County
      Maricopa County
                                DEMOCRAT
        Mohave County
                             REPUBLICAN
        Navajo County
                             REPUBLICAN
           Pima County
                                DEMOCRAT
11
          Pinal County
                             REPUBLICAN
12
    Santa Cruz County
                                DEMOCRAT
13
       Yavapai County
                             REPUBLICAN
14
                             REPUBLICAN
           Yuma County
```

There was only one county that differed from the prediction model to the actual outcomes which was Cochise County, predicted to be Democrat but was Republican in the 2024 presidential election. This resulted in our models having a success rate of 92.86% for Arizona (13/14).

North Carolina

	county_name	party
1300	ALAMANCE	REPUBLICAN
1301	ALEXANDER	REPUBLICAN
1302	ALLEGHANY	REPUBLICAN
1303	ANSON	DEMOCRAT
1304	ASHE	REPUBLICAN
1395	WAYNE	REPUBLICAN
1396	WILKES	REPUBLICAN
1397	WILSON	DEMOCRAT
1398	YADKIN	REPUBLICAN
1399	YANCEY	REPUBLICAN

The prediction question for the model is: How likely is each county in North Carolina to vote for the Democratic or Republican candidate in the 2024 Presidential Election, based on historical voting patterns and demographic data from 2012, 2016, 2020, and recent statistics? The key output of this code is a prediction table which provides predictions on the winning party for each county in North Carolina. The predictions align with historical trends, such as rural areas leaning Republican and urban areas leaning Democrat. The random forest feature importance analysis identifies top variables influencing predictions:

- 1. Males 65+ Percentage: Older populations tend to lean Republican
- 2. Bachelor's Degree Percentage: Higher education levels are often associated with Democratic outcomes in urban counties.
- 3. Black or African American Alone Percentage: Counties with larger Black populations may show stronger Democratic preferences due to historical voting patterns.
- 4. Median Household Income: Counties with a higher percentage of lower-income households could vote Democrat.
- 5. High School Diploma Percentage: See #2

Some limitations in our data are missing or imputed data that could introduce biases. There is also an absence of real-time confounders like polling or economic activity could limit the model's ability to adapt to current trends. The results align with the 2024 Presidential Election. Age, race, and education are significant predictors of county-level voting trends.

Michigan

To construct our random forest model, we merged data from the previous three elections - 2012, 2016, and 2020 - for training purposes. However, this process introduced over 1000 instances of missing values (NaNs) within the dataset. To mitigate this issue, linear interpolation was applied to fill gaps where possible for numeric columns. Persistently problematic rows which could not be adequately resolved through these methods were manually dropped for specific indices to ensure the dataset was fully cleaned. Remaining missing values in X_train and y_train were handled by replacing NaNs with zeros. The target variable was then converted to string type and encoded using LabelEncoder, and inconsistencies in labels were managed by mapping unexpected entries to valid options - DEMOCRAT" and "REPUBLICAN".

Overall, the model was accurate in predicting 80 out of 83 counties for the 2024 election. It did not predict that Marquette County and Leelanau County would go Democrat, nor did it predict that Macomb County would go Republican in 2024. However, the model was still very accurate, achieving a 96.39% success rate. As expected, urban areas, including counties surrounding Detroit, Grand Rapids, Ann Arbor, and Lansing, were predicted to vote Democratic, while more rural counties generally leaned Republican.

	County Name	e predicted_party
174	Alcona County	y REPUBLICAN
175	Alger County	y REPUBLICAN
176	Allegan Count	y REPUBLICAN
177	Alpena County	y REPUBLICAN
178	Antrim Count	y REPUBLICAN
252	Tuscola County	y REPUBLICAN
253	Van Buren County	y REPUBLICAN
254	Washtenaw Count	y DEMOCRAT
255	Wayne County	y DEMOCRAT
256	Wexford Count	y REPUBLICAN

Pennsylvania

During this past presidential election, Pennsylvania emerged as a crucial battleground state with the potential to determine the next President of the United States. In 2020, after voting Republican in the 2016 election, Pennsylvania flipped blue. However, in the most recent election, the state returned to red. So, we thought it would be interesting to see how the model would predict the state's outcome of this latest election. To construct the model, we combined data from three presidential election cycles—including 2012, 2016, and 2020—alongside county data for each of the swing states. These were the results of some of the counties in Pennsylvania:

	Count	ty Name	predicted_party
374	Adams	County	REPUBLICAN
375	Allegheny	County	DEM0CRAT
376	Armstrong	County	REPUBLICAN
377	Beaver	County	REPUBLICAN
378	Bedford	County	REPUBLICAN
379	Berks	County	REPUBLICAN
380	Blair	County	REPUBLICAN
381	Bradford	County	REPUBLICAN
382	Bucks	County	DEMOCRAT
383	Butler	County	REPUBLICAN
384	Cambria	County	REPUBLICAN
385	Cameron	County	REPUBLICAN
386	Carbon	County	REPUBLICAN
387	Centre	County	DEMOCRAT
388	Chester	County	DEMOCRAT

After running the model, it was found that 61/67 counties were accurately predicted. The remaining six counties, which the model initially predicted would vote Democrat, ultimately voted Republican (as indicated by the data from this past election cycle). These included Bucks, Cumberland, Erie, Lancaster, Monroe and Northampton counties. This meant that, for the state

of Pennsylvania, the model had a 91.04% success rate.

Wisconsin

<u> </u>	50011511	=	
	Coun	ty Name	predicted_party
441		County	REPUBLICAN
442	Ashland	County	REPUBLICAN
443	Barron	County	REPUBLICAN
444	Bayfield	County	DEMOCRAT
445	Brown	County	DEMOCRAT
446	Buffalo	County	REPUBLICAN
447	Burnett	County	REPUBLICAN
448	Calumet	County	REPUBLICAN
449	Chippewa	County	REPUBLICAN
450		County	DEMOCRAT
451	Columbia	County	REPUBLICAN
452	Crawford	County	REPUBLICAN
453	Dane	County	DEMOCRAT
454		County	REPUBLICAN
455	Door	County	DEMOCRAT
456	Douglas	County	DEMOCRAT
457	Dougtas	County	REPUBLICAN
458		County	DEMOCRAT
459		County	REPUBLICAN
460	Fond du Lac	County	REPUBLICAN
461	Forest	County	DEMOCRAT
462	Grant	County	REPUBLICAN
463	Green	County	REPUBLICAN
464	Green Lake	County	REPUBLICAN
465	Iowa	County	DEMOCRAT
466	Iron	County	REPUBLICAN
467	Jackson	County	REPUBLICAN
468	Jefferson	County	REPUBLICAN
469	Juneau	County	REPUBLICAN
470	Kenosha	County	DEMOCRAT
471		County	REPUBLICAN
472	La Crosse	County	DEMOCRAT
473	Lafayette	County	REPUBLICAN
474	Langlade	County	REPUBLICAN
475	Lincoln	County	REPUBLICAN
476	Manitowoc	County	REPUBLICAN
477	Marathon	County	REPUBLICAN
478	Marinette	County	REPUBLICAN
479		County	REPUBLICAN
480	Menominee	County	DEMOCRAT
481	Milwaukee	County	DEMOCRAT
482	Monroe	County	REPUBLICAN
483		County	REPUBLICAN
484	Oneida	County	REPUBLICAN
485 486		County	DEMOCRAT
486	Ozaukee Pepin	County County	DEMOCRAT REPUBLICAN
488	Pierce	County	REPUBLICAN
489	Polk	County	REPUBLICAN
499	Portage	County	REPUBLICAN
491		County	REPUBLICAN
492	Racine	County	DEMOCRAT
493	Richland	County	REPUBLICAN
494	Rock	County	REPUBLICAN
495	Rusk	County	REPUBLICAN
496	St. Croix	County	REPUBLICAN
497	Sauk	County	REPUBLICAN
		- '	

The decision tree model was used to predict the dominant political party (Republican or Democrat) for Wisconsin counties in the 2024 election, with the actual 2020 outcomes serving as a baseline for comparison. The model performed very well, predicting that 13 counties would flip their party alignment in 2024, which was remarkably close to the actual 15 counties that flipped, achieving an 87% success rate for predicting flips. When applied to the 2020 outcomes, the model had a lower success rate, misclassifying several counties like Kenosha and Green, which voted Republican in 2020 but were incorrectly predicted as Democrat. The stronger performance in forecasting 2024 outcomes suggests the model was effective at identifying shifting voter patterns and adapting to recent trends. These results demonstrate its potential as a tool for predicting election outcomes, especially when combined with accurate data and fine-tuned parameters. To further enhance the model's accuracy, additional data sources such as voter demographics, economic changes, and turnout rates could be incorporated, making it even more reliable for future predictions.