

1. Prove that any open subset of a real line can be expressed as at most countable union of disjoint open intervals.

Pf: $\forall E \subset \mathbb{R}$. E is open. $\forall x \in E$. $\exists \varepsilon > 0$. $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subset E$.

$$\text{denote } a := \inf \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y < x, (y, x) \subset E\} \quad (a, b) \text{ is the largest open interval}$$

$$b := \sup \{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid z > x, (x, z) \subset E\} \quad \text{contains } x.$$

in topology, we have proved E can be expressed as the at most countable union of open intervals.

i.e. $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} U_n$ for each U_n . $\forall x \in U_n$, we can find $(a_n, b_n) \subset E$ and contains x .

we claim that (a_n, b_n) is either overlap or disjoint.

thus we remove the overlaped intervals and rearrange them as (a_k, b_k)

$$E = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (a_k, b_k)$$

any open set. = union of connected component.
connected component disjoint or overlaped. each contains a rational numbers.

2. Prove that Borel σ -algebra in \mathbb{R} can be generated by the family of open rays $\{(-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Pf: \forall open set $U \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, b) \setminus (-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n}]$.

by Problem 1. $U = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (a_n, b_n)$ (a_n, b_n) are disjoint open intervals.

$$(a_n, b_n) = (a_n, +\infty) \cup (-\infty, b_n) = \left[\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcap_{m \geq 1} (-\infty, a_n + \frac{1}{m}) \right] \cup (-\infty, b_n)$$

$$\text{thus, } U = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left[\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcap_{m \geq 1} (-\infty, a_n + \frac{1}{m}) \cup (-\infty, b_n) \right]$$

since $a_n + \frac{1}{m}, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. $(-\infty, a_n + \frac{1}{m}), (-\infty, b_n)$ belongs to the family of open rays.

i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be generated by $\{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

3. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be measurable space, X' be a set, $\mathcal{A}'_{\min} = \{\emptyset, X'\}$ be a minimal σ -algebra on X' . Prove that every map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is measurable.

Pf: $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$

$f^{-1}(X') = X \in \mathcal{A}$.

i.e. $\forall E \in \mathcal{A}'$, $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A}$. f is measurable.

~~function meas. \Rightarrow set meas.~~

- 1) Let (f_n) be a sequence of real-valued measurable functions on X . Then the set

$$E = \{x \in X \mid f_n(x) \text{ converges to some limit}\}$$

is measurable. (Hint: use Cauchy criterion).

Pf: by thm 1.6. $\limsup f_n$, $\liminf f_n$ are measurable function.

thus. the set $X(\limsup f_n = +\infty)$; $X(\liminf f_n = -\infty)$; $X(\liminf f_n \neq \limsup f_n)$ are measurable.

the set $E = X \setminus (X(\limsup f_n = +\infty) \cup X(\liminf f_n = -\infty) \cup X(\liminf f_n \neq \limsup f_n))$

Thus. E is measurable.

Write Cauchy criterion in terms of set operations. $E = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{x \mid |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{k}\}$.

func. $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)|$ measurable.

2. Assume that μ is a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Prove that

$$\mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$$

for every $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$.

Pf: denote $E \cap F = U_1$. $E \setminus (E \cap F) = U_2$. $F \setminus (E \cap F) = U_3$

then we have $\mu(E) = \mu U_1 + \mu U_2$. $\mu(F) = \mu U_1 + \mu U_3$. (monotonicity of measure)

$$\mu(E \cup F) = \mu U_2 + \mu U_3 + \mu U_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{thus. LHS} &= \mu U_3 + \mu U_1 + 2\mu U_1 = (\mu U_1 + \mu U_2) + (\mu U_1 + \mu U_3) \\ &= \mu E + \mu F = \text{RHS}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Prove that Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the same as product σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Pf: $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \times I'_n$. $\{I_n\}, \{I'_n\}$ are semi-open interval.

1) $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{E \times E' \mid E, E' \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ (by def. of product σ -algebra)

by this definition, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains all open sets of \mathbb{R}^2 .

and Borel σ -algebra is the smallest σ -algebra contains all open sets of \mathbb{R}^2 .

i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \supset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

2) $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ are both σ -algebra on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2$. the product of two Borel sets is always Borel
 $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

denote the projection map $p_{1,2}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $p_1(x,y) = x$. $p_2(x,y) = y$.

for arbitrary σ -algebra \mathcal{A} . p_1, p_2 are measurable iff $p_1^{-1}(Y) = Y \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{A}$.

$p_2^{-1}(Y) = \mathbb{R} \times Y \in \mathcal{A}$, for any $Y, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$

since $Y \times Y' = (Y \times \mathbb{R}) \cap (\mathbb{R} \times Y')$, $Y \times Y' \in \mathcal{A}$.

also since $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the smallest σ -algebra contains all sets of the form $Y \times Y'$ where $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, thus $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the smallest σ -algebra s.t. p_1, p_2 are both meas.
(actually, this is remark 1.4.2.)

It remains to check p_1, p_2 are meas. w.r.t. $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

$$\forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad p_1^{-1}(Y) = Y \times \mathbb{R}.$$

denote a product σ -algebra of \mathbb{R}^2 as $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{min.}}$; $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{min.}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

since $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{min.}}$, $Y \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{min.}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$.

p_1 is measurable and p_2 similarly.

$$\text{thus } \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$$

4. Prove that a Cantor's set is a Borel set (see MA(3)).

$$\text{Pf: } C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = [0,1] \setminus \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^m-1} \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^{m+1}}, \frac{3k+2}{3^{m+1}} \right) \quad \text{intersection of a nested sequence of closed sets}$$

$$\text{denote } E = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^m-1} \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^{m+1}}, \frac{3k+2}{3^{m+1}} \right)$$

by the def. of σ -algebra, $C \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ iff $E \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$

and E is the countable union of open intervals. thus $E \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$, thus $C \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$.

5. Consider a sequence of measures μ_i on a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} and a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$. For a set $E \in \mathcal{A}$ let

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(E).$$

Prove that μ is a measure on \mathcal{A} .

$$\text{Pf: 1) } \mu(\emptyset) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(\emptyset) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \cdot 0 = 0$$

2) Let $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \quad \text{Mi are measures} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(E_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) \end{aligned}$$

Another solution for 1. (Use Cauchy criterion)

1. Let (f_n) be a sequence of real-valued measurable functions on X . Then the set

$$E = \{x \in X \mid f_n(x) \text{ converges to some limit}\}$$

is measurable. (Hint: use Cauchy criterion).

Pf: let $g_n = f_n \chi_E$. g_n is measurable as the product of measurable function.

and g_n converge pointwisely on X : $g(x)$ converges to the same limit as $f_n(x)$

when $x \in E$, and converges to 0 when $x \in X \setminus E$.

denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$. g is measurable function.

$$E = \{x \mid g(x) \neq 0\} \cup \{x \mid \lim f_n(x) = 0\} =: E_1 \cup E_2.$$

E_1 can be written as $E(g \neq 0)$. it's measurable.

$$\text{and } E_2 = \left\{x \in E \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0: \forall n > N, |f_n(x)| < \varepsilon\right\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{x \mid |f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{k}\right\}$$

since $\left\{x \mid |f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{k}\right\} = X(f_n > -\frac{1}{k}) \cap X(f_n < \frac{1}{k})$ is measurable.

E_2 is measurable. thus E is measurable.

Lemma Assume $a_{k,i} \geq 0$, $k, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} = S_2$.

1. Consider a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$ defined as following:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + 1/2, & 0 \leq x < 1/2; \\ x - 1/2, & 1/2 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

$E \subset (0, 1)$. E -meas.

$$f^{-1}(E) = A_1 \cup A_2.$$

$$A_1 = \left\{ x + \frac{1}{2} : x \in E \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}] \right\}$$

$$A_2 = \left\{ x - \frac{1}{2} : x \in E \cap [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \right\}$$

Find the image of Lebesgue measure with respect to this transform.

$$\forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$$

$$Y = (Y \cap [0, 1]) \cup (\cancel{Y \cap [1, 2]})$$

$$f^{-1}(Y \cap [1, 2]) = \emptyset \text{ or } \{\frac{1}{2}\}$$

since $Y \cap [0, 1]$ is measurable w.r.t Lebesgue measure.

then $Y \cap [0, 1] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$ $[a_n, b_n]$ mutually disjoint

divide them into 3 groups. and rearrange them .

$$0 \leq a_{n_1} < b_{n_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \leq a_{n_2} < \frac{1}{2} < b_{n_2} \leq 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq a_{n_3} < b_{n_3} \leq 1$$

$$f^{-1}(Y \cap [0, 1]) = \bigcup_{n_1=1}^{\infty} [a_{n_1} + \frac{1}{2}, b_{n_1} + \frac{1}{2}] \bigcup_{n_3=1}^{\infty} [a_{n_3} - \frac{1}{2}, b_{n_3} - \frac{1}{2}] \bigcup_{n_2=1}^{\infty} [a_{n_2} + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \cup [0, b_{n_2} - \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f^{-1}(Y \cap [0, 1])) &= \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n_1} - a_{n_1}) \sum_{n_3 \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n_3} - a_{n_3}) \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - a_{n_2} \right) + \left(b_{n_2} - \frac{1}{2} - 0 \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n_1} - a_{n_1}) \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n_2} - a_{n_2}) \sum_{n_3 \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n_3} - a_{n_3}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (b_n - a_n) \\ &= \mu(Y \cap [0, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{thus, } \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \quad f_*(\mu)(Y) = \mu(Y \cap [0, 1])$$

the Lebesgue measure preserved.

2. Consider a measure defined as linear combination of Dirac measures

$$\mu = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_2$$

on \mathcal{A}_{max} on \mathbb{R} . Consider a function $f(x) = x^2$. Prove that it is measurable map with respect to σ -algebra \mathcal{A}_{max} . Find $f_*(\mu)$.

Pf: 1) $\forall E \in \mathcal{A}_{max} \quad f^{-1}(E) = \{\sqrt{x} \mid x \in E \text{ and } x \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}.$ thus $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$
 f is measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{A}_{max} .

$$2) \forall E \in \mathcal{A}_{max} \quad f_*(\mu)(E) = \mu(f^{-1}(E)) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0, 1, 2 \notin f^{-1}(E). \text{ i.e. } 0, 1, 4 \notin E. \\ 1, & 0 \in E \text{ or } 1 \in E. \times \begin{array}{l} 0 \in E \wedge 1, 4 \notin E \\ 1 \in E \wedge 0, 4 \notin E \end{array} \\ 2, & \{0, 1\} \subset E \text{ or } 4 \in E. \times \begin{array}{l} 1 \in E \wedge 0, 4 \notin E \\ 4 \in E \wedge 0, 1 \notin E \end{array} \\ \cancel{3}, & \{0, 4\} \subset E \text{ or } \{1, 4\} \subset E \xrightarrow{\{0, 1\} \subset E \wedge 4 \notin E} \\ 4, & \{0, 1, 4\} \subset E \end{cases}$$

$$f_*(\mu) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_4.$$

3. Calculate the integral of function $f(x) = x^3$ on $[0, +\infty)$ with respect measure

$$\mu = \frac{\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + 3\delta_3}{6}.$$

Solution: $\int_0^{+\infty} x^3 d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{6} (f(1) + 2f(2) + 3f(3)) = \frac{1}{6} (1 + 16 + 81) = \frac{49}{3}$

we have $f \geq 0$, denote $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{A_k}$. $c_k \in [0, +\infty]$. A_k partitioned $[0, +\infty]$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} f d\mu = \sup_{\varphi} \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi d\mu = \sup \sum c_k \cdot \mu(A_k \cap [0, +\infty)). \quad A_k \text{ partitioned } [0, +\infty].$$

denote $A_k = [a_k, b_{k-1})$. $\varphi \leq f$. i.e. $c_k \leq a_k^3$

Let $1 \in A_{k_1}, 2 \in A_{k_2}, 3 \in A_{k_3}$. (k_1, k_2, k_3 can be equal)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \sum c_k \mu(A_k \cap [0, +\infty)) &= \sup \left(c_{k_1} \cdot \frac{1}{6} + c_{k_2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} + c_{k_3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \sup \left(a_{k_1}^3 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + a_{k_2}^3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + a_{k_3}^3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by def. $\max a_{k_1} = 1 \quad \max a_{k_2} = 2 \quad \max a_{k_3} = 3$.

$$\text{thus } \int_0^{+\infty} f d\mu = \sup \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi d\mu = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{8}{3} + \frac{27}{2} = \frac{49}{3}$$

4. Explain why the counting measure on \mathbb{R} is not σ -finite.

define the counting measure $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} a & a \text{ is the number of elements in } A. \\ +\infty & A \text{ has infinite elements.} \end{cases}$

If \mathbb{R} is σ -finite w.r.t. to μ , i.e. $\exists X_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, $\mu X_n < +\infty$.

i.e. X_n has finite element.

The at most countable union of finite element is at most countable.

which implies \mathbb{R} is countable, which is contradictory.

5. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, and let E_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, be measurable sets. Prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mu E_i \leq \mu \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \right) + \sum_{i < j} \mu (E_i \cap E_j).$$

Pf by induction

$$1). n=2 \quad \mu E_1 \leq \mu E_1 + \sum_{j>1} \mu (E_1 \cap E_j) \text{ holds.}$$

2) assume the formula holds for $n=N-1$.

3) consider $n=N$

$$\sum_{N} \mu E_k = \mu E_k + \sum_{N-1} \mu E_{k-1} \leq \mu E_N + \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \sum_{k < j}^{N-1} \mu (E_j \cap E_k)$$

$$\text{by the previous hw. } \mu E_N + \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) = \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \mu \left(\left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) \cap E_N \right).$$

$$\text{and } \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) \cap E_N = \bigcup_{N-1} (E_k \cap E_N)$$

$$\text{thus. } \sum_{N} \mu E_k \leq \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \mu \left(\left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) \cap E_N \right) + \sum_{k < j}^{N-1} \mu (E_j \cap E_k).$$

$$= \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \mu \bigcup_{N-1} (E_k \cap E_N) + \sum_{k < j}^{N-1} \mu (E_j \cap E_k).$$

$$\leq \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \mu (E_k \cap E_N) + \sum_{k < j}^{N-1} \mu (E_j \cap E_k).$$

$$= \mu \left(\bigcup_{N-1} E_k \right) + \sum_{k=j}^N \mu (E_j \cap E_k)$$

In conclusion, the formula holds.

1. Consider a counting measure on \mathbb{N} . Describe spaces of measurable and of integrable functions.

denote μ as counting measure. $S(\mathbb{N})$. space of measurable function.
 $L(\mathbb{N})$ space of integrable function.

$S(\mathbb{N})$ depends on σ -algebra on \mathbb{N} .

for example, for $\mathcal{A} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. any function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \in S(\mathbb{N})$

by def. of μ , $\int_{\mathbb{N}} |f| d\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)|$

this space $\mathcal{L}' = \{ \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k| < +\infty \}$

$L(\mathbb{N}) = \{ f \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| < +\infty, \text{ i.e. the series } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| \text{ conv.} \}$.

2. Assume that $f_n \in L(E)$ is increasing sequence, $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $f \in L(E)$. Prove that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L(E)$.

Pf: We need to check $\|f_n - f\|_1 = \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \rightarrow 0$. $g_n = f - f_n$.

denote $\Phi(x) = \max \{ |f_1(x)|, f(x) \}$. $\Phi \in L(E)$ since $f_1, f \in L(E)$.

since $\langle f_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing, $|f_n(x)| \leq \Phi(x)$. for all $x \in E$.

Then apply the Lebesgue dominated conv. thm. $\int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$

i.e. $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L(E)$

3. Let f be μ -measurable on E and denote $E_t = E(|f| > t)$. Prove that

$$\mu E_t \leq \frac{1}{t^p} \int_E |f|^p d\mu.$$

$E_t = E(|f|^p > t^p)$.

Pf: To make sense of the proof. assume $t > 0$.

$$\int_E |f|^p d\mu \geq \int_{E_t} |f|^p d\mu \quad (\text{by monotonicity by sets})$$

$$\geq \int_{E_t} t^p d\mu \quad (\text{on } E_t, |f| > t \text{ implies } |f|^p > t^p, \text{ then by monotonicity})$$

$$= t^p \cdot \mu E_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu E_t \leq \frac{1}{t^p} \int_E |f|^p d\mu.$$

4. Prove that a measure μ is σ -finite if and only if there exists a positive integrable function ($f > 0$ on X and $\int_X f d\mu < +\infty$).

Pf: " \Rightarrow " μ is σ -finite i.e. $\exists \{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. $\mu X_n < +\infty$. $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = X$.

denote $A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n X_k$ thus we have an non-decreasing sequence of set $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

and denote $A_0 = \emptyset$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n \cdot \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n)} & , x \in A_{n+1} \setminus A_n. \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n) \neq 0 \quad n=0,1,2,\dots \\ \frac{1}{2^n} & . x \in A_{n+1} \setminus A_n. \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n) = 0 \quad n=0,1,2,\dots \end{cases}$$

$f > 0$ since $\frac{1}{2^n} > 0$ and $M \geq 0$

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n)} \cdot \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n) + 0 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \leq 2 < +\infty$$

~~" \Leftarrow "~~ $\exists f > 0$ s.t. $\int_X f d\mu < +\infty$.

$\exists \varphi \leq f$. φ is simple $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{A_k}$. $\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k = X$. A_k mutually disjoint.

$$\text{thus } \int_X \varphi d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \mu(A_k) < +\infty. \mu(A_k) < +\infty.$$

thus X is finite, more obviously σ -finite

Consider a set $X_k = X(f > \frac{1}{k})$. by Chebyshev's $\mu(X_k) \leq k \int_X |f| < \infty$.

and since $f > 0$ for every $x \in X$. $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$ $\mu X_k < +\infty$

5. Consider $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sin nx}{x} \right)^2$. Prove that

- (a) $f_n \in L(0, \pi)$;
- (b) $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in [0, \pi]$;
- (c) There is no such function $g \in L(0, \pi)$ such that $f_n(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in [0, \pi]$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Pf: (a) it suffices to check that $\int_0^\pi f_n dx < +\infty$ i.e. $\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sin nx}{x} \right)^2 dx < +\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin nx}{nx} = 1$ and $\left| \frac{\sin nx}{nx} \right| \leq 1$ on $(0, \pi)$.

thus $\int_0^\pi n \cdot \left(\frac{\sin nx}{nx} \right)^2 dx \leq n \int_0^\pi dx = n\pi < +\infty$.

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{nx^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\sin nx}{x} \right)^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} = 0$ for any fixed $x \in (0, \pi]$

(c) Assume function g exists and satisfies the above condition.

by (a)(b). denote $f(x) \geq 0$ on $(0, \pi]$.

we have $f_n \rightarrow f$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in (0, \pi]$. $f, f_n \in L(0, \pi)$

And by Lebesgue dominated conv. thm, we have $\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\sin nx}{x} \right)^2 dx \rightarrow 0$.

but since:

$$\int f_n dx \rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 dx.$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 dx = -\frac{1}{x} \cdot \sin^2 x \Big|_0^{+\infty} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{2 \sin x \cos x}{x} dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin 2x}{2x} d(2x) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\sin nx}{x} \right)^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_0^{\pi/n} \frac{(\sin nx)^2}{(nx)^2} d(nx) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty.$$

thus it causes a contradiction.

1. Let $p \in X$ and δ_p be Dirac measure. Prove that

$$\int_X f d\delta_p = f(p)$$

for every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Pf: 1) f is characteristic function $f = \chi_A$.

$$\int_X f d\delta_p = \chi_A. \delta_p(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & p \in A \\ 0, & p \notin A \end{cases} = \chi_A(p) = f(p)$$

2) by linearity, the formula holds when f is simple function

$$3) f \geq 0. \quad \int_X f d\delta_p = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \leq f \\ \varphi \text{ is simple}}} \int_X \varphi d\delta_p = \sup_{\varphi} \int_X \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{A_k} d\delta_p$$

where A_k mutually disjoint
and $\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k = X$.

$$= \sup \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{A_k} c_k d\delta_p = \sup \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \cdot \delta_p(A_k)$$

by construction of A_k . $\exists ! j, 1 \leq j \leq N$. s.t. $\delta_p(A_j) = 1$. and $\delta_p(A_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$.

$$\int_X f d\delta_p = \sup c_j \text{ since } \varphi \leq f. \quad p \in A_j. \quad \sup c_j = f(p).$$

4). f be any function. $f = f_+ - f_-$ $f_+ = \max \{f, 0\}$. $f_- = \max \{0, -f\}$.

and apply 3). $\int_X f d\delta_p = f(p)$.

2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$. Consider an interval

$$\Delta_{k,n} = \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right)$$

and let $f_{n,k}(x) = \chi_{\Delta_{k,n}}$ be a characteristic function of this interval. This defines a countable family of functions that can be considered as a sequence. For example,

$$g_1 = f_{1,0}, g_2 = f_{1,1}, g_3 = f_{2,0}, g_4 = f_{2,1}, g_5 = f_{2,2}, g_6 = f_{2,3}, g_7 = f_{3,0}, \dots$$

Let $m_n = 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1$ and for $m_n \leq j < m_{n+1}$ we let $k = j - m_n$. Then the above sequence is defined as follows:

$$m_n = 2^n - 1 \quad m_{n+1} = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

$$g_j = f_{n,j-m_n}, \quad m_n \leq j < m_{n+1}.$$

- (a) Prove that a sequence g_j converges in $L^1[0, 1]$.
- (b) Indicate a subsequence that converges to the limit function a.e.

Pf: (a) $g_j = f_{n,j-m_n} = \chi_{[\frac{j-2^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{j-2^n+2}{2^{n+1}})} \quad j \in \{2^{n-1}, 2^n, \dots, 2^{n+1}-1\}.$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and w.l.g. $p > q$.

$$\|g_p - g_q\|_1 = \int |g_p - g_q|_1 d\mu \leq \mu(\Delta_{n,p-m_n} + \Delta_{n,q-m_n}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

thus $\{g_j\}$ is Cauchy's in $L^1[0, 1]$.

$$\int_0^1 g_j dx = \int_0^1 f_{n,j-m_n} dx = \frac{1}{2^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

by the completeness of $L^1[0, 1]$. $\{g_j\}$ conv. in $L^1[0, 1]$

$$(b) \text{ since } \int_{[0,1]} |g_j| d\mu = \mu(\Delta_{n,j-m_n}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

the limit function $g = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j$ is $g = 0$.

subsequence $\{g_{j'}\}$ when $j' = m_{n+1} - 1 = 2^{n+1} - 2$, i.e. $\{g_{2^{n+1}-2}\} = \{\chi_{[\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}, 1]}\}$.

which conv. to 0 a.e.

3. Let $\mu(X) < +\infty$, $f_n \in L^1(X, \mu)$, and $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ on X . Prove that $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\|f_n - f\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Pf: $f_n \rightharpoonup f$. i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$. $|f_n - f| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$ and $n > N$.

$$\text{fixed } N_1 > N. |f_{N_1} - f| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |f_1 - f_{N_1}| \leq |f_{N_1} - f| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |f| < |f_{N_1}| + \varepsilon.$$

$$\int_X |f| d\mu < \int_X [|f_{N_1}| + \varepsilon] d\mu \leq \int_X |f_{N_1}| d\mu + \varepsilon \cdot \mu X.$$

since $f_{N_1} \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\mu(X) < +\infty$, $\int_X |f| d\mu < +\infty$. i.e. $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$

$$\forall \varepsilon' > 0. \exists N' \in \mathbb{N}. |f_n - f| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{\mu X} \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } n > N'$$

$$\|f_n - f\|_1 = \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu \leq \frac{\varepsilon'}{\mu X} \mu X < \varepsilon' \text{ for } n > N'$$

thus $\|f_n - f\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

By monotonicity of integral.

$$\|f_n - f\|_1 \leq \mu(X) \sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty)$$

4. Provide an example of a set and a measure such that $\mu(X) = +\infty$, $f_n, f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, and $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ on X but $\|f_n - f\|_1 \neq 0$.

$X = [1, +\infty)$. μ be Lebesgue measure.

$$f_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & x \in [1, n] \\ 0, & x > n. \end{cases} \quad f_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[1, n]} \quad \|f_n\|_1 = 1 \neq 0.$$

$$\int |f_n| d\mu = \int_1^n \frac{1}{n} dx = 1 - \frac{1}{n} < +\infty. \quad f_n \in L^1(X, \mu)$$

$$f_n \rightharpoonup f \equiv 0. \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists N = [\frac{1}{\varepsilon}], \text{ for any } n > N, |f_n - f| \leq \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{but } \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = \int_X |f_n| d\mu = 1 \neq 0.$$

$$E_k = \{(x, y) \in [-1, 1]^2 : \frac{1}{k+1} < |x|, |y| < \frac{1}{k}\} \quad \int_{E_k} \frac{1}{|x|+|y|} d\mu \leq (k+1) \mu_2(E_k) \leq \frac{8(k+1)}{k^2(k+1)} \leq \frac{8}{k^3}$$

1. Consider a space $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mu_2)$ and a function $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x|+|y|}$ prove that $f \in L^1([-1, 1]^2)$.

Pf: it suffices to check $\int_{[-1, 1]^2} \frac{1}{|x|+|y|} d\mu < +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[-1, 1]^2} \frac{1}{|x|+|y|} d\mu &= \int_{-1}^1 dx \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|x|+|y|} dy = 4 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x+y} dy = 4 \int_0^1 \ln \frac{x+1}{x} dx \\ &= 4 \int_0^1 \ln(1 + \frac{1}{x}) dx \leq 4 \int_0^1 \ln 2 = 4 \ln 2 < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider \mathbb{N} with counting measure. Describe space $L^p(\mathbb{N})$.

$$L^p(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : \| \{c_k\} \|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}$$

$f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{N})$, we need $\int |f|^p d\mu < +\infty$

denote a family of set $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ $X_i = \{x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{im_i}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. partition directly求和, counting measure.

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}. |a_i| < +\infty.$$

$$f(x) = a_i \text{ for } x \in X_i. \text{ i.e. } f \text{ has finite value in finite set.}$$

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup X_i$$

3. Prove Hölder's inequality for simple functions using Hölder's inequality for finite sums. Apply theorem on monotone sequence to obtain Hölder's inequality for nonnegative measurable functions.

Pf: Hölder's inequality for finite sums: $a_i, b_i \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$

$$(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \quad f, g \in S(X). f, g: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty].$$

$$1) f, g \text{ is simple. } f = \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} c_k \chi_{X_k} \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} d_j \chi_{Y_j} \quad c_k, d_j \in [0, +\infty).$$

$\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^{N_1}, \{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_2}$ mutually disjoint, partition X and belongs to \mathcal{A} .

denote $A_{kj} = X_k \cap Y_j$. A_{kj} mutually disjoint and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{N_1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_2} A_{kj} = Y_j \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_2} A_{kj} = X_k$.

thus we get a new partition for $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N_1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_2} A_{kj}$

$$\text{rearrange the set, denote } N = C_{\max(N_1, N_2)}^{\min(N_1, N_2)}. \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{X_k} \quad g = \sum_{k=1}^N d_k \chi_{X_k}$$

$$\int_X fg d\mu = \int_X \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{X_k} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^N d_k \chi_{X_k} d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k d_k (\mu X_k)^2$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^N c_k^p \mu X_k \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N d_k^q \mu X_k \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int f^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int g^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ $g \in L^q(X, \mu)$ consider increasing simple function $\{\varphi_n\}$ $\{\psi_n\}$.

$$\varphi_n \leq \varphi_{n+1} \quad f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x), \quad x \in X$$

$$\psi_n \leq \psi_{n+1} \quad g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(x), \quad x \in X.$$

$$\text{Then } \varphi_n(x) \psi_n(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x) \psi_{n+1}(x), \quad f g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x) \psi_n(x)$$

$$\text{By Levy. thm. (25). } \int_X |fg| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \varphi_n \psi_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_X |\varphi_n|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_X |\psi_n|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_X |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

4. Prove the General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on E that converges pointwise a.e. on E to f . Suppose there is a sequence $[g_n]$ of nonnegative measurable functions on E that converges pointwise a.e. on E to g and dominates $\{f_n\}$ on E in the sense that

$$|f_n| \leq g_n \text{ on } E \text{ for all } n.$$

Prove that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E g_n = \int_E g < \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n = \int_E f.$$

Pf: we have $f_n \pm g_n \rightarrow f \pm g$ pointwisely a.e. on E .

notice that $|f_n| \leq g_n$ thus $f_n + g_n \geq 0$. $g_n - f_n \geq 0$.

then apply Fatou's thm for function for nonnegative function $f_n + g_n \geq 0$. $g_n - f_n \geq 0$.

$$\int_E f + \int_E g = \int_E (f+g) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (f_n + g_n) = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n + \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E g_n = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n + \int_E g$$

Hence $\int_E f \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n$. similarly $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n \leq \int_E f$.

Which means the upper and lower limit coincides. i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu$.

5. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of integrable functions on E for which $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. on E and f is integrable over E . Show that $\int_E |f - f_n| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_n| = \int_E |f|$. (Hint: Use the General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.)

Pf: " \Rightarrow " $\int_E |f - f_n| \rightarrow 0$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$. $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, for $n > N$ $\int_E |f - f_n| < \varepsilon$.

by triangle inequality. $|\int_E |f| - \int_E |f_n|| = \int_E ||f| - |f_n|| d\mu \leq \int_E |f - f_n| d\mu < \varepsilon$.

i.e. $\int_E |f| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n$

" \Leftarrow " denote $h_n = |f - f_n|$ $g_n = |f| + |f_n|$ $|h_n| \leq g_n$ for all n .

consider the limit case. $h_n \rightarrow h \equiv 0$ a.e. on E .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n = \int |f| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n| = 2 \int |f| d\mu < \infty$ (by integrability of f on E).

then by general Lebesgue dominated conv. thm.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E h_n = \int_E h = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n - f| = 0$, i.e. $\int_E |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$.

6. For a measurable function f on $[1, \infty)$ which is bounded on bounded sets, define $a_n = \int_n^{n+1} f$ for each natural number n . Is it true that f is integrable over $[1, \infty)$ if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges? Is it true that f is integrable over $[1, \infty)$ if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely?

1). Not true

$$\text{let } f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \chi_{[n, n+1]}$$

$$\text{the series } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \chi_{[n, n+1]} d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$$

the series conv. to 0 but the integral even not exists.

$$\text{since } \int_{[1, +\infty)} f_+ , \int_{[1, +\infty)} f_- = +\infty$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [n, n+\frac{1}{2}), n \in \mathbb{N} \\ -1 & x \in [n+\frac{1}{2}, n+1), n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

$a_n \equiv 0$
both conv. and abs conv.

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |f| dx = +\infty$$

2) Not true.

$$\text{let } f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{[n, n+\frac{1}{2}]} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{[n+\frac{1}{2}, n+1]}$$

$$a_n = \int_n^{n+1} f d\mu = \mu X_{[n, n+\frac{1}{2}]} - \mu X_{[n+\frac{1}{2}, n+1]} = 0 \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ conv.}$$

$$\text{but we also have } \int_{[1, +\infty)} f_+ d\mu , \int_{[1, +\infty)} f_- d\mu = +\infty$$

1. For f in $L^1[a, b]$, define $\|f\| = \int_a^b x^2 |f(x)| dx$. Show that this is a norm on $L^1[a, b]$.

1). positive definite : $\|f\| = 0 \iff \int_a^b x^2 |f(x)| dx = 0$.

\iff since $x^2 |f(x)| \geq 0$. the integral $= 0$ iff $x^2 |f(x)| = 0$.

$x^2 \geq 0$, $|f(x)| \geq 0$ and $x^2 \leq 0$ is impossible on $[a, b]$. thus $f = 0$.

2). scalar multiplication. $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\|\alpha f\| = \int_a^b x^2 |\alpha f(x)| dx = \int_a^b x^2 |\alpha| \cdot |f(x)| dx = |\alpha| \int_a^b x^2 |f(x)| dx = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|.$$

3) triangular inequality. $f, g \in L^1[a, b]$

$$\|f+g\| = \int_a^b x^2 |f+g| dx \leq \int_a^b x^2 (|f| + |g|) dx = \int_a^b x^2 |f| dx + \int_a^b x^2 |g| dx = \|f\| + \|g\|$$

by numerical triangular inequality
and monotonicity of integral

2. Prove that $L^p[0, 1] \neq L^q[0, 1]$ if $p \neq q$.

Pf: w.l.o.g. let $p > q$. denote $a = \frac{p-q}{2}$ consider the function $f = x^{-\frac{1}{q+a}}$ on $[0, 1]$.

$$\int |f|^q d\mu = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{q}{q+a}} = \frac{q+a}{a} x^{\frac{a}{q+a}} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{q+a}{a} < +\infty \quad (\frac{a}{q+a} > 0). \quad f \in L^q[0, 1]$$

$$\int |f|^p d\mu = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{p}{q+a}} = \frac{q+a}{-p+q+a} x^{\frac{-p+q+a}{q+a}} \Big|_0^1$$

since $-p+q+a = \frac{p+q}{2} - p = \frac{q-p}{2} < 0$, $x^{\frac{-p+q+a}{q+a}} \rightarrow +\infty$ when $x \rightarrow 0$

$f \notin L^p[0, 1]$ thus $L^p[0, 1] \neq L^q[0, 1]$

3. Assume that $\mu(E) < \infty$. Prove that

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p$$

for any measurable function f .

Pf: denote the set $E_f = \{x \mid f(x) > \|f\|_{\infty}\}$. $\mu E_f > 0$.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, denote a set $E_{\varepsilon} = \{x \mid |f(x) - \|f\|_{\infty}| < \varepsilon\}$.

We claim that $E_{\varepsilon} \supseteq E_f$. otherwise $\|f\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon$ become the new essential bound.

on $E_{\varepsilon} \setminus E_f$, $\|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon < f(x) \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$.

by monotonicity of integral. $\|\|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ on $E_{\varepsilon} \setminus E_f$.

i.e. $(\mu E_{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon) \leq \left(\int_{E_{\varepsilon}} |f|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_E |f|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ on E .

$p \rightarrow +\infty$. $(\mu E_{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 1$ $\|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$. on E

since $\mu E < +\infty$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_{\infty}$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, then denote $F = \{x \mid |f(x)| > \|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon\}$ $\mu F > 0$

In this case $\|f\|_p \geq \mu(F)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon)$ $\left(\int (|f|_{\infty} - \varepsilon) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p \geq \|f\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon$

$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p \geq \|f\|_{\infty}$

4. Suppose $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $f \in L^p(E)$. Show that $f = 0$ a.e. if and only if

$$\int_E fg = 0 \text{ for all } g \in L^q(E).$$

Pf: " \Rightarrow " by Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_E |fg| \leq \left(\int_E |f|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_E |g|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 0 \cdot \left(\int_E |g|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

$g \in L^q(E)$ implies $\left(\int_E |g|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_E |g|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty$ $f(x) = |f| e^{i\theta(x)}$

$$\text{Let } g(x) = e^{-i\theta(x)} |f|^{p-1} \|g\|_q^q = \int_E |g|^q = \int_E |f|^{q(p-1)} = \|f\|_p^p < \infty$$

thus $\int_E |fg| = 0 \Rightarrow \int_E fg = 0$. $g \in L^q(E)$ $0 = \int_E f \cdot g = \int_E |f|^{p-1} \|g\|_q^q$

" \Leftarrow " assume $\exists E_1 \subset E$, s.t. $f \neq 0$ and $E_1 > 0$ w.l.g. $f > 0$ on E_1 .

let $g = \chi_{E_1} \in L^p(E)$

$$\int_E fg \geq \int_{E_1} fg d\mu = \int_{E_1} f d\mu > 0 \text{ which contradicts with } \int_E fg = 0.$$

5. (The L^p Dominated Convergence Theorem) Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions that converges pointwise a.e. on E to f . For $1 \leq p < \infty$, suppose there is a function g in $L^p(E)$ such that for all n , $|f_n| \leq g$ a.e. on E . Prove that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(E)$.

Pf: $|f_n| \leq g \Rightarrow |f_n|^p \leq g^p$ since $p \in [1, +\infty)$ pass the limit $|f|^p \leq g^p$

and $|f_n|^p + g^p \geq 0$. $g^p - |f_n|^p$, by Fatou's thm.

$$\int f^p + \int g^p = \int (f^p + g^p) \leq \underline{\lim} \int (g^p + f_n^p) = \int g^p + \underline{\lim} \int f_n^p. \Rightarrow \int f^p \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n^p$$

$$\int g^p - \int f^p = \int (g^p - f^p) \leq \underline{\lim} \int (g^p - f_n^p) = \int g^p - \underline{\lim} \int f_n^p = \int g^p + \overline{\lim} \int (f - f_n)^p$$

$$\Rightarrow \int f^p \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n^p \leq \overline{\lim} \int f_n^p \leq \int f^p \Rightarrow \lim \int f_n^p = \int f^p$$

denote $F_n = |f_n - f|$. $F_n \leq 2g$ and $F_n \rightarrow 0$.

apply the previous result. $\lim \int F_n^p = \int 0^p = 0$

i.e. $\lim \int_E |f - f_n|^p = 0$

$|f_n| \leq g$. $|f_n - f| \leq 2g$. $|f_n - f|^p \rightarrow |f - f|^p$

by dominated conv. thm. $\int_E |f_n - f|^p d\mu \rightarrow \int_E |f - f|^p d\mu = 0$. and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(E)$.

Real Analysis 2024. Homework 8.

1. Find condition on p under which the integral is finite

$$\iint_E \frac{dxdy}{(x^2+y^2)^p}, \quad E = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < x^2\}.$$

Solution: consider the polar change. $\begin{cases} x = r \cos \theta \\ y = r \sin \theta \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 < y < x \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 < r \cos \theta < 1 \\ 0 < r \sin \theta < r \cos \theta \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 < r < \sec \theta \\ \tan \theta \sec \theta < r < \sec \theta \end{cases}$

$$\iint_E \frac{r}{(r^2)^p} dr d\theta = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} d\theta \int_{\tan \theta \sec \theta}^{\sec \theta} r^{1-2p} dr.$$

$$\Rightarrow p=1, \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln |\cot \theta| d\theta = - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \ln |\tan \theta| d\theta = - \int_0^1 \ln |t| \cdot \frac{1}{t^2+1} dt = - \ln |t| \cdot \arctan t \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\arctan t}{t} dt.$$

$$-\ln |t| \cdot \arctan t = 0 + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} |t| \cdot \arctan t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t \ln t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln t}{\frac{1}{t}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-\frac{1}{t}}{-\frac{1}{t^2}} = 0.$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\arctan t}{t} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t - \frac{1}{3}t^3 + \frac{1}{5}t^5 - o(t^5)}{t} dt = \int_0^1 1 - \frac{1}{3}t^2 + \frac{1}{5}t^4 - o(t^4) dt \in \int_0^1 1 - \frac{1}{3}t^2 + \frac{1}{5}t^4 < +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow p \neq 1, \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{1}{2-2p} \cdot r^{2-2p} \Big|_{\tan \theta \sec \theta}^{\sec \theta} = \frac{1}{2-2p} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{1}{\cos^{2-2p}} - \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2-2p} d\theta.$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \leq \cos \theta \leq 1, \text{ on } [0, \frac{\pi}{4}], \text{ thus } \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \cos^{2-2p} \theta < +\infty.$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sin \theta^{2-2p} \text{ will be finite/infinite simultaneously with } \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}\right)^{2-2p} d\theta.$$

Since $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} (\cos^2 \theta)^{2-2p} = 1 \neq 0$, and then apply the comparison test.

$$\text{Then consider the integral } \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} (\sin \theta)^{2-2p} d\theta$$

$$p < 1, 2-2p > 0, (\sin \theta)^{2-2p} < 1 \text{ on } [0, \frac{\pi}{4}], \text{ finite.}$$

$$p > 1 \text{ since } \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} x < \sin x < x \text{ on } (0, \frac{\pi}{4}), \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x} < \frac{1}{\sin x} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{x} \text{ on } (0, \frac{\pi}{4}).$$

$$\frac{1}{x}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{x} \text{ will be infinite/finite simultaneously. } \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{p-2} dx \Rightarrow \text{finite iff } p < \frac{3}{2}.$$

thus integral is finite iff $p < \frac{3}{2}$

$$I = \iint_E \frac{dxdy}{(x^2+y^2)^p} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{x^2} \frac{dy}{(x^2+t^2)^p} \stackrel{y=x^2t}{=} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 dt}{(x^2+x^4t^2)^p} = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{2p-2}} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{(1+t^2x^2)^p}$$

$$\frac{1}{2p} \leq \frac{1}{(1+t^2x^2)^p} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t, x \leq 1. \quad \frac{1}{2p} \leq \frac{1}{(1+t^2x^2)^p} \leq 1. \quad \frac{1}{2p} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{2p-2}} \leq I \leq \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{2p-2}}$$

2. Find condition on p under which the integral is finite

$$\iint_{x+y>1} \frac{\sin x \sin y}{(x+y)^p} dx dy.$$

$$\begin{cases} u=x \\ v=x+y \end{cases} \quad J=1. \quad I = \int_{v>1} \frac{|\sin u \sin(v-u)|}{v^p} du dv.$$

Caution: the integrability of f is equivalent to integrability of $|f|$! $F(u) = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{|\sin(v-u)|}{v^p} dv$. p.d. and has period π .

$$\Delta: \begin{cases} u=x+y \\ v=x-y \end{cases} \quad |J|=2, \quad |J|=\sum.$$

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u) |\sin u| du = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} F(u) |\sin u| du$$

$$\iint_{x+y>1} \left| \frac{\sin x \sin y}{(x+y)^p} \right| dx dy = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{u>1} \left| \frac{\sin \frac{u+v}{2} \sin \frac{u-v}{2}}{u^p} \right| du = \frac{1}{4} \iint_{\substack{u>1 \\ v \in \mathbb{R}}} \left| \frac{\cos v - \cos u}{u^p} \right| du dv$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \lim_{\substack{A \rightarrow +\infty \\ n \rightarrow +\infty}} \int_1^A du \int_{-n\pi}^{n\pi} \left| \frac{\cos v - \cos u}{u^p} \right| dv = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{\substack{A \rightarrow +\infty \\ n \rightarrow +\infty}} 2n \int_1^A \left| \frac{\cos u}{u^p} \right| du \approx \frac{1}{4} \int_1^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\cos u}{u^p} \right| du \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2n\pi.$$

$$\downarrow \text{since } \left| \frac{\cos v - \cos u}{u^p} \right| \geq 0. \text{ if } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-n\pi}^{n\pi} \left| \frac{\cos v - \cos u}{u^p} \right| dv \text{ div. then } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\cos v - \cos u}{u^p} \right|$$

$$\int_1^{+\infty} |\cos u| \text{ is bounded. } \int_1^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\cos u}{u^p} \right| du \text{ either finite and } \neq 0 \text{ or infinite.}$$

$$\text{thus. } \frac{1}{4} \int_1^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\cos u}{u^p} \right| du \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2n\pi. \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{thus the integral is infinite for any } p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Find condition on p under which the integral is finite

$$\iint_E \frac{dxdydz}{|x+y-z|^p}, \quad E = [-1, 1]^3. \rightarrow \text{divide into 2 equal parts by plane } z=x+y.$$

consider the variable change

$$\begin{cases} x+y-z = u \\ y = v \\ z = w. \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = u-v+w \\ y = v \\ z = w \end{cases}$$

$$I = 2 \int_{\substack{-1 \leq x, y \leq 1 \\ x+y \leq 1}} dx dy \int_{x+y}^1 \frac{dz}{(z-x-y)^p}$$

$$\text{iff } p < 1. \quad \int_{x+y}^1 \frac{dz}{(z-x-y)^p} = \frac{1}{1-p} \frac{1}{(1-x-y)^{p-1}} \quad \text{conv.}$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 dv \int_{-1}^1 dw \int_{-1+v-w}^{1+v-w} \frac{1}{u^p} du. \quad I = \frac{2}{1-p} \int_{\substack{-1 \leq x, y \leq 1 \\ x+y \leq 1}} (1-x-y)^{1-p} dx dy$$

$$\text{if } p=1. \quad \int_{-1}^1 dw \int_{-1}^1 \ln \left| \frac{1+v-w}{-1+v-w} \right| dw \quad \begin{cases} a=v-w \\ b=v+w \\ |J|=\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 db \int_{-1}^1 \ln \left| \frac{1+a}{1-a} \right| da = (\text{a+1}) \ln \frac{a+1}{1-a} \Big|_{-1}^1 - \int_{-1}^1 (1-a) da \quad \{ -1 \leq x, y \leq 1; x+y \leq 1 \}.$$

$$(\text{a+1}) \ln \frac{a+1}{1-a} \Big|_{-1}^1 = \lim_{a \rightarrow 1^-} (\text{a+1}) \cdot \ln \frac{a+1}{1-a} + \lim_{a \rightarrow -1^+} (\text{a+1}) \ln \frac{1-a}{a+1} = +\infty \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-a) da < +\infty. \quad \text{infinite.}$$

$$\text{if } p \neq 1. \quad \int_{-1}^1 dw \int_{-1}^1 du \cdot u^{1-p} \Big|_{-1+v-w}^{1+v-w} = \int_{-1}^1 dw \int_{-1}^1 (1+v-w)^{1-p} - (-1+v-w)^{1-p} du$$

by thm 4.5. we have $p < 1$ finite $p > 1$ infinite.

thus integral is finite iff $p < 1$.