

Problems

1. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection iff $\exists g : B \rightarrow A$, such that $f \circ g = 1_B$ (Hint: Axiom of Choice).
2. The converse relation of $R \subseteq X \times Y$ is the binary relation $R^{-1} \subseteq Y \times X$ defined by $(y, x) \in R^{-1}$ iff $(x, y) \in R$.
Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be a reflexive and transitive relation. Show that $R \cap R^{-1}$ is an equivalence relation.
3. Find the number of equivalence relations on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ that contains $\{(1, 2), (3, 4)\}$.
4. Let T be a relation defined on \mathbb{R} such that: $(x, y) \in T$ iff $x^2 + 2x = y^2 + 2y$. Show that T is an equivalence relation, and find the equivalence classes $[1]$ and $[0]$.
5. Find the equivalence relation (as a set of ordered pairs) on $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, whose equivalence classes are $\{b, c\}, \{d\}, \{a, e, f\}$.
6. Prove that there exists an order-preserving bijection between $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, >)$.
7. Prove that there is NO order-preserving bijection between
 - a.) $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$.
 - b.) $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, <)$.
8. If a longest anti-chain contains a subset of size m then it will contain all subsets of size m .
9. Consider the 3-ary predicates S and P on the set of natural numbers: $S(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x + y = z$, and $P(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = z$
(I) In a first-order language with predicate symbols S, P write formulas with one free variable a , true if and only if:
 - (a) $a = 1$
 - (b) $a = 2$
 - (c) a is an even number
 - (d) a is an odd number
 (Here is an example for $a = 0 : a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall x S(a, x, x)$)

(II) In a first-order language with predicate symbols S, P write formulas with two free variable a, b , true if and only if:

- (a) $a = b$
- (b) $a \leq b$
- (c) a divides b

10. (i) Prove that the following formula is satisfiable :

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg P(y, x)) \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \rightarrow (P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)))$$

(Hint: consider \mathfrak{M} with $D = \mathbb{N}$).

(ii) Prove that this formula is unsatisfiable in a finite model.

11. Show that \approx is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{R} (hyperreal field). Moreover, prove that if $x \approx y$ and $u \approx v$ implies $x \pm u \approx y \pm v$.

12. Show that \mathcal{R} is not a complete linear order, i.e. it has a bounded subset, namely \mathbb{R} , for which sup does not exist.

13. Let $\{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a collection of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} such that for each finite subset $F \subset \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subset $A_F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|A_F \cap S_n| = 1$ for all $n \in F$. Prove that there exists a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $|A \cap S_n| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

14. Suppose that $R \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a relation satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{b \in \mathbb{N} \mid (a, b) \in R\}$ is non-empty and finite.
- (b) For every finite subset $A_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there exists an injective function $f_0 : A_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that $(a, f_0(a)) \in R$ for all $a \in A_0$.

Use the Compactness Theorem to prove that there exists an injective function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that $(a, f(a)) \in R$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

15. Prove that the set of prime numbers is computable.

16. Prove that the following functions are primitive recursive:

- (a) The factorial function $fac(n) = n!$.
- (b) $max(a, b)$ and $min(a, b)$.

1. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection iff $\exists g : B \rightarrow A$, such that $f \circ g = 1_B$ (Hint: Axiom of Choice).

Pf: " \Rightarrow " f is surjection. $\forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. s.t. f(a) = b$

thus we can let $g : B \rightarrow A. g(b) = a.$

s.t. $\forall b \in B. (f \circ g)(b) = f(g(b)) = f(a) = b.$

" \Leftarrow " Assume the converse. if f is not a surjection. $\exists b_0 \in B. s.t. \text{not exist. } a \in A. f(a) = b_0.$
By condition. $f \circ g(b_0) = b_0 \Rightarrow f(g(b_0)) = b_0. \text{ we have } g(b_0) \in A. \text{ Contradictory.}$

2. The converse relation of $R \subseteq X \times Y$ is the binary relation $R^{-1} \subseteq Y \times X$ defined by $(y, x) \in R^{-1}$ iff $(x, y) \in R$.

Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be a reflexive and transitive relation. Show that $R \cap R^{-1}$ is an equivalence relation.

Pf: since $R \cap R^{-1} \subseteq R$. the reflexive and transitive holds trivially.
now show the symmetry.

$\forall (x_1, x_2) \in R \cap R^{-1}.$

since $(x_1, x_2) \in R$. then $(x_2, x_1) \in R^{-1} \subseteq R \cap R^{-1} \quad \square.$

3. Find the number of equivalence relations on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ that contains $\{(1, 2), (3, 4)\}$.

Pf: by symmetry, $(2, 1), (3, 4)$ must contain.

by reflexivity, $(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)$ contains.

2) If contains $(1, 3)$. then $(1, 3), (3, 4) \xrightarrow{t} (1, 4) \xrightarrow{s} (4, 1)$ t-transitivity.
 $(1, 3) \xrightarrow{s} (3, 1) \Rightarrow (3, 1), (1, 2) \xrightarrow{t} (3, 2) \xrightarrow{s} (2, 3)$ s-symmetry.

thus all pair of $(x, x), x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are contain.

i.e. 2 relation sets can contain $\{(1, 2), (3, 4)\}$

4. Let T be a relation defined on \mathbb{R} such that: $(x, y) \in T$ iff $x^2 + 2x = y^2 + 2y$. Show that T is an equivalence relation, and find the equivalence classes $[1]$ and $[0]$.

Pf: reflexivity: $x^2 + 2x \equiv x^2 + 2x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}.$

symmetry: if $x^2 + 2x = y^2 + 2y$ then $y^2 + 2y = x^2 + 2x.$

transitivity: if $x^2 + 2x = y^2 + 2y$ \Rightarrow $x^2 + 2x = z^2 + 2z \Leftrightarrow (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}.$
 $y^2 + 2y = z^2 + 2z$

$$x^2 + 2x = 3 \Rightarrow x = 1 \text{ or } -3 \quad [1] = \{1, -3\}$$

$$x^2 + 2x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } -2. \quad [0] = \{0, -2\}$$

5. Find the equivalence relation (as a set of ordered pairs) on $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, whose equivalence classes are $\{b, c\}, \{d\}, \{a, e, f\}$.

S: For $\{b, c\}$, we have $(b, c), (c, b), (b, b), (c, c)$

For $\{d\}$, (d, d) contain

For $\{a, e, f\}$, reflexive $(a, a), (e, e), (f, f)$

symmetry $(a, e), (e, a), (a, f), (f, a), (e, f), (f, e)$

and transitivity.

In conclusion, equivalence relation have

$\{(b, c), (c, b), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, a), (e, e), (f, f), (a, e), (e, a), (a, f), (f, a), (e, f), (f, e)\}$

6. Prove that there exists an order-preserving bijection between $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, >)$.

Pf: follow the irreflexive, asymmetric, transitive, we can prove that " $<$ " and " $>$ " are strictly partial order.

$\forall (x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}, <)$, i.e. $x < y$.

$\Leftrightarrow y > x \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in (\mathbb{Z}, >)$

For any $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}, <)$, (y, x) is unique in $(\mathbb{Z}, >)$.

i.e. $f: (\mathbb{Z}, <) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, >)$, $f(x, y) = (y, x)$ is injective.

$\forall (y, x) \in (\mathbb{Z}, >), \Leftrightarrow y > x \Leftrightarrow x < y \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}, <)$.

f is surjective.

In conclusion, f is bijection.

7. Prove that there is NO order-preserving bijection between

a.) $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$.

b.) $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ and $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, <)$.

Pf(a) Assume there is a bijection $f: (\mathbb{N}, <) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, <)$

let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(k_0) = 0$.

For any $n < k_0$, $f(n) < 0$, actually we only have $k_0 - 1$ number of n s.t. $f(n) < 0$.

Since for any $n > k_0$, $f(n) > 0$.

we have infinite number of element in $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$ which less than 0.

but our inverse-projection only have $k_0 - 1$ numbers, it's impossible to construct bijection between infinite and finite set.

b) Assume there is a bijection

$f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by definition, $k \in (\mathbb{R}, <)$ also, w.l.g. let $k < 0$.

then $f(k) < f(0) \Rightarrow f(k) < 0 < 0 \Rightarrow f(k) < 0$ which is contradictory with $f(k) = 0$.

thus the bijection is not existent.

8. If a longest anti-chain contains a subset of size m then it will contain all subsets of size m .

Pf: Assume there are n elements in the whole set

By the theorem, the longest antichain have size $\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

we know that $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = m$ holds. (if n is odd, $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ also possible)

the family \mathcal{F}_m , which contains all subsets of size m contains $\binom{n}{m}$ numbers of subsets

since $\binom{n}{m} = \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, \mathcal{F}_m satisfied the condition of antichain.

Sperner's theorem asserts that there is no longer antichain.

Thus, the \mathcal{F}_m which contains all subsets of size m is exactly the longest anti-chain. \square

9. Consider the 3-ary predicates S and P on the set of natural numbers:

$$S(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x + y = z, \text{ and } P(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = z$$

(I) In a first-order language with predicate symbols S, P write formulas with one free variable a , true if and only if:

(a) $a = 1$

(b) $a = 2$

(c) a is an even number

(d) a is an odd number

(Here is an example for $a = 0 : a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall x S(a, x, x)$)

(a) $a=1 \Leftrightarrow \forall x P(a, x, x)$

(b) $a=2 \Leftrightarrow \forall x S(x, x, ax)$

(c) $a \text{ is even} \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, S(x, x, a)$

(d) $a \text{ is odd} \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, S(x, x+1, a)$

(II) In a first-order language with predicate symbols S, P write formulas with two free variable a, b , true if and only if:

(a) $a = b$

(b) $a \leq b$

(c) a divides b

(a) $a = b \Leftrightarrow \exists x \geq 0 S(a, x, b)$

(b) $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow \exists x \geq 0 S(a, x, b)$

(c) $a | b \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z} P(a, x, b)$

10. (i) Prove that the following formula is satisfiable :

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg P(y, x)) \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \rightarrow (P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)))$$

(Hint: consider \mathfrak{N} with $D = \mathbb{N}$).

(ii) Prove that this formula is nonsatisfiable in a finite model.

(i)

$\forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg P(y, x))$ implies the asymmetric.

$\forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \rightarrow (P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)))$ implies the transitivity.

thus we can interpret $P(x, y)$ as $x < y$.

i.e. consider $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathbb{N}, <)$

we can check the formula is true.

(ii) if the field is finite. let it be D_0 .

then we can also interpret $P(x, y)$ as $x < y$, or other strictly partial order.

then extract the comparable elements under P , which is also a finite number we can find a maximal element of this chain.

let it be x . we can't find $y \in D_0$ s.t. $x < y$. thus the formula is false.

11. Show that \approx is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{R} (hyperreal field). Moreover,

prove that if $x \approx y$ and $u \approx v$ implies $x \pm u \approx y \pm v$.

P.f. $x \approx y \Leftrightarrow x - y = \delta_1, u \approx v \Leftrightarrow u - v = \delta_2, |\delta_1|, |\delta_2| < \frac{1}{m}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$(x+u) - (y+v) = (x-y) + (u-v) = \delta_1 + \delta_2$$

since δ_1, δ_2 are infinitesimal, the sum is also infinitesimal.

Indeed, if $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|\delta_1 + \delta_2| \geq \frac{1}{m} \Rightarrow |\delta_1| + |\delta_2| \geq \frac{1}{m} \Rightarrow |\delta_1| \geq \frac{1}{m} - |\delta_2|$

since $|\delta_2| < \frac{1}{m}$ of any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\delta_2| < \frac{1}{2m}$, $|\delta_1| \geq \frac{1}{m} - |\delta_2| > \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2m} = \frac{1}{2m}$, but $2m \in \mathbb{N}$.

thus we have $x+u \approx y+v$.

$$(x-u) - (y-v) = (x-y) - (u-v) = \delta_1 - \delta_2, |\delta_1 - \delta_2| \leq |\delta_1| + |\delta_2|, \text{ which is infinitesimal}$$

thus, $x-u \approx y-v$ \square

12. Show that \mathcal{R} is not a complete linear order, i.e. it has a bounded subset, namely \mathbb{R} , for which sup does not exist.

Pf: denote the real number set by \mathbb{R} .

bounded: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < +\infty, +\infty, -\infty \in \mathcal{R}$ (hyperreal field)

If \mathbb{R} has a supremum, let it be m . we can always find $x \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t. $x > m$
Which causes a contradictory.

15. Prove that the set of prime numbers is computable.

Pf: First construct the characteristic function.

Let P be the prime numbers set.

$$\chi_P(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in P \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin P \end{cases}$$

Now shows it's computable.

16. Prove that the following functions are primitive recursive:

(a) The factorial function $fac(n) = n!$.

(b) $\max(a, b)$ and $\min(a, b)$.

Pf: (a) define $f(0) = 1$.

$f(x) = x f(x-1)$, which is recursive.

(b) define a characteristic function:

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & a \leq b \\ 0, & a > b \end{cases}, \quad p(x) \text{ is recursive.}$$

$$\max(a, b) = p(x)b + (1 - p(x))a.$$

$$\min(a, b) = p(x)a + (1 - p(x))b.$$

Name: Lī Kaiyan

ID: 2022111221