

# Combinatorics

## Lecture 4

Harbin, 2023

Let  $\mu(n)$  be the **Möbius function** defined for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by:

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is not squarefree} \\ (-1)^s & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_s \text{ is the product of } s \text{ distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$

Examples.  $\mu(1) = 1$ ,  $\mu(2) = -1$ ,  $\mu(10) = 1$ ,  $\mu(9) = 0$ ,  $\mu(50) = 0$ .

Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1 \\ 0, & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let  $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$ . Then  $d|n$  can be represented as

$$d = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \cdots p_s^{l_s},$$

where  $0 \leq l_1 \leq k_1, \dots, 0 \leq l_s \leq k_s$ .

Note that  $\mu(d) = 0$ , if at least one  $l_i \geq 2$ . Now in  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$  we are only interested in the terms in the expansion of which each  $l_i$  equals either zero or one. Such terms are exactly  $2^s$ .

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \mu(1) + s(-1) + C_s^2(-1)^2 + C_s^3(-1)^3 + \dots + C_s^s(-1)^s$$

The sum on the right is equal to zero, whence we obtain the required assertion. ■

Definition. An arithmetic function  $f$  is called **multiplicative** if  $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$  where  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime positive integers.

### Proposition 2.

The function  $\mu(n)$  is multiplicative.

Proof. We will prove that  $\mu(mn) = \mu(m)\mu(n)$  whenever  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime numbers. First, we consider  $m$  and  $n$  are square-free numbers. We assume that  $m = p_1 \dots p_k$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are distinct primes, and  $n = q_1 \dots q_s$ , where  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  are distinct primes. From the definition of  $\mu(n)$ , we write that  $\mu(m) = (-1)^k$  and  $\mu(n) = (-1)^s$ , and  $mn = p_1 \dots p_k q_1 \dots q_s$ , again using the definition of  $\mu(n)$ , we write  $\mu(mn) = (-1)^{k+s}$ . Hence

$$\mu(mn) = (-1)^{k+s} = (-1)^k(-1)^s = \mu(m)\mu(n).$$

Now suppose at least one of  $m$  and  $n$  is divisible by a square of a prime, then  $mn$  is also divisible by the square of a prime. So  $\mu(mn) = 0$  and  $\mu(m)$  or  $\mu(n)$  is equal to zero. Now it is clear to see that the product of  $\mu(m)$  and  $\mu(n)$  is equal to zero. So  $\mu(mn) = \mu(m)\mu(n)$  ■

### Theorem 3 (Möbius Inversion Formula).

If  $g$  is any arithmetic function and  $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ , then  $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)\mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$

Proof. If  $d|n$ , we write  $n = ed$ , then the previous sum can be written as

$$\sum_{n=de} f(d)\mu(e)$$

and it is possible to write the last sum as,

$$\sum_{n=de} f(e)\mu(d)$$

Using equality below

$$f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{e|\frac{n}{d}} g(e)$$

we write that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} (\mu(d) \sum_{e|\frac{n}{d}} g(e))$$

Since  $e$  divides  $\frac{n}{d}$ , then  $e$  divides  $n$ . Inversely, each divisor of  $n$  is  $e$  which divides  $\frac{n}{d}$  if and only if  $d$  divides  $\frac{n}{e}$ . So  $d$  divides  $n$ . As have seen, the coefficient of  $g(e)$  is  $\sum_{d|\frac{n}{e}} \mu(d)$  can be written as

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{e}} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{n}{e} = 1 \\ 0, & \frac{n}{e} > 1 \end{cases}$$

That implies  $g(n)$  has only one coefficient  $g(e)$  which is not equal to zero. So  $g(e) = 1$ . Then  $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \mu(d)$  ■

### Proposition 4.

$$\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) d$$

Proof. Note that Lemma 1 can be rewritten as  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \lfloor \frac{1}{n} \rfloor$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\gcd(n, k)} \right\rfloor = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{d|\gcd(n, k)} \mu(d) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{d|n, d|k} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{q=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left( \sum_{q=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} 1 \right) = \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let  $g(n) = 2^n$ , where  $n = 12$ , and  $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ .  
Thus  $f(12) = 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4 + 2^6 + 2^{12} = 4190$ .  
According to the inversion formula

$$\begin{aligned} g(12) = & \mu(1)f\left(\frac{12}{1}\right) + \mu(2)f\left(\frac{12}{2}\right) + \mu(3)f\left(\frac{12}{3}\right) + \mu(4)f\left(\frac{12}{4}\right) + \\ & + \mu(6)f\left(\frac{12}{6}\right) + \mu(12)f\left(\frac{12}{12}\right) = 4096 \end{aligned}$$



# Enumeration of cyclic sequences

Let the set  $X = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$  be an alphabet, and make a directed cycle from its letters. We want to find  $T_r(n)$  – number of all possible cyclic words of length  $n$  composed of arbitrary letters (with repeats) from the alphabet  $X$ .

**Solution:** We call the **period** of a cyclic word  $\text{mind} \geq 1$  such that after  $d$  cyclic shifts by 1 symbol, the word goes into itself.

Lemma A. Any period  $d$  divides  $n$ .

Proof. Let's assume that  $n = dq + r$ , where  $0 < r < d$ . Then we shift our word  $q$  times by  $d$  symbols. It has passed into itself. Now let's shift the word by  $r$  symbols. Since we have shifted the word by  $n$  symbols in total, it has moved into itself, which means that  $r$  is the minimum number after which the word moves into itself – contradiction with the definition of a period. ■

**Observation.** Any cyclic sequence of length  $n$  and period  $d$  has the form  $A = a_1 \dots a_d a_1 \dots a_d a_1 \dots a_d$ , i.e. consists of  $\frac{n}{d}$  repeating blocks of length  $d$  — this follows from the previous lemma and the fact that after  $d$  shifts, the letter  $a_i$  goes into  $a_{d+i}$ .

Let  $V$  be the set of all **linear sequences** (i.e. not cyclic) of length  $n$ . Let's  $d_1, \dots, d_s$  are all divisors of  $n$ . Then  $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_s$ , where  $V_i$  is the set of linear sequences with period  $d_i$ .

Let  $W_i$  be the set of all linear sequences of length  $d_i$  and period  $d_i$ . From the observation above  $|V_i| = |W_i|$ . Let  $U_i$  be the set of cyclic sequences that are obtained from sequences  $W_i$  by a cyclic shift. Then  $d|U_i| = |W_i|$ .

Next consider the function  $m : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given by  $m(d_i) = |U_i|$ . It satisfies the equality  $d_i m(d_i) = |W_i|$  whence

$$r^n = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i m(d_i) = \sum_{d|n} d m(d)$$

Consider the functions  $f(n) = r^n$ ,  $g(n) = n \cdot m(n)$  and apply the Möbius inversion formula to them. Then

$$n \cdot m(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) r^{\frac{n}{d}} \Rightarrow m(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) r^{\frac{n}{d}}$$

By **Observation**, cyclic sequences of length  $n$  and period  $d$  are identified with sequences of length  $d$  and period  $d$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_r(n) &= \sum_{d|n} m(d) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d} \left( \sum_{d'|d} \mu(d') r^{\frac{d}{d'}} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d'|d}} \frac{r^{\frac{d}{d'}} \mu(d')}{\frac{d}{d'} d'} \stackrel{k:=\frac{d}{d'}}{=} \sum_{d'k|n} \frac{r^k \mu(d')}{kd'} = \\ &= \sum_{k|n} \frac{r^k}{k} \sum_{d'|\frac{n}{k}} \frac{\mu(d')}{d'} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 4}}{=} \sum_{k|n} \frac{r^k \phi(\frac{n}{k})}{k \frac{n}{k}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} r^k \phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

# Möbius function of a poset

Let  $P$  be a poset. We define a map  $\mu : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by induction.

$$\mu(x, x) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in P$$

$$\mu(x, y) = - \sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu(x, z), \text{ for all } x < y \text{ in } P$$

## Proposition 5.

Let  $P$  be a finite poset. (In fact this Proposition holds in more generality but we will not need this.) Let  $f, g : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$g(x) = \sum_{y \geq x} f(y) \text{ for all } x \in P \text{ if and only if}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{y \geq x} g(y) \mu(x, y) \text{ for all } x \in P.$$

Proof. See Proposition 3.7.1 of R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol 1, 2nd edition.

### Proposition 6.

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be finite posets, and let  $P \times Q$  be their direct product. If  $(x, y) \leq (x', y')$  in  $P \times Q$ , then

$$\mu_{P \times Q}((x, y), (x', y')) = \mu_P(x, x')\mu_Q(y, y').$$

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{(x,y) \leq (u,v) \leq (x',y')} \mu_P(x, u)\mu_Q(y, v) = \left( \sum_{x \leq u \leq x'} \mu_P(x, u) \right) \left( \sum_{y \leq v \leq y'} \mu_Q(y, v) \right)$$



# Gauss's formula

Remark (for those familiar with finite fields).

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the finite field of  $q$  elements. Then in general, the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree  $n$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is given by Gauss's formula

$$M(q, n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) q^d$$

There is a wide generalization of polynomials of this kind - the so-called necklace polynomials, see

A.Kerber, "Algebraic Combinatorics Via Finite Group Actions" (1991)

Exercise 1. For any positive integer  $n$ , we let  $D_n$  be the poset of all divisors of  $n$ . Show that for this poset  $\mu(1, d) = \mu(d)$  for all  $d$  dividing  $n$ .

Exercise 2. Let  $X$  be a set with  $n$  elements, and let  $P = (\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq)$ . Prove that  $\mu(\emptyset, S) = (-1)^k$ , where  $S \subseteq X$ , and  $|S| = k$ .