

Collaborative Discussion 2 (Research Ethics)

Course: MSc Computer Science

Module: Research Methods and Professional Practice

Assignment: Collaborative Discussion 2 (Research Ethics) - Summary Post

Date: Sunday 29th May 2022

Student ID: 126853

Post:

During the past 3 weeks, myself and peers have discussed the ethical considerations that must be undertaken when working on research projects, focusing on a case study where a researcher's findings can suggest both positive and negative outcomes. Within a research environment, an individual is responsible for complying with both, the Code of Conduct within their professional area (Such as the BCS, ACM or IEEE) (Zavarce, 2022), as well as any legislative boundaries, such as the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations (2008) (Holmes, 2022).

It is my belief that Abi should present the findings in a manner which clearly shows that there are both positive and negative connotations associated with the research. It would then be down to the manufacturer, Whizzz, to choose how they wish to interpret the data in order to promote their product. Although it is inevitable that they would pick the findings that would present their product in the best light.

In the event Abi promotes only the biased results that are in favour of the product manufacturer, then any later discussions about the accuracy of the data could bring the researchers' professional integrity into question. This is because the product manufacturer has commissioned the research, which the researcher has used as a key influencing factor when deciding how to respond.

References:

Holmes, K. (2022) Initial Post. Available from: https://www.my-course.co.uk/mod/hsuforum/discuss.php?d=306320 [Accessed 29th May 2022].

Zavarce, S. (2022) Peer Response. Available from: https://www.my-course.co.uk/mod/hsuforum/discuss.php?d=306320#p1066663 [Accessed 29th May 2022].

Screenshot:



Summary Post

3 secs ago

During the past 3 weeks, myself and peers have discussed the ethical considerations that must be undertaken when working on research projects, focusing on a case study where a researcher's findings can suggest both positive and negative outcomes. Within a research environment, an individual is responsible for complying with both, the Code of Conduct within their professional area (Such as the BCS, ACM or IEEE) (Zavarce, 2022), as well as any legislative boundaries, such as the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations (2008) (Holmes, 2022).

It is my belief that Abi should present the findings in a manner which clearly shows that there are both positive and negative connotations associated with the research. It would then be down to the manufacturer, Whizzz, to choose how they wish to interpret the data in order to promote their product. Although it is inevitable that they would pick the findings that would present their product in the best light.

In the event Abi promotes only the biased results that are in favour of the product manufacturer, then any later discussions about the accuracy of the data could bring the researchers' professional integrity into question. This is because the product manufacturer has commissioned the research, which the researcher has used as a key influencing factor when deciding how to respond.

References:

Holmes, K. (2022) Initial Post. Available from: https://www.my-course.co.uk/mod/hsuforum/discuss.php?d=306320 [Accessed 29th May 2022]

Zavarce, S. (2022) Peer Response. Available from: https://www.my-course.co.uk/mod/hsuforum/discuss.php?d=306320#p1066663 [Accessed 29th May 2022].