




Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 250399
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (25%)	Very Good	There is clear personal and professional knowledge of the topic and the topic itself is focused which is a positive. Looking forward so that future content doesn't risk the trap of feeling more like a narrative of the subject, take time to find ways of underpinning the theoretical aspect of research on the actual topic itself as the topic is 'new' which is a good thing, but deep research does still have to support your content every step of the way of the academic process.
Use of relevant sources (10%)	Satisfactory	There is satisfactory use of relevant resources. What I would say at this stage is that if secondary research is thin on the ground, then further underpin what you have with theoretical comment. This can be done in a variety of ways; so for example you discussed tracking and stalkers, to give this summative more depth on the subject, you could have pulled some theory around the psychology of that situation for example that reflects behaviour and response of the individual and then tie that into why limited supervision on tracking by the technology giants can be an issue. So you are not going off track doing this, you are merely underpinning factual points in the discussion at this stage. Obviously at dissertation stage you will have primary but still also find methods to underpin your secondary because it is that dynamic between secondary and primary research that gives the context a debate. If primary and secondary are not engaged in a balanced manner then the academic discussion itself can end up feeling weighted too far to one side of the dynamic and discussion in a summative such as a dissertation
Criticality (25%)	Satisfactory	Whilst there is clear knowledge of the subject and professional practice is tied into some theory at the moment parts of this connection are a little thin currently. You do give a good overview of the scenario and there is that sense from the manner in which you deliver the content that you have a good intrinsic knowledge but do engage in active critical



		discussion about the pros and cons of the topic. Again, the summative is not a 'narration' of the topic but an opportunity to present a critical discussion about the content. Deeper research will allow you to engage in this.
Structure and Presentation (10%)	Very Good	Overall, very good structure to the presentation. It's clear that time has been taken to put that structure in place and visually and orally the content is cohesive. Remember when you orally cite, all of these citations should also be embedded in the slides also, [written on the slides]. In some instances, your slides would have benefitted from less text. Try to use more bullet pointed information instead of paragraphs.
Presentation and Communication Skills (30%)	Very Good	Your presentation style is very good, and the content is logical and professional. Reflect on all the other comments in this feedback here to get that overall holistic application to your summative, as this approach also gives you scope to further develop your grade in future.
Overall		
<u>Positives:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overall very good outcome • A focused and interesting subject • You clearly have good professional knowledge of this subject 		
<u>Points for development:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You do need to engage in deeper research • This will help you develop criticality in your content • Looking forward to your dissertation module ensure that you have the scope to include secondary and primary research in a balanced manner if you choose to further engage in this topic 		