University of Essex

Online

Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 250373
Details of any extensions etc:	**Submission Attempt:** ==First submission== Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%)	**Very good**	There is clear research applied to your Literature Review with a clear understanding of the topics under discussion. Moving forward for your Literature review for your dissertation module, I would make a more explicit reference regarding who your audience for the research is specifically. It is also good practice that you have highlighted where your research resources are coming from. You will be able to build on this literature review experience when you come to complete your final dissertation in the 'dissertation module'.
Criticality (30%)	**Very good**	Very good connection between theory and practice. And so you identify the relevant topics and issues associated with this from potential research resources and align that data and research with practical application, [for example the manner in which you discuss governments using tech and drawing data down]. You generally have a strong critical tone. Your use of language is concise and logical.
Use of relevant sources (20%	**Very good**	Very good use of relevant resources. There is a cross-section of research from both contemporary as well as older publications. Appropriate research has been garnered from your sources and applied to the context and content of the summative assignment.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	**Excellent**	The assignment is laid out to an excellent standard. Presentation of the document is very well thought through, there are appropriate visuals to support text. Paragraphs are relatively evenly weighted and care and attention has been applied to the details of the layout for example correct font usage and double line spacing. Clear and effective time management has been applied to the overall presentation which is professional and academically well executed. My only comment is that the assignment would have benefitted from a contents page.
Academic integrity (10%)	**Very good**	The assignment is within word count which is good practice. There is some word count that could have still been used, but there is no penalty for being under word count. Just one small comment on the use of the word begun, in some

		instances it is better to use began to make the sentence structure flow better. This is just a minor comment however. Use full words and not contractions and so 'doesn't' should be does not. Your writing style is solid, and there is just the odd weakness here and there in your syntax [sentence structure] but this is a minor detail. Regular citation is applied which is appropriate, all underpinned with an appropriate list of references.
	Overall	

Positives:
- Clear planning has been applied to the assignment
- The presentation of the assignment is excellent
- Overall the summative is completed to a very good standard

Points for development:
- Continue with your research strategy and reflect this more explicitly when you come to complete your Literature Review as part of your 'dissertation' module
- Pin the audience for your Literature Review down more specifically moving forward
- Just watch aspects of your syntax and sentence structure. Possibly just give your assignment one more proof read before final upload