【返回到第5周

X教学单元



下一个

Backpropagation Algorithm

"Backpropagation" is neural-network terminology for minimizing our cost function, just like what we were doing with gradient descent in logistic and linear regression. Our goal is to compute:

$$\min_{\Theta} J(\Theta)$$

That is, we want to minimize our cost function J using an optimal set of parameters in theta. In this section we'll look at the equations we use to compute the partial derivative of $J(\Theta)$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_{i,j}^{(l)}} J(\Theta)$$

In back propagation we're going to compute for every node:

$$\delta_i^{(l)}$$
 = "error" of node j in layer l

Recall that $a_j^{(l)}$ is activation node j in layer l. For the **last layer**, we can compute the vector of delta values with:

$$\delta^{(L)} = y - a^{(L)}$$

Where L is our total number of layers and $a^{(L)}$ is the vector of outputs of the activation units for the last layer. So our "error values" for the last layer are simply the differences of our actual results in the last layer and the correct outputs in y. To get the delta values of the layers before the last layer, we can use an equation that steps us back from right to left:

$$\delta^{(l)} = ((\Theta^{(l)})^T \delta^{(l+1)}) .* g'(z^{(l)})$$

The delta values of layer I are calculated by multiplying the delta values in the next layer with the theta matrix of layer I. We then element-wise multiply that with a function called g', or g-prime, which is the derivative of the activation function g evaluated with the input values given by $z^{(l)}$.

The g-prime derivative terms can also be written out as:

$$g'(z^{(l)}) = a^{(l)} \cdot * (1 - a^{(l)})$$

This can be shown and proved in calculus:

$$g(z)=rac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

$$rac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z} = - \left(rac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
ight)^2 rac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(1+e^{-z}
ight)$$

The derivation and proofs are complicated and involved, but you can still implement the above equations to do back propagation without knowing the details. If you are familiar with calculus you can try to finish the derivation, else do not worry about it. The full back propagation equation for the inner nodes is then:

$$\delta^{(l)} = ((\Theta^{(l)})^T \delta^{(l+1)} \) \ . st \ a^{(l)} \ . st \ (1-a^{(l)})$$

We can compute our partial derivative terms by multiplying our activation values and our error values for each training example t:

$$rac{\partial J(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_{i,j}^{(l)}} = rac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^m a_j^{(t)(l)} \, \delta_i^{(t)(l+1)}$$

This however ignores regularization, which we'll deal with later.

Note: δ^{l+1} and a^{l+1} are vectors with s_{l+1} elements. Similarly, $a^{(l)}$ is a vector with s_l elements. Multiplying them produces a matrix that is s_{l+1} by s_l which is the same dimension as $\Theta^{(l)}$. That is, the process produces a gradient term for every element in $\Theta^{(l)}$.

We can now take all these equations and put them together into a backpropagation algorithm:

Back propagation Algorithm

Given training set $\{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) \cdots (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)})\}$

• Set $\Delta_{i,j}^{(l)} := 0$ for all (I,i,j)

For training example t =1 to m:

• Set $a^{(1)} := x^{(t)}$

- Perform forward propagation to compute $a^{(l)}$ for I=2,3,...,L
- ullet Using $y^{(t)}$, compute $\delta^{(L)}=a^{(L)}-y^{(t)}$
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \text{Compute } \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(L-1)} \;, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(L-2)} \;, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(2)} \; \text{ using} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(l)} = \left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{(l)} \right)^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(l+1)} \; \right) \cdot * \; \boldsymbol{a}^{(l)} \; \cdot * \; (1-\boldsymbol{a}^{(l)}) \\ \end{array}$
- $\Delta_{i,j}^{(l)} := \Delta_{i,j}^{(l)} + a_j^{(l)} \delta_i^{(l+1)}$ or with vectorization, $\Delta^{(l)} := \Delta^{(l)} + \delta^{(l+1)} \left(a^{(l)}
 ight)^T$
- $ullet \ D_{i,j}^{(l)} := rac{1}{m} \left(\Delta_{i,j}^{(l)} + \lambda \Theta_{i,j}^{(l)}
 ight)$, if jeq0.
- $D_{i,j}^{(l)} := rac{1}{m} \, \Delta_{i,j}^{(l)}$ If j=0

The capital-delta matrix is used as an "accumulator" to add up our values as we go along and eventually compute our partial derivative.

The actual proof is quite involved, but, the $D_{i,j}^{(l)}$ terms are the partial derivatives and the results we are looking for:

$$D_{i,j}^{(l)} = rac{\partial J(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_{i,j}^{(l)}} \, .$$







