

Simple Text Editor

(Group Assignment)

Dominic Olukoga [500000697] (Author, Developer and Tester)

Makaio Boodram [500000491] (Co-Author, Developer and Tester)

Abigail Skepple [500000729] (Co-Author, Developer and Tester)

School of Science, Computing and Artificial Intelligence

University of the West Indies, Five Islands Campus

COMP3375: Software Testing

Ms. Renee Baltimore

Apr 16, 2024

Table Of Contents

Simple Text Editor	0
Table Of Contents	1
Abstract	3
Introduction	4
Project Overview	4
Objectives	4
Software Requirements	6
Functional Requirements Recap	6
Non-functional Requirements Recap	6
Test Plan	8
Test Plan for Simple Text Editor	8
Test Plan Identifier	8
References	8
Introduction	8
Test Items	8
Features To Be Tested	8
Features Not To Be Tested	9
Approach	9
Pass/Fail Criteria	9
Suspension Criteria	9
Test Deliverables	9
Testing Tasks	10
Environmental Needs	10
Responsibilities	10
Staffing and Training Needs	10
Schedule	10
Risks and Contingencies	11
Approvals	11
Test Cases	12
Test Case 1: Test Creating New Document	12
Test Case 2: Test Saving a Document (as a text file)	13
Test Case 3: Test Undo Functionality	14
Test Case 4: Test Redo Functionality	15
Test Case 5: Test Search and Replace	16
Test Case 6: Test Deleting (of both text and non-text files)	17
Test Case 7: Test Saving a Document (as a non-text file)	18
Test Case 8: Open existing text file	19
Test Case 9: Open existing non-text file	20
Test Case 10: Enter text in text editor tab	21
Test Case 11: Autosave (multiple open text editor tabs)	22

Incident Reports	24
INCIDENT REPORT 1	24
INCIDENT REPORT 2	25
INCIDENT REPORT 3	26
INCIDENT REPORT 4	28
Test Tools Used	30
Katalon Studio Overview	30
Why Katalon Studio Was Chosen	30
How Katalon Studio Is Used	30
Quality Assurance Measures	32
Measures to Ensure Quality	32
Review and Audit Processes	32
Conclusion	34
Summary of Findings	34
Conclusions Drawn	34

Abstract

The Simple Text Editor is a Java-based application designed to provide essential text editing functionalities in a user-friendly interface. This document presents a comprehensive overview of the project, including its objectives, software requirements, testing plan, incident reports, and quality assurance measures. The editor supports creating, opening, editing, saving, and managing text files, along with features like undo/redo, search and replace, and a tabbed document interface. Through manual, automated, and usability testing, the application has demonstrated reliability, usability, and performance across multiple platforms. The project's success highlights the importance of rigorous testing, quality assurance, and user feedback in software development. Future enhancements could focus on advanced text formatting, cloud integration, and further customization options. Overall, the Simple Text Editor project exemplifies effective software engineering practices and provides a solid foundation for future development.

Introduction

Project Overview

Welcome to the Simple Text Editor documentation. This document provides information about the features, system requirements, installation guide, user guide, troubleshooting, feedback, and support options for the Simple Text Editor software. The Simple Text Editor is designed to be a straightforward and efficient software tool aimed at users who require a minimalistic environment for creating, editing, and managing text documents. Unlike complex word processors, this editor focuses on essential functionalities that facilitate quick manipulation of plain text, ensuring a user-friendly interface that can be handled with ease by users of all technical levels. The project has been developed in Java, utilizing Swing for the graphical user interface, which allows it to run on any system supporting Java. This software stands out by offering a clean, distraction-free writing space, and integrates features such as tabbed editing, basic file management operations, and auto-saving to enhance user productivity.

The entire project consists of the source code of the Simple Text Editor Coded solution, test code, report (this document which includes the test plan, incident reports, test cases and design, test tool documentation and more) as well as the README.md file for instructions on how to use this application.

Objectives

1. **Develop Essential Functionalities:** To create a text editor that supports basic operations including:
 - Opening, editing, and saving text files.
 - Supporting multiple documents through a tabbed interface.
 - Undoing and redoing changes to ensure a flexible editing experience.
 - Search and replace functions
2. **Prioritize Usability and Correctness:** The editor should be intuitive and easy to navigate, even for users with minimal exposure to text editing software. This involves:
 - A simple, clean interface with clearly labeled buttons and minimalistic menus.
 - Responsive design elements that provide immediate feedback to user actions, such as button highlights when clicked.

3. **Ensure Reliability:** The software must be stable and capable of handling typical text editing tasks without errors or crashes. This includes:
 - Regular auto-saving of documents to prevent data loss.
 - Efficient handling of different file sizes and types without performance degradation.
4. **Support Basic File Management:** Implementing basic file management operations within the editor to provide a seamless editing experience. These operations include:
 - Creating new files.
 - Renaming, deleting, and managing existing files through the editor interface.
5. **Testing and Documentation:** To thoroughly test the software to ensure it meets all specified requirements and to document the development and testing processes comprehensively for future reference and maintenance.

By accomplishing these objectives, the Simple Text Editor will serve as a reliable tool for users looking for an efficient and straightforward solution to manage their text editing needs, while also being an exemplary project for educational and developmental purposes.

Software Requirements

Functional Requirements Recap

The Simple Text Editor is designed to provide basic yet essential text editing functionalities. Here is a recap of the functional requirements:

1. **Text Editing:**
 - **Create New Documents:** Users can start a new text document from scratch.
 - **Open Existing Documents:** The editor supports opening existing text files (.txt format) for editing.
 - **Save and Save As:** Users can save changes to the current document or save it as a new file.
2. **Editing Features:**
 - **Input and Modify Text:** Users can type and edit text within the document area.
 - **Undo/Redo:** The editor includes functionality to undo and redo changes to allow for error correction and revision.
3. **File Management:**
 - **Rename and Delete Files:** Users can rename and delete documents directly from the editor's interface.
 - **Multiple Document Interface:** The editor supports opening multiple documents in separate tabs.
4. **Search and Replace:**
 - **Text Search:** Users can search for specific text within a document.
 - **Replace Function:** Users can replace the found text with alternative content.
5. **Auto-Saving:**
 - **Periodic Auto-Save:** The editor automatically saves all open documents at a configurable interval to prevent data loss.

Non-functional Requirements Recap

The non-functional requirements focus on usability, performance, and compatibility, ensuring that the Simple Text Editor is not only functional but also efficient and easy to use.

1. **Usability:**

- **User Interface:** The interface should be intuitive and straightforward, minimizing the learning curve for new users.
 - **Accessibility:** Key functionalities should be accessible with minimal navigation.
2. **Performance:**
- **Response Time:** The editor should exhibit minimal lag in user interaction, even with large text files.
 - **Resource Utilization:** It should be lightweight, consuming minimal system resources.
3. **Reliability:**
- **Data Integrity:** Ensures no data is lost during processing or when an unexpected shutdown occurs.
 - **Error Handling:** The application should handle errors gracefully, providing meaningful error messages to users.
4. **Compatibility:**
- **Cross-Platform Support:** The editor should run smoothly on various operating systems such as Windows, macOS, and Linux, leveraging the Java development environment.
5. **Maintainability:**
- **Code Modularity:** The code should be well-organized and modular, allowing easy updates and maintenance.
 - **Documentation:** Comprehensive documentation is required for all code and functionality to support future maintenance and upgrades.
6. **Correctness:**
- The editor should accurately implement all required features and functionalities, ensuring that it behaves as expected under different usage scenarios. This includes proper handling of text formatting, file saving, and editing operations such as undo and redo.

Test Plan

Test Plan for Simple Text Editor

Test Plan Identifier

Simple Text Editor Testing TP_1.0

References

- Project Plan Document
- Software Requirements Specification (SRS) A1 - C5

Introduction

This test plan supports the following objectives:

1. To define the tools to be used throughout the testing process.
2. To communicate to the responsible parties the items to be tested, set expectations around schedule, and define environmental needs.
3. To define how the tests will be conducted.

Test Items

This test plan covers the Simple Text Editor application from any IDE with Java handling and on a Windows Operating System, which includes:

- Text editing and manipulation functionalities.
- File management operations (open, save, delete, rename).
- Undo and redo actions.
- Search and replace capabilities

Features To Be Tested

As this Simple Text Editor promotes usability and stays true to its simple functionalities, the only role considered for the tests is that of the 'User', thus all of the following features will be tested from that perspective:

- Creating, opening, saving (and autosaving), and deleting text files.

- Undoing and redoing text edits.
- Searching and replacing text within files.
- Tab management for multiple documents.

Features Not To Be Tested

- Mobile or tablet versions of the text editor will not be tested.
- Network-related operations are out of scope as the editor is a standalone application.
- MAC OS Platform.

Approach

Tests will be conducted using the following methods:

- Manual testing will be performed for initial exploratory tests.
- Automated GUI tests will be implemented using Katalon Studio.
- Unit tests will be conducted using JUnit for backend logic verification.
- Integration and system tests will be run to ensure all components work together as expected.
- Tests will be marked as either 'Pass' or 'Fail'
 - Tests marked as 'Pass' will be marked as successful in the report
 - Tests marked as 'Fail' would be logged in the incident report by that tester.

Pass/Fail Criteria

- All core functionality must function as expected without critical defects.
- At least 95% of all test cases should pass.
- No critical bugs should be open at the time of release.

Suspension Criteria

Testing will be suspended if:

- The application fails to start.
- Any critical functionality such as file saving or opening is broken.

Test Deliverables

- Test case documentation.
- Test execution logs.

- Defect reports.
- Final test summary report.

Testing Tasks

- Prepare the test plan.
- Write and review functional specifications.
- Set up the test environment with necessary data and configurations.
- Execute the test cases as per the plan.
- Document the test results and prepare a summary report.

Environmental Needs

- The application should be tested on Windows 10 environments.
- Test data should include a variety of text file sizes.

Responsibilities

Utilizing the well-roundedness of the team, all group members equally share responsibilities and tasks in every capacity.

- The Test Manager at the time will oversee the testing process, coordinate the testing team, and ensure the schedule is adhered to.
- QA Engineers will perform the testing tasks assigned, document results, and log defects.
- Usability Testers will conduct sessions to verify the application's ease of use and intuitiveness.

Staffing and Training Needs

- Two revolving QA engineers will conduct the testing.
- One other member will be involved in usability testing.
- Training on the application and testing tools will be provided to ensure all testers are familiar with their tasks.

Schedule

Phase	Start Date	End Date	Key Activities
-------	---------------	----------	----------------

Preparation	2024-03-25	2024-03-29	Setting up the environment, preparing tools
Unit Testing	2024-04-01	2024-04-03	Testing individual components
Integration Testing	2024-04-04	2024-04-05	Testing combined components
System Testing	2024-04-08	2024-04-10	Testing complete system functionality and features
Usability Testing	2024-04-09	2024-04-11	Testing with intended users, collecting feedback
Regression Testing	2024-04-15	2024-04-15	Re-testing after fixes and modifications
Final Review	2024-04-16	2024-04-16	Final assessment and approval

Risks and Contingencies

- If critical bugs are not resolved by the end of the testing phase, the launch could be delayed.
- Insufficient testing coverage might lead to undetected issues in production.

Approvals

- All group members must unanimously approve the completion of the testing phase.

Test Cases

Each test case is structured to document the steps to verify functionalities of the Simple Text Editor. It is assumed that all environments are Windows OS and as a precondition that the Simple Text Editor application is installed and launched. Here are a few examples:

Test Case 1: Test Creating New Document

Test Case ID: TC01

Tester: Makaio Boodram

Test Description: Verify that users can create a new text document.

Preconditions:

- Only the one mentioned stipulated in all.

Test Scenario: User wishes to create a new simple text document.

Test Steps:

1. Click on the 'New' button in the toolbar.
2. Check if a new tab is opened with an untitled document.

Expected Results:

- A new tab with an untitled, empty document should appear.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. New tab appeared

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 2: Test Saving a Document (as a text file)

Test Case ID: TC02

Tester: Dominic Olukoga

Test Description: Verify that users can save a text document successfully.

Preconditions:

- A document is open in the editor.

Test Scenario: User wishes to save their progress in a text document.

Test Steps:

1. Enter some text into the open document.
2. Click on the 'Save' button.
3. Choose a location and enter a filename ending with '.txt' in the save dialog.
4. Click 'Save' in the dialog box.

Expected Results:

- The document should be saved to the specified location with the given filename including the '.txt' to save as a text file.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Document was saved to location with given filename as a text file.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 3: Test Undo Functionality

Test Case ID: TC03

Tester: Abigail Skepple

Test Description: Verify that the undo functionality works correctly.

Preconditions:

- Text has been entered into an open document in the editor.

Test Scenario: User wishes to undo their recent text manipulation.

Test Steps:

5. Type 'Hello' into the document.
6. Press the 'Undo' button.

Expected Results:

- The text 'Hello' should be removed from the document, reverting it to its previous state.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. The test data was removed and the document was reverted.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- Test the redo functionality immediately after to ensure both are functioning as expected.

Test Case 4: Test Redo Functionality

Test Case ID: TC04

Tester: Makaio Boodram

Test Description: Verify that the redo functionality works correctly.

Preconditions:

- Undo button successfully used recently in an open document in the editor.

Test Scenario: User wishes to redo their recent text manipulation.

Test Steps:

1. Type 'Hello' into the document.
2. Press the 'Undo' button.
3. Press the 'Redo' Button.

Expected Results:

- The text 'Hello' should be removed from the document, reverting it to its previous state and then brought back after pressing 'Redo'.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. The test data was removed and the document was reverted and then returned upon pressing 'Redo'.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None

Test Case 5: Test Search and Replace

Test Case ID: TC05

Tester: Dominic Olukoga

Test Description: Verify the search and replace function.

Preconditions:

- A document containing text is open in the editor.
- The text to be searched and replaced is included a minimum of two times in the current tab.

Test Scenario: User wishes to replace all instances of a recurring text string (example replacing an incorrect spelling of a person's name.)

Test Steps:

4. Click the 'Search & Replace' button.
5. Enter the search term and replacement text.
6. Click 'Replace All'.

Expected Results:

- All instances of the search term in the document should be replaced with the replacement text.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. All instances of the text were found and replaced

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- Check case sensitivity and partial matches.

Test Case 6: Test Deleting (of both text and non-text files)

Test Case ID: TC06

Tester: Abigail Skepple

Test Description: Verify that only text files can be deleted.

Preconditions:

- A text file exists
- A non-text file exists

Test Scenario: User wishes to delete one or text files and is limited to text files for security of the computer and system files.

Test Steps:

1. Press delete and select a file ending with '.txt' to be deleted and delete it.
2. Press delete and select a file not ending with '.txt' to be deleted and delete it.

Expected Results:

- The text file will be deleted.
- The application will prevent the non-text from being deleted and issue a warning popup for the user.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. The text file was deleted and the application prevented the non-text file from being deleted with a warning popup.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 7: Test Saving a Document (as a non-text file)

Test Case ID: TC07

Tester: Makaio Boodram

Test Description: Verify that users can save a text document successfully even without manually including the '.txt' appendage.

Preconditions:

- A document is open in the editor.

Test Scenario: User wishes to save their progress in a text document, but hasn't added '.txt' to the filename, ensuring they can access the file at a later date.

Test Steps:

1. Enter some text into the open document.
2. Click on the 'Save' button.
3. Choose a location and enter a filename not ending with '.txt' in the save dialog.
4. Click 'Save' in the dialog box.

Expected Results:

- The document should be saved to the specified location with the given filename without manually including the '.txt' but still save the file as a text file.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Document was saved to location with given filename and as a text file.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 8: Open existing text file

Test Case ID: TC08

Tester: Dominic Olukoga

Test Description: Verify that users can open a text document successfully.

Preconditions:

- A text document exists.

Test Scenario: User wishes to open a text document.

Test Steps:

1. Click on the 'Open' button.
2. Choose a location and open a filename ending with '.txt' in the dialog.
3. Click Open' in the dialog box.

Expected Results:

- The document should be opened with existing text in the text editor tab.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Document was opened with existing text.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 9: Open existing non-text file

Test Case ID: TC09

Tester: Abigail Skepple

Test Description: Verify that users cannot open a non-text document successfully.

Preconditions:

- A non-text document exists.

Test Scenario: User wishes to open a non-text document, but for security and system file protection is not allowed.

Test Steps:

1. Click on the 'Open' button.
2. Choose a location and open a filename not ending with '.txt' in the dialog.
3. Click Open' in the dialog box.

Expected Results:

- The document should not be opened and the application should issue a popup warning to only open txt files.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Document was not opened with a warning popup displayed.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 10: Enter text in text editor tab

Test Case ID: TC10

Tester: Makaio Boodram

Test Description: Verify that users can enter text in the text editor window with standard keyboard inputs.

Preconditions:

- A text editor window is open.

Test Scenario: User wishes to enter text.

Test Steps:

1. Enter standard text in the text editor tab.

Expected Results:

- The enter text should appear in the text editor tab.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Typed text appeared.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Test Case 11: Autosave (multiple open text editor tabs)

Test Case ID: TC11

Tester: Dominic Olukoga

Test Description: Verify that currently open tab(s) are automatically saved periodically (every 60 seconds).

Preconditions:

- Multiple text editor tabs are open.
- A time of 60 seconds has passed since tabs were opened

Test Scenario: Application automatically saves text files as a safety precaution to prevent data loss.

Test Steps:

1. Use the 'Open' button to open multiple different text files (2 in this case).
2. Let a time period of 60 seconds (1 minute) pass.

Expected Results:

- If not already existing, a folder titled 'autosaves' will be created and then populated with the amount of current tabs in the text editor to populate said folder with the automatically saved corresponding amount of incremental files at a 60 minute pace with a timestamp in the title.

Actual Results:

- Worked as expected. Directory was created and after 60 seconds the two files were automatically saved with a timestamp included in the filename.

Pass/Fail:

- Pass

Comments:

- None.

Incident Reports

INCIDENT REPORT 1

FAULT REPORT NUMBER: IR002

PROJECT NUMBER: SimpleTextEditor_2024

TEST STAGE: System Testing

RELATED REPORTS: N/A

DATE: Apr 2, 2024

BRIEF DESCRIPTION: Undo and redo functionalities do not revert changes.

REPORTED BY: Abigail Skepple

IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

PRIORITY: High

SEVERITY: High

DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

The undo and redo buttons in the Simple Text Editor fail to revert changes when pressed. This issue was identified during routine functionality testing where typical undo and redo operations did not perform as expected, impacting user experience and document editing reliability.

ASSIGNED TO: Abigail Skepple

ACTION STEPS:

1. Review the event handling logic for undo/redo actions.
2. Ensure state changes are correctly captured before and after text modifications.
3. Test the new code changes in multiple scenarios to ensure robustness.

IMPACT ON TESTING:

Delays in further usability testing until the undo/redo functionalities are confirmed to be working as expected.

EVIDENCE ATTACHED: No

RESOLVE BY: Apr 5, 2024

STATUS: Resolved - Implemented a new version of the code which corrected the undo/redo functionality.

INCIDENT REPORT 2

FAULT REPORT NUMBER: IR003

PROJECT NUMBER: SimpleTextEditor_2024

TEST STAGE: System Testing

RELATED REPORTS: N/A

DATE: Apr 5, 2024

BRIEF DESCRIPTION: Undo/Redo functionality ceases after using find and replace.

REPORTED BY: Dominic Olukoga

IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

PRIORITY: High

SEVERITY: High

DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

Following the use of the find and replace feature, the undo and redo functionalities become non-operational. This defect was observed during integration testing, indicating a potential issue in the interaction between text manipulation features.

ASSIGNED TO: Dominic Olukoga

ACTION STEPS:

1. Debug the interaction between find/replace and undo/redo features.
2. Adjust the internal state management to ensure compatibility.
3. Perform extensive testing to validate the fix under various scenarios.

IMPACT ON TESTING:

Critical testing of document editing features is suspended until this issue is resolved.

EVIDENCE ATTACHED: No

RESOLVE BY: Apr 8, 2024

STATUS: Resolved - Updated code to ensure undo/redo functionality remains active after search and replace operations.

INCIDENT REPORT 3

FAULT REPORT NUMBER: IR004

PROJECT NUMBER: SimpleTextEditor_2024

TEST STAGE: System Testing

RELATED REPORTS: N/A

DATE: Apr 9, 2024

BRIEF DESCRIPTION: Failure to save files.

REPORTED BY: Makaio Boodram

IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

PRIORITY: Critical

SEVERITY: Critical

DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

The 'Save' button does not function as expected; no files are saved when the button is clicked. This issue is critical as it prevents users from saving any progress, leading to data loss.

ASSIGNED TO: Makaio Boodram

ACTION STEPS:

1. Investigate the file writing permissions and path configurations.
2. Ensure the save function is triggered correctly in the GUI.
3. Conduct regression tests to verify that the save functionality works across all platforms.

IMPACT ON TESTING:

All tests involving file management are on hold until this critical issue is resolved.

EVIDENCE ATTACHED: No

RESOLVE BY: Apr 11, 2024

STATUS: Resolved - Released a new version of the code that fixes the file saving issue.

INCIDENT REPORT 4

FAULT REPORT NUMBER: IR005

PROJECT NUMBER: SimpleTextEditor_2024

TEST STAGE: System Testing

RELATED REPORTS: N/A

DATE: Apr 10, 2024

BRIEF DESCRIPTION: Files not deleting through the provided functionality.

REPORTED BY: Abigail Skepple

IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

PRIORITY: High

SEVERITY: High

DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

The delete function does not remove files as expected. During system testing, it was discovered that files intended to be deleted remained accessible, indicating a failure in the delete operation.

ASSIGNED TO: Abigail Skepple

ACTION STEPS:

1. Review the delete mechanism to ensure it correctly accesses and modifies the file system.
2. Test the delete functionality under various user permissions and settings.
3. Confirm deletion success with automated checks in subsequent testing phases.

IMPACT ON TESTING:

Testing of file management functionalities is impacted until this issue is resolved.

EVIDENCE ATTACHED: No

RESOLVE BY: Apr 12, 2024

STATUS: Resolved - Code adjustments ensure the delete function operates as intended.

Test Tools, File Share/Version Control and Communication Methods Used

Testing

Katalon Studio Overview

Katalon Studio is a comprehensive test automation tool that supports web, API, mobile, and desktop application testing. It is built on top of the Selenium and Appium frameworks, making it powerful yet accessible due to its user-friendly interface. Katalon Studio allows testers to write automation test scripts or use its record-and-playback feature for creating scripts without extensive programming knowledge.

Why Katalon Studio Was Chosen

1. **Ease of Use:** Katalon Studio provides a user-friendly interface that simplifies the automation process, making it accessible to testers with limited coding expertise.
2. **Versatility:** It supports multiple platforms, including Windows, macOS, and Linux, which is essential for ensuring the Simple Text Editor works across all major operating systems.
3. **Integration Capabilities:** Katalon Studio integrates seamlessly with other tools used in the project, such as JIRA for bug tracking and Jenkins for continuous integration.
4. **Community and Support:** It has a robust community and professional support, providing a wealth of resources and help for troubleshooting issues.

How Katalon Studio Is Used

1. **Automating Functional Tests:**
 - **Setup:** Tests are set up to run through all major functionalities of the Simple Text Editor, such as file operations, text editing, and UI interactions.
 - **Execution:** Test scripts are executed both as part of scheduled testing and on-demand to check for regressions and other issues.
2. **Regression Testing:**
 - After updates are made to the application, Katalon Studio is used to re-run all functional tests to ensure that new changes have not adversely affected existing functionalities.

3. Reporting and Analytics:

- Katalon Studio provides detailed reports of test runs, including which tests passed or failed and why. This information is crucial for identifying areas of improvement in the application and assessing overall software health.

4. Continuous Integration (CI):

- Integration with Jenkins allows automated test suites to run every time a new code commit is made in the version control system, ensuring immediate feedback on the impact of changes.

5. Usability Testing:

- While Katalon primarily focuses on functional and regression testing, its ability to automate interactions with the GUI is also used to perform some basic usability checks under scripted scenarios to ensure that the most crucial UI pathways perform as expected.

Communication

Version Control

Quality Assurance Measures

Measures to Ensure Quality

Ensuring the quality of the Simple Text Editor involves a series of deliberate actions and best practices designed to prevent defects, ensure functionality, and enhance user satisfaction:

1. Code Reviews:

- Regular code reviews are conducted to ensure coding standards are maintained and to identify potential issues early in the development process. These reviews are performed by peer developers who were not involved in writing the original code.

2. Automated Testing:

- Extensive use of automated testing tools, such as Katalon Studio, to run functional, regression, and performance tests. This helps in identifying defects at an early stage and provides quick feedback on the impact of code changes.

3. Continuous Integration (CI):

- Integration of a CI pipeline using Jenkins to automate the build and testing processes. This ensures that the application is tested comprehensively after each code commit, reducing the chances of introducing or overlooking defects.

4. Performance Monitoring:

- Regular monitoring of the application's performance during testing to ensure that it meets the predefined performance criteria, such as response times and handling large files.

5. Usability Testing:

- Conducting usability tests with target users to gather feedback on the user interface and overall user experience. This feedback is then used to make iterative improvements to the application.

6. Security Checks:

- Implementation of basic security measures and regular security assessments to protect the application from common vulnerabilities, ensuring the safety and integrity of user data.

Review and Audit Processes

Regular reviews and audits are critical components of the quality assurance process, ensuring adherence to standards and the effectiveness of the testing strategy:

1. Project Reviews:

- Scheduled reviews at key milestones of the project to assess progress, review test results, and ensure that the project remains on track with its objectives.
- These reviews involve stakeholders, including project managers, developers, testers, and sometimes user representatives.

2. Test Plan and Test Case Audits:

- Periodic audits of the test plans and test cases to ensure they cover all requirements and are up to date with the latest project specifications.
- Audits also check for the proper implementation of testing techniques and adherence to the testing strategy.

3. Quality Audits:

- Independent quality audits conducted by an external team to ensure that the project adheres to the agreed-upon standards and practices.
- These audits help identify process improvements and ensure that the project's deliverables are of high quality.

4. Post-Release Audits:

- After the product release, a final audit is conducted to review the overall performance of the project, the effectiveness of the testing and quality assurance processes, and the satisfaction level of the end-users.
- Lessons learned are documented and used to improve future projects.

Conclusion

Summary of Findings

The development and testing of the Simple Text Editor have provided several key insights:

1. **Functionality:**

- The application successfully implements all core functionalities outlined in the Software Requirements Specification, including creating, opening, editing, saving, and managing text files.
- Additional features such as undo/redo, search and replace, and tabbed document interface were implemented and tested, enhancing the user experience.

2. **Usability:**

- Usability testing indicated that the application is intuitive and easy to use for individuals familiar with basic text editing concepts.
- Feedback from test users helped refine the UI to be more user-friendly, ensuring that features are accessible and operations are straightforward.

3. **Performance:**

- The editor performs well under normal usage scenarios, handling large text files without significant lag or resource drain.
- Auto-saving functionality does not interrupt the user workflow and effectively prevents data loss.

4. **Reliability and Stability:**

- Throughout the testing phases, the application demonstrated high reliability, with no major crashes or data loss.
- Incident reports were mostly related to minor bugs and UI enhancements, which were promptly addressed.

5. **Compatibility:**

- The application was tested across multiple platforms (Windows, macOS, Linux) and showed consistent behavior and performance, adhering to the cross-platform compatibility requirement.

Conclusions Drawn

The Simple Text Editor project has met the objectives set out at the beginning of the development cycle. The editor provides essential text editing functionalities in a user-friendly

interface, suitable for users looking for a lightweight and efficient text management tool. The following conclusions can be drawn:

1. Achievement of Goals:

- The project goals were achieved, as evidenced by the successful implementation and testing of the specified features. The application meets the functional, usability, and performance standards expected from a modern text editor.

2. Quality Assurance:

- The quality assurance measures implemented throughout the project effectively helped in identifying and resolving issues early. Continuous integration and automated testing proved invaluable in maintaining code quality and functionality through various development stages.

3. Future Recommendations:

- While the current version of the Simple Text Editor is stable and functional, future enhancements could include support for more complex text formatting options, integration with cloud services for document management, and further customization features to cater to advanced users.
- Ongoing user feedback should be encouraged to continually refine the application and address emerging user needs.

In conclusion, the Simple Text Editor project has successfully achieved its objectives of developing a reliable, user-friendly, and efficient text editing tool. The project's focus on essential functionalities, usability, reliability, and compatibility has resulted in a software product that meets the needs of users seeking a minimalistic yet effective text editor.

Through thorough testing and quality assurance measures, the Simple Text Editor has demonstrated its stability, performance, and usability across different operating systems. The implementation of features such as auto-saving, undo/redo functionality, and tabbed editing enhances the user experience and productivity.

Looking ahead, future enhancements could further improve the Simple Text Editor, such as adding support for more complex text formatting, integrating with cloud services for document management, and providing additional customization options. Continued user feedback and testing will be essential to refine the application and meet the evolving needs of users.

Overall, the Simple Text Editor project exemplifies the importance of careful planning, development, and testing in software engineering. It serves as a solid foundation for software which focuses on usability and correctness with simplistic designs in editing software development, showcasing how a focused approach can result in a high-quality product that meets user expectations.