

## ***1    Order\_book\_depth.py — Visible vs Hidden Liquidity***

### ***Purpose***

Visualises the order book with both visible and hidden liquidity, showing how market depth is distributed around the best bid and ask.

### ***Learning focus***

- Tight spreads can give a false sense of liquidity if the depth at the best prices is shallow.
- Hidden liquidity (icebergs, dark pools) may provide additional fills, but is not guaranteed.
- Market impact depends not only on spread but on depth across multiple price levels.

### ***Key intuition***

Traders placing large market orders will “walk the book”, executing at progressively worse prices if visible liquidity is low. Even in a narrow-spread market, execution costs can be significant.

### ***Experiment***

Use the `simulate_market_impact()` function to test different order sizes. You will see average execution price rise (for buys) or fall (for sells) as the order consumes depth further away from mid.

## ***2    Liquidity\_dimension\_radar.py — Multi-dimensional liquidity measure***

### ***Purpose***

Compares liquidity profiles of asset classes (Equities, Credit, Crypto) across four dimensions: Tightness, Depth, Immediacy, and Resilience.

### ***Learning focus***

- **Tightness:** Small bid–ask spreads mean lower immediate transaction costs.
- **Depth:** Ability to absorb large orders without large price moves.
- **Immediacy:** Speed of order execution.
- **Resilience:** How quickly prices revert after a shock.

### ***Key intuition***

Liquidity is multi-dimensional — a market may have tight spreads but low depth (crypto) or good depth but poor immediacy (credit). Understanding these dimensions is crucial for trade sizing and execution strategy.

### ***Experiment***

Adjust the scores for each asset class to reflect different market conditions (e.g., stressed credit markets) and compare shapes.

## ***3     Market\_impact\_vs\_trade\_size.py — Market Impact and Liquidity-adjusted VaR***

### ***Purpose***

Models how trade size affects price impact under different liquidity scenarios and incorporates this into **Liquidity-adjusted VaR (L-VaR)**.

### ***Learning focus***

- Price impact increases convexly with trade size — doubling trade size more than doubles the cost.
- Low-liquidity markets amplify impact.
- L-VaR adjusts standard VaR to account for these execution costs, often showing much higher risk for large positions.

### ***Key intuition***

Standard VaR can understate risk for large trades because it ignores the cost of liquidating the position. L-VaR adds this market impact cost, producing a more realistic measure of risk for big positions or illiquid assets.

### ***Experiment***

Use `l_var_adjustment()` for different `market_depth` values. See how thin liquidity dramatically increases the gap between Standard VaR and L-VaR.