Workshop I by Jovydas Urbanavicius & Jean-Piere Salum Peer Review

We as a group think this model pass as a grade 2 criteria, since there are not more than one domain model and therefore can not pass as a grade 3.

First off. We think that this domain model is easy to understand and you did a great job with it, but...

We consider that the conceptual class "**Event**" may be excessive. **Event** could be an attribute in the conceptual class "**Calendar**". ¹

We think that the model looks a bit cluttered with all the lines, maybe you could trim or merge some of the lines?. An example is the association between your **Secretary** and **Boat**. Couldn't these lines be "manage boat->" instead?.²

You have used a lot of numbers in your relations (1-1 / 1-*). This is okay, but might be unnecessary.³

The **secretary's** mission is to handle the **calendar** and manage the **moorings**. Not manage the **member's boat**, this is the **member's** responsibility. 4

The "Club" and "authentication" does not need to be in this domain model since it is only software related. In domain models you should think as a mapmaker.⁵

Peer Review made by:

Filip Rydberg (fr222cy) Kim Nilsson (kn222gp) Mathias Sundin (ms223uh)

https://coursepress.lnu.se/kurs/objektorienterad-analys-och-design-med-uml/workshops-2/workshop-1-d omain-modeling/problem-description/

¹ Domain Modeling Guidelines [10.4] http://www.e-booksdirectory.com/details.php?ebook=7088

² Domain Model: Adding Associations [11.11] http://www.e-booksdirectory.com/details.php?ebook=7088

³ How Detailed Should Associations Be? [11.6] http://www.e-booksdirectory.com/details.php?ebook=7088

⁴ Use Case 4,5,6 in the problem description.

⁵Domain Models [10.1] http://www.e-booksdirectory.com/details.php?ebook=7088