

## CS4407

Please answer all questions

Points for each question are indicated by [xx]

1. **[15] Cookie assignment:** Consider the following problem: You are baby-sitting  $n$  children and have  $m > n$  cookies to divide between them. You must give each child exactly one cookie (of course, you cannot give the same cookie to two different children). Each child has a greed factor  $G_i$ ,  $n \geq i \geq 1$  which is the minimum size of a cookie that the child will be content with; and each cookie has a size  $S_j$ ,  $m \geq j \geq 1$ . Your goal is to maximize the number of content children, i.e., the number of children such that we have child  $i$  being assigned a cookie  $j$  with  $S_j \geq G_i$ .
  - a. **[5]** Define pseudo-code a greedy algorithm to solve the cookie assignment problem.
  - b. **[5]** Define the complexity of your algorithm.
  - c. **[5]** Is the algorithm optimal? Prove this, or give a counter-example to show sub-optimality.
2. **[15] Oxen pairing:** Consider the following problem: We have  $n$  oxen,  $Ox_1, \dots, Ox_n$ , each with a strength rating  $S_i$ . We need to pair the oxen up into teams to pull a plow; if  $Ox_i$  and  $Ox_j$  are in a team, we must have  $S_i + S_j \geq P$ , where  $P$  is the weight of a plow. Each ox can only be in at most one team. Each team has exactly two oxen. We want to maximize the number of teams.
  - a. **[5]** Define pseudo-code for a greedy algorithm to solve the oxen pairing problem.
  - b. **[5]** Define the complexity of your algorithm.
  - c. **[5]** Is the algorithm optimal? Prove this, or give a counter-example to show sub-optimality. **[THIS IS CHALLENGING—TOO HARD FOR AN EXAM]**
3. **[15] Pre-emptive scheduling:** Consider the following scheduling problem. You are given  $n$  jobs. Job  $i$  is specified by an earliest start time  $s_i$ , and a processing time  $p_i$ . We consider a pre-emptive version of the problem where a job's execution can be suspended at any time and then completed later. For example if  $n = 2$  and the input is  $s_1 = 2$ ,  $p_1 = 5$  and  $s_2 = 0$ ,  $p_2 = 3$ , then a legal pre-emptive schedule is one in which job 2 runs from time 0 to 2 and is then suspended. Then job 1 runs from time 2 to 7 and finally, job 2 is completed from time 7 to 8. The goal is to output a schedule that minimizes

$$\sum_{j=1}^n C_j$$

where  $C_j$  is the time when job  $j$  is completed.

In the example schedule given above,  $C_1 = 7$  and  $C_2 = 8$ .

- a. **[5]** Define pseudo-code for a greedy algorithm to solve the optimal pre-emptive scheduling problem.
- b. **[5]** Define the complexity of your algorithm.
- c. **[5]** Is the algorithm optimal? Prove this, or give a counter-example to show sub-optimality. **[THIS IS CHALLENGING—TOO HARD FOR AN EXAM]**

4. [15] Assume that we are given a weighted, directed graph  $G(V,E)$ , and a non-negative weighting function  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We want an algorithm **A** that computes the single-*destination* shortest paths, i.e., the minimum-cost paths **P** from every node to a target node  $v \in V$ , such that for each path  $P_i \in \mathbf{P}$  from node  $v_i$  to  $v$ ,  $v_i \neq v$ ,  $P_i$  is of minimum cost among all paths from  $v_i$  to  $v$ .
- [5] Define pseudo-code for the required algorithm. You may use Dijkstra's algorithm as a sub-routine, or modify it to your needs.
  - [5] Show that your algorithm is correct.
  - [5] Derive the complexity of the required algorithm **A**, justifying how you obtained your answer.