

【OS】 Day15(3)

【Ch9】 Scheduling: Proportional Share Scheduling Homework

Question 1

1. Compute the solutions for simulations with 3 jobs and random seeds of 1, 2, and 3.

```
PS C:\ostep-homework\cpu-sched-lottery> python .\lottery.py -j 3 -s 1
ARG jlist
ARG jobs 3
ARG maxlen 10
ARG maxticket 100
ARG quantum 1
ARG seed 1

Here is the job list, with the run time of each job:
  Job 0 ( length = 1, tickets = 84 )
  Job 1 ( length = 7, tickets = 25 )
  Job 2 ( length = 4, tickets = 44 )

Here is the set of random numbers you will need (at most):
Random 651593
Random 788724
Random 93859
Random 28347
Random 835765
Random 432767
Random 762280
Random 2106
Random 445387
Random 721540
Random 228762
Random 945271
```

- $651593 \% 153 = 119$ (Run job 2)
- $788724 \% 153 = 9$ (Run job 0)
 - Job 0 finishes, the number of tickets in the system is now 69
- $93859 \% 69 = 19$ (Run job 1)
- ...

```

** Solutions **

Random 651593 -> Winning ticket 119 (of 153) -> Run 2
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:1 tix:84 ) ( job:1 timeleft:7 tix:25 ) (* job:2 timeleft:4 tix:44 )
Random 788724 -> Winning ticket 9 (of 153) -> Run 0
Jobs:
(* job:0 timeleft:1 tix:84 ) ( job:1 timeleft:7 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:3 tix:44 )
--> JOB 0 DONE at time 2
Random 93859 -> Winning ticket 19 (of 69) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:7 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:3 tix:44 )
Random 28347 -> Winning ticket 57 (of 69) -> Run 2
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) ( job:1 timeleft:6 tix:25 ) (* job:2 timeleft:3 tix:44 )
Random 835765 -> Winning ticket 37 (of 69) -> Run 2
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) ( job:1 timeleft:6 tix:25 ) (* job:2 timeleft:2 tix:44 )
Random 432767 -> Winning ticket 68 (of 69) -> Run 2
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) ( job:1 timeleft:6 tix:25 ) (* job:2 timeleft:1 tix:44 )
--> JOB 2 DONE at time 6
Random 762280 -> Winning ticket 5 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:6 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
Random 2106 -> Winning ticket 6 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:5 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
Random 445387 -> Winning ticket 12 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:4 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
Random 721540 -> Winning ticket 15 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:3 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
Random 228762 -> Winning ticket 12 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:2 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
Random 945271 -> Winning ticket 21 (of 25) -> Run 1
Jobs:
( job:0 timeleft:0 tix:--- ) (* job:1 timeleft:1 tix:25 ) ( job:2 timeleft:0 tix:--- )
--> JOB 1 DONE at time 12

```

Question 2

- Now run with two specific jobs: each of length 10, but one (job 0) with just 1 ticket and the other (job 1) with 100 (e.g., $-1 \ 10:1, 10:100$). What happens when the number of tickets is so imbalanced? Will job 0 ever run before job 1 completes? How often? In general, what does such a ticket imbalance do to the behavior of lottery scheduling?

If job 1 wants to complete before job 0 runs, it needs to run ten times before job 0 runs, and thus

$$\left(\frac{100}{101}\right)^{10} = 0.91.$$

Question 3

3. When running with two jobs of length 100 and equal ticket allocations of 100 (`-l 100:100,100:100`), how unfair is the scheduler? Run with some different random seeds to determine the (probabilistic) answer; let unfairness be determined by how much earlier one job finishes than the other.

```
python .\lottery.py -l 100:100,100:100 -s 1 -c
```

Job 0 finishes at 196ms, job 1 finishes at 200ms.

```
python .\lottery.py -l 100:100,100:100 -s 2 -c
```

Job 0 finishes at 190ms, job 1 finishes at 200ms.

Question 4

4. How does your answer to the previous question change as the quantum size (`-q`) gets larger?

As the quantum size grows, the unfairness also grows.