

REVIEW

Australian Dental Journal 2011; 56: 2–9
doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2010.01283.x

A review of the clinical implications of bisphosphonates in dentistry

GL Borromeo,* CE Tsao,* IB Darby,* PR Ebeling†

*Melbourne Dental School, The University of Melbourne, Victoria. †Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Victoria.

ABSTRACT

Bisphosphonates are drugs that suppress bone turnover and are commonly prescribed to prevent skeletal related events in malignancy and for benign bone diseases such as osteoporosis. Bisphosphonate associated jaw osteonecrosis (ONJ) is a potentially debilitating, yet poorly understood condition. A literature review was undertaken to review the dental clinical implications of bisphosphonates. The present paper briefly describes the postulated pathophysiology of ONJ and conditions with similar clinical presentations. The implications of bisphosphonates for implantology, periodontology, orthodontics and endodontics are reviewed. Whilst bisphosphonates have potential positive applications in some clinical settings, periodontology particularly, further clinical research is limited by the risk of ONJ. Prevention and management are reviewed, including guidelines for reducing cumulative intravenous bisphosphonate dose, cessation of bisphosphonates prior to invasive dental treatment or after ONJ development, and the use of serum beta-CTX-1 in assessing risk. In the context of substantial uncertainty, the implications of bisphosphonate use in the dental clinical setting are still being determined.

Keywords: Bisphosphonates, bone, cancer, osteonecrosis, prevention.

Abbreviations and acronyms: AAOMS = American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons; AmDA = American Dental Association; ASBMR = American Society for Bone and Mineral Research; CCPG = Canadian Consensus Practice Guidelines; HBO = hyperbaric oxygen therapy; MFA = Myeloma Foundation of Australia; NBP = nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate; ONJ = bisphosphonate associated jaw osteonecrosis; OPG = orthopantomograph; ORN = osteoradionecrosis; PMO = post-menopausal osteoporosis; RANKL = receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand; SRE = skeletal related event.

(Accepted for publication 28 April 2010.)

INTRODUCTION

Bisphosphonates are drugs used to suppress bone turnover, primarily through effects on osteoclasts. The more potent nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (NBPs) are favoured in clinical applications today. They are intravenously administered to prevent skeletal related events (SREs) associated with malignancy and severe forms of osteogenesis imperfecta. Intravenous (IV) pamidronate (Novartis Pharmaceuticals, North Ryde, NSW, Australia) and zoledronic acid (Novartis Pharmaceuticals, North Ryde, NSW, Australia) are used in this clinical setting, often monthly in the initial treatment phase. Less potent NBPs such as alendronate (Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, USA) and risedronate (Sanofi-Aventis, Macquarie Park, NSW, Australia) are also administered orally and used in the management of nonmalignant bone disorders such as osteoporosis and Paget's disease of bone. The value of alendronate and risedronate in the management of post-menopausal osteoporosis (PMO) has been compared to placebo and supplements of calcium and/or Vitamin D, and assessed in meta-analyses of randomized controlled clinical trials. Both reduce vertebral, non-vertebral and hip fractures by 16 to 45%.^{1,2} Complications of bisphosphonate use, including oral and oesophageal ulceration, relate to improper oral drug use. More recently annual IV zoledronic acid has also been shown to be effective in reducing vertebral fractures, non-vertebral fractures and hip fractures by 70%, 25% and 41%, respectively.³ The number of patients needed to treat to prevent one fracture was as low as 13 for prevention of vertebral fractures with zoledronic acid, compared with the very low risk of jaw osteonecrosis of 1:3862 in the same study. Thus, the benefit/risk ratio greatly favours treatment with bisphosphonates in osteoporosis. The reader is referred to a review article for further discussion of the pharmacokinetics of bisphosphonates.⁴

Bisphosphonate use has been linked to jaw osteonecrosis, particularly the use of NBPs in the setting of malignancy. Called bisphosphonate associated jaw osteonecrosis (ONJ), the condition presents as an area of exposed bone in the maxillofacial region. As ONJ is often refractory to treatment, prevention is critical. The condition may progress to secondary maxillary sinusitis, extraoral and intraoral fistula formation, bone sequestration, secondary paraesthesia and pathological fracture, causing significant morbidity. ONJ is exclusively related to the oral cavity, except for rare cases reported in the external auditory canal, hip, tibia and femur, 5-7 where the contributory role of concomitant glucocorticoid use in some of these cases is uncertain. A number of risk factors for ONJ have been ascertained from longitudinal follow-up of cohorts taking bisphosphonates. Risk factors that have been demonstrated to be statistically significant include duration of bisphosphonate exposure, number of infusions, zoledronic acid, dental extraction and advanced age.8-13 Whilst the frequency of ONJ in patients with a history of IV bisphosphonate exposure for malignancy is low, 0.88% to 1.15%, the risk of ONJ after a dental extraction in such patients is 6.7-9.1%, as estimated in an Australian population-based survey. ¹⁴ This presents a great concern to dental practitioners managing such patients, especially in the event that invasive procedures, such as tooth extraction, are indicated. The same study reported a lower frequency of ONJ in patients with an oral bisphosphonate exposure history for osteoporosis, 0.01-0.04%, with a 0.09-0.34% risk of ONJ after a dental extraction. Whilst the frequency of ONJ in the osteoporosis group was low, this must be understood in the context of high oral bisphosphonates prescribing levels for osteoporosis, itself a condition expected to increase in incidence as the population ages. Over 300 000 prescriptions were issued for oral NBPs in 2007. 15 Accordingly, dental practitioners can expect to frequently manage patients with an oral bisphosphonate exposure history, and as such need to be informed as to best-practice management.

The pathophysiology of ONI is poorly understood, and in particular why the condition localizes to the jaws. As discussed in a recent review of bisphosphonates and alveolar bone, 15 it is postulated that bisphosphonates accumulate in human jaws at higher levels than the skeleton generally, as bone turnover in the jaws has been demonstrated to be higher. The consequent oversuppression of bone turnover may compromise jaw healing, both in response to injury (e.g. tooth extraction) and the normal physiological microdamage from occlusion. Several animal models of ONJ have been developed but none to date have reported sustained osteonecrotic jaw lesions, even when dogs were exposed to oral alendronate for three years. 16 However, regions of matrix necrosis in alveolar bone specimens were more significant in the alendronate groups compared with a control, thus supporting the role of oversuppression of bone in ONJ pathophysiology. 16 The closest approximations to ONJ in animal models were osteonecrosis of the ear pinna in mice following subcutaneous NBP administration, ¹⁷ and delayed dental healing post-extraction in rats exposed to zoledronic acid/dexamethasone. ¹⁸ However, the pathophysiology may potentially be multifactorial, also involving other factors such as oversuppression of angiogenesis, altered functioning of oral mucosal cells, microbial flora, an anti-inflammatory effect and a genetic predisposition. The reader is referred to a recent review for an in-depth discussion of the potential pathophysiology. ¹⁹

As ONJ is a relatively new condition, with knowledge regarding pathophysiology and management constantly expanding, the implications of bisphosphonate use in the dental clinical setting are still being determined. The aim of this paper was to inform clinical decision-making by reviewing the clinical implications of bisphosphonates in dentistry as set out in the current literature, in particular, orofacial conditions with similar presentations to ONJ; different clinical settings; and management and prevention of ONJ.

A literature search was conducted using Medline (ISI) in August 2009 from which a qualitative style review was developed.

REVIEW OF BISPHOSPHONATES IN DENTISTRY

Orofacial conditions with similar presentations to ONJ

Osteoradionecrosis

Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is caused by radiotherapy to the orofacial structures creating hypoxic, hypocellular and hypovascular tissue. Both ONI and ORN have necrosis of jaw bones as a common feature, and both are susceptible to secondary infection. The presence of bacteria, and Actinomyces species in particular, are frequently observed in cultures and histology from ONI lesion specimens.²⁰ Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO) has proven beneficial clinically to enhance ORN wound healing and to prevent ORN before surgery in irradiated jaws. HBO produces reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, thereby promoting fibroplasia and angiogenesis by the increase in tissue oxygen gradients and modulating oxygen-sensitive signalling processes critical to osteoclast function.²¹ However, the clinical utility of HBO for ONJ remains to date inconclusive. As recently reviewed,21 whilst some case series observed no substantive benefit from HBO,22 other reports suggest HBO may be useful.^{23,24}

Conditions affecting bone turnover

Jaw osteomyelitis, with or without exposed bone, develops rarely in osteopetrosis and pyknodysostosis, both which are genetic disorders in which osteoclast function is impaired.^{25,26} The critical role of reduced osteoclast function in these conditions lends support to

the theory that oversuppression of bone turnover is central to ONJ pathophysiology. In addition, recent media reports announced that cases of jaw osteonecrosis occurred in a trial of zoledronic acid or denosumab (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA, USA) for bone metastasis. Denosumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody against receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL). Comparable numbers of jaw osteonecrosis cases occurred in both active treatment groups. However, jaw osteonecrosis has not been reported with most other drugs that reduce bone turnover, including hormone replacement therapy, strontium ranelate, calcitonin and selective oestrogen receptor modulators, though these drugs do have a different mechanism of action to bisphosphonates.

ONJ has some similarities with the condition 'phossy jaw', first observed in the 19th century in individuals exposed to white (yellow) phosphorous in match stick production,²⁷ and indeed a biochemical pathway has been described by which white phosphorous is converted to a compound similar to modern NBPs.²⁸ The condition disappeared when this substance was substituted. Phossy jaw had a similar clinical presentation to ONJ, and a dose-response effect is implicated in both conditions.²⁷ In common with ONJ, early experiments in dogs failed to model phossy jaw.²⁷

Bisphosphonates in different clinical settings

Implants

Dental implants and related bone graft surgery are potential precipitants of ONJ. However, in a prospective three-year follow-up of 50 subjects receiving implants - half with a bisphosphonate exposure history and half without - no cases of ONJ were observed.²⁹ Reviews of implants in 115, 101, 61 and 11 subjects with an oral bisphosphonate exposure history, respectively, 30-33 found no cases of ONJ, except for one minor small tissue dehiscence. These studies were underpowered by small sample sizes, and the bisphosphonate delivery was all oral, which has a weaker association with ONJ than the potent IV NBPs. Aside from the issue of ONJ, several studies were unable to definitively establish that implant failure rates were substantially affected by a bisphosphonate use history. 29,30,32,33 A recent South Australian study estimated the risk of implant failure in patients receiving oral bisphosphonates to be 0.88%.¹⁵

Experimentally, the potential of topical bisphosphonate application to enhance osseointegration of dental implants has been investigated in animal models, demonstrated to be of value in some studies, ^{34–36} but not in all. ^{37,38} Topical applications of bisphosphonate on dental implants in dogs, with and without a calciumphosphate layer, promoted implant-bone contact ^{35,36}

and increased the amount of bone peripheral to implants.³⁴ Despite these potential benefits, the toxic effects on the oral mucosa may potentially contribute to the development of ONJ. Hence, further investigation is unlikely given the ethical issues inherent in undertaking such trials in humans. Studies have described ulceration of gastric mucosa and oral mucosa or tongue after taking oral bisphosphonates, largely in the context of incorrect administration.

Periodontics

The extent to which periodontal disease is present in ONJ cases, i.e. a potential co-morbidity, is uncertain. Whilst periodontal disease has been noted as a co-morbidity in 79–84% of cases, ^{12,39} another study found residual alveolar bone levels as measured from orthopantomographs (OPGs) to be comparable between participants with and without ONJ. ⁴⁰ Periodontal disease has also been observed to be a precipitant of ONJ, as high as 41% in one study. ¹¹ The presence of periodontal disease may necessitate invasive periodontal procedures or dental extraction, and hence increase the risk of ONJ.

The potential beneficial effects of bisphosphonates on periodontal disease have been explored. Administration of systemic bisphosphonates reduced alveolar bone loss in the majority of animal models of experimentally induced and naturally occurring periodontitis, but without significantly affecting clinical periodontal parameters. 41 These promising early findings led to controlled clinical trials in humans, of which four have demonstrated the efficacy of alendronate in reducing alveolar bone loss relative to placebo, but in one study the effect was only noted in a subgroup with low mandibular bone density. 42-45 In contrast, another controlled clinical trial did not demonstrate bisphosphonate efficacy in reducing alveolar bone mass relative to placebo. 46 The effects of bisphosphonates on periodontal clinical parameters in controlled clinical trials are somewhat inconclusive. Three trials demonstrated the efficacy of bisphosphonates in significantly improving clinical parameters, 44-46 but two did not. 42,47 Thus, bisphosphonates have paradoxical effects in the oral cavity, having potential beneficial effects on periodontal disease, whilst also increasing the risk of ONJ. However, the ethical issues inherent in undertaking such trials are likely to limit further trials in humans.

Orthodontics

Bisphosphonates are very effective in managing osteogenesis imperfecta in children, but no cases of ONJ have been reported, suggesting extractions are not contraindicated in these children. Whilst not fully understood, young age may possibly be a protective factor. As the patient demographic of orthodontists changes to increasingly include adult patients, more patients with a bisphosphonate exposure history will seek orthodontic care. To date there have been no case reports describing ONI occurring specifically in the region of orthodontic treatment, but as orthodontic tooth movement involves both bone resorption and formation, bisphosphonates may potentially compromise orthodontic treatment. Indeed, inhibition of orthodontic tooth movement, rather than ONI, has been described in four cases with a bisphosphonate exposure history. 51,52 Whilst extraction spaces are preferably closed by bodily movement, root tipping was observed. Caution is advised with invasive diode laser therapy, miniscrew skeletal anchorage devices, mucosal trauma from retainers, orthognathic surgery and tooth extraction. It has also been proposed that patients discontinue their bisphosphonate therapy for a period of time prior to orthodontic treatment, 51 but this would require further investigation before implementation as these drugs have a terminal half-life of approximately 10 years.

In contrast, experiments involving local administration of bisphosphonates in rats have suggested a potential positive role for topical bisphosphonates in orthodontic treatment, inhibiting undesirable movement of anchor teeth and inhibiting post-treatment relapse in a dose dependent manner. ^{53,54} However, extrapolation of these animal models to humans needs to be made with caution in light of the risk of ONJ, and as with other aspects already mentioned, such ethical considerations are likely to limit investigation in humans.

Endodontics

In patients with a bisphosphonate exposure history, and especially that administered intravenously, endodontic treatment is the preferred treatment over extraction to minimize ONJ risk. Nonetheless, due to bisphosphonate suppression of bone turnover, the periapical rarefaction may not decrease in size in a manner comparable to patients with normal bone turnover. Two case reports describe development of ONJ in the region of teeth requiring endodontic treatment. Whilst endodontic treatment itself has not been identified as a precipitant of ONJ, care is advised to minimize the risk. In particular, atraumatic rubber dam placement and avoiding filing beyond the apex are advised, and apicectomy is contraindicated.

Management of bisphosphonate associated jaw osteonecrosis

Diagnosis

The American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR) defines a confirmed case of ONJ as an area of exposed bone in the maxillofacial region that did not

heal within eight weeks after identification by a health-care provider, in a patient who was receiving or had been exposed to a bisphosphonate, and had not had radiation therapy to the craniofacial region. These defining characteristics are consistent with the other major guidelines for ONJ management, namely the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) The most recent AAOMS ONJ staging system has introduced Stage 0, a category for non-specific clinical findings and symptoms (Table 1). This may include oral swelling, infection, and non-healing extraction sockets where exposed bone is not present. Clinicians need to be alert to such early changes as they may progress to frank exposed necrotic bone.

The guidelines have a comprehensive list of differential diagnoses. The ASBMR guidelines specify 10 potential differential diagnoses, including periodontal disease, gingivitis, mucositis, infectious osteomyelitis, sinusitis, periapical pathology due to a carious infection, temporomandibular joint disease, osteoradionecrosis, neuralgia-induced cavitational osteonecrosis and bone tumours. 56 Radiographs are considered essential to exclude differential diagnoses, particularly malignant lesions. A review of radiographic presentations of ONJ reported findings of osteosclerosis, osteolysis, dense woven bone, thickened lamina dura, subperiosteal bone deposition and failure of post-surgical remodelling, with or without bony sequestrum. 59 Whilst OPGs are considered an initial screening modality, computed tomography provides superior imaging. The utility of magnetic resonance imaging and nuclear bone scanning for ONJ diagnosis is yet to be clearly established.

Prevention

There is consensus across the guidelines that a comprehensive oral evaluation is recommended prior to

Table 1. The American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons staging system for bisphosphonate associated jaw osteonecrosis⁵⁷

At risk	No apparent necrotic bone in patients who have been treated with either oral or IV bisphosphonates	
Stage 0	No clinical evidence of necrotic bone, but non-specific clinical findings and symptoms	
Stage 1	Exposed/necrotic bone in asymptomatic patients without evidence of infection	
Stage 2	Exposed/necrotic bone associated with infection as evidenced by pain and erythema in region of exposed bone with or without purulent discharge	
Stage 3	Exposed/necrotic bone in patients with pain, infection, and one or more of the following: exposed and necrotic bone extending beyond the region of alveolar bone resulting in pathological fracture, extraoral fistula, oral antral/oral nasal communication, or osteolysis extending to the inferior border of the mandible or the sinus floor	

initiating IV bisphosphonate therapy. 56-58,60-65 However, the AmDA also promotes oral evaluation as beneficial either before or early on in oral bisphosphonate therapy,58 the AAOMS recommend oral evaluation if oral bisphosphonates have been received in the last three months, 63 and the Canadian Consensus Practice Guidelines (CCPG) recommend oral evaluation prior to oral bisphosphonate therapy when appropriate dental care and good oral hygiene are not present.⁶⁰ The Australian Therapeutic Guidelines favour a firm preventive approach, advising a comprehensive oral evaluation and establishment of oral health prior to commencement of all bisphosphonates, including oral.⁶⁵ Whilst IV NBPs for osteoporosis were not available in Australia when these guidelines were published, the authors advise that this preventive approach be extended to patients commencing annual IV NBPs for osteoporosis, in line with recent advice from the Therapeutics Committee of the Australian Dental Association. 66 If the clinical condition permits, invasive dental procedures and subsequent healing, as evidenced by mucolization (14-21 days) or osseous healing, are best completed prior to commencing IV bisphosphonates. 56,57,60,63,65 Optimal periodontal health is best achieved prior to commencing IV^{57,63} and oral⁶⁵ bisphosphonates, as is ensuring dentures are well fitted. Whilst patients who have received bisphosphonates for osteoporosis can usually be managed in general dental practices, when NBPs have been administered for malignancy, management should be under the care of a dental specialist in conjunction with the oncology team.⁶⁵

Once bisphosphonate therapy has commenced, patients should be reviewed every six months to ensure that oral health is optimum and encourage oral hygiene. All attempts should be made to maintain the dentition, by performing endodontics rather than extractions where necessary. 56-58,60,63 The use of orthodontic elastics to promote atraumatic exfoliation has also been proposed. Elective dentoalveolar procedures (e.g. implants, orthodontics and periapical surgery) are not recommended whilst receiving IV bisphosphonates for malignancy.⁵⁶ Routine dental treatment generally should not be modified in patients with an oral bisphosphonate exposure history, though bone recontouring in periodontal disease should be modest, 56,58 and suppressed bone turnover may compromise healing in periodontal procedures such as flap surgery, bone grafts and guided tissue regeneration. 15 Implant placement in patients with an oral bisphosphonate history needs to be carefully considered, 65 and the patient informed of the ONJ risk and the risk of implant failure. Whilst the extent of the risk will be affected by cumulative dose and time since bisphosphonate cessation, the risk cannot be definitively quantified. The AAOMS have published guidelines for cessation of oral

6

bisphosphonates prior to invasive dental procedures⁵⁷ (Table 2), which can be applied to patients who wish to proceed with implant placement. Similar guidelines need to be developed regarding elective dentoalveolar procedures in patients receiving annual IV NBPs for osteoporosis. Suffice to say, careful consideration is warranted. In addition to meticulous oral hygiene, cessation of smoking and limiting alcohol intake is also recommended.⁶⁰

In some instances, invasive dental procedures may be inevitable after bisphosphonates have commenced. Under these circumstances patients must be warned of the possible risk of ONJ, and written informed consent considered. The guidelines are not consistent for advising cessation of bisphosphonates prior to invasive dental procedures ^{56,57,60,62,64} (Table 2). Nonetheless, IV bisphosphonates are best ceased at least one month prior to invasive dental procedures, and not recommenced until healing is achieved (systemic condition permitting). Only the AAOMS guidelines are specific regarding cessation of oral bisphosphonates prior to invasive dental procedures, ⁵⁷ advising cessation three

Table 2. Guidelines for cessation of oral and intravenous bisphosphonates prior to invasive dental procedures

Guideline	Bisphosphonate exposure history by route of administration		
	Oral	Intravenous	
ASBMR ⁵⁶	No specific guidelines given	No guidelines given	
AAOMS ⁵⁷	Less than 3 year duration: No change to dosing Less than 3 year duration and corticosteroids: Cease: 3 months prior Recommence: Osseous healing has occurred† More than 3 year duration: Cease: 3 months prior Recommence: Osseous healing has occurred†	No guidelines given	
CCPG ⁶⁰	No specific guidelines given	Cease: 3–6 months prior Recommence: Full healing [†]	
Mayo Clinic ⁶²	No guidelines given	Cease: 1 month prior Recommence: Full healing	
MFA ⁶⁴	No guidelines given	Low/intermediate risk of SRE: Cease: 2–3 months prior Recommence: 2–3 months after or full healing	

†If systemic condition permits.

months prior to invasive dental procedures only when bisphosphonate exposure is over three years, or under three years when there is also a concomitant glucocorticoid history. Extractions should always be performed as atraumatically as possible with direct closure of the socket by suturing and antibiotic prophylaxis considered, especially in immunocompromised patients. Two guidelines also recommend prophylactic chlorhexidine mouthwashes. 1s,64,65 It should be noted that root planing is a low risk invasive procedure, and whilst caution is advised, cessation of bisphosphonates may not be warranted.

A recommendation that serum levels of the bone turnover marker carboxyl-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type I collagen (beta-CTX-1) be used to predict ONJ risk prior to undergoing an invasive dental procedure in patients with an oral bisphosphonate history has arisen from two observational studies.39,67 However, ONJ risk could not be definitively demonstrated, and further controlled studies with adequate sample sizes are required to verify such guidelines. An early morning specimen and overnight fasting prior to beta-CTX-1 testing minimizes changes subject to food intake and diurnal variability, but interpretation of beta-CTX-1 levels remains subject to other sources of variability such as season, age, sex hormone levels, intra-individual variability and renal function.68

Given that the risk of ONJ in cancer correlates with duration of administration of IV bisphosphonates, and zoledronic acid in particular, a number of international and Australian guidelines have been established to reduce the cumulative dose of IV bisphosphonates received in multiple myeloma. 61,62,64 In general, monthly IV NBPs are advised for disease with active osseous involvement, but after this initial treatment phase reassessment occurs (12–24 months), and alternatives such as complete NBP cessation, oral bisphosphonates or three-monthly IV NBPs are to be considered.

Treatment

A conservative approach to management of established ONJ is favoured. The ASBMR guidelines advise antimicrobial rinses (e.g. chlorhexidine 0.12%) and systemic antibiotics if there is evidence of infection. Surgical treatment should be conservative or delayed and be limited to: (1) removal of sharp bony edges to prevent trauma to adjacent soft tissues; (2) removal of loose segments of bony sequestra without exposing uninvolved bone; and (3) segmental jaw resection for symptomatic patients with large segments of necrotic bone or pathological fracture.

The CCPG and AAOMS guidelines are similarly conservative in nature, the latter including culturing in

conjunction with systemic antibiotics, particularly for *Actinomyces* species. ^{57,60}

There is no empirical evidence to inform the decision of whether to cease bisphosphonate therapy in the event of ONI development. Accordingly, the ASBMR and AAOMS guidelines recommend that the indication for bisphosphonates therapy be considered and bisphosphonates only ceased if the systemic condition permits.56,57 Hence, management is interdisciplinary and involves ongoing close monitoring. The Myeloma Foundation of Australia (MFA) recommend ceasing bisphosphonate therapy for at least three months on ONI development, except in the setting of difficult to control hypercalcaemia.⁶⁴ Recommencement of bisphosphonates is dependent on risk for SREs, but is best delayed until ONJ resolution. Recommencement of bisphosphonates should with either oral non-NBPs or a reduced frequency of IV NBPs, clinical condition permitting.

CONCLUSIONS

Bisphosphonates have revolutionized osteoporosis treatment and confer considerable anti-fracture benefits that outweigh the small risk of ONJ. Whilst bisphosphonates have potential positive applications, in periodontology in particular, this is balanced against the risk of substantial risk of ONJ, a potentially debilitating condition, predominantly in patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonates for cancer. In the context of substantial uncertainty, the implications of bisphosphonate use in the dental clinical setting are still being determined. Nonetheless, numerous guidelines inform the clinician, both in regards to ONJ prevention, management and dosing schedules in cancer. Invasive dental procedures are certainly to be avoided wherever possible in patients with a history of bisphosphonate use, especially intravenous bisphosphonates for cancer. Cessation of oral and intravenous bisphosphonates is advised, both prior to invasive dental procedures and on development of ONJ, provided the systemic condition permits. Limited surgical debridement together with systemic and local antibiotics is the favoured management of ONJ, however, healing is not assured. More controlled clinical studies are recommended to justify the use of serum beta-CTX-1 in assessing ONJ risk. Dental and medical practitioners cannot be reticent about the risks associated with bisphosphonate use and have a duty of care to be fully informed regarding their combined management of patients on bisphosphonates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor John Clement for his review of the manuscript.

7

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

PRE declares that he has received commercial research funding from Amgen (Title: Double blind multicentre randomized study to assess the effects of strontium ranelate and alendronate on bone histomorphometry in women with post-menopausal osteoporosis) and Novartis (Title: Zoledronic acid for prevention of bone loss after allogenic stem cell transplantation).

REFERENCES

- Wells G, Cranney A, Peterson J, et al. Risedronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008:CD004523.
- Wells GA, Cranney A, Peterson J, et al. Alendronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008:CD001155.
- Black DM, Delmas PD, Eastell R, et al. Once-yearly zoledronic acid for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. N Engl J Med 2007;356:1809–1822.
- Russell RGG. Bisphosphonates: from bench to bedside. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006;1068:367–401.
- Polizzotto MN, Cousins V, Schwarer AP. Bisphosphonateassociated osteonecrosis of the auditory canal. Br J Haematol 2006;132:114.
- Badros A, Weikel D, Salama A, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in multiple myeloma patients: clinical features and risk factors. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:945–952.
- Gupta S, Jain P, Kumar P, Parikh PM. Zoledronic acid induced osteonecrosis of tibia and femur. Indian J Cancer 2009;46:249– 250.
- 8. Bamias A, Kastritis E, Bamia C, *et al.* Osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer after treatment with bisphosphonates: incidence and risk factors. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8580–8587.
- 9. Dimopoulos MA, Kastritis E, Anagnostopoulos A, *et al.* Osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients with multiple myeloma treated with bisphosphonates: evidence of increased risk after treatment with zoledronic acid. Haematologica 2006;91:968–971.
- Estilo CL, Van Poznak CH, Wiliams T, et al. Osteonecrosis of the maxilla and mandible in patients with advanced cancer treated with bisphosphonate therapy. Oncologist 2008;13:911– 920
- Hoff AO, Toth BB, Altundag K, et al. Frequency and risk factors associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer patients treated with intravenous bisphosphonates. J Bone Miner Res 2008;23:826–836.
- 12. Jadu F, Lee L, Pharoah M, Reece D, Wang L. A retrospective study assessing the incidence, risk factors and comorbidities of pamidronate-related necrosis of the jaws in multiple myeloma patients. Ann Oncol 2007;18:2015–2019.
- Tosi P, Zamagni E, Cangini D, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaws in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients treated with zoledronic acid and thalidomide-dexamethasone. Blood 2006;108:3951–3952.
- Mavrokokki T, Cheng A, Stein B, Goss A. Nature and frequency of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws in Australia. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:415–423.
- Cheng A, Daly CG, Logan RM, Stein B, Goss AN. Alveolar bone and the bisphosphonates. Aust Dent J 2009;54(Suppl 1):S51-61.
- Allen MR, Burr DB. Mandible matrix necrosis in beagle dogs after 3 years of daily oral bisphosphonate treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;66:987–994.

- 17. Oizumi T, Yamaguchi K, Funayama H, et al. Necrotic actions of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates and their inhibition by clodronate, a non-nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate in mice: potential for utilization of clodronate as a combination drug with a nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2009;104:384–392.
- Sonis ST, Watkins BA, Lyng GD, Lerman MA, Anderson KC. Bony changes in the jaws of rats treated with zoledronic acid and dexamethasone before dental extractions mimic bisphosphonaterelated osteonecrosis in cancer patients. Oral Oncol 2009;45:164–172.
- 19. Allen MR, Burr DB. The pathogenesis of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: so many hypotheses, so few data. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:61–70.
- Hansen T, Kirkpatrick CJ, Walter C, Kunkel M. Increased numbers of osteoclasts expressing cysteine proteinase cathepsin K in patients with infected osteoradionecrosis and bisphosphonateassociated osteonecrosis-a paradoxical observation? Virchows Arch 2006;449:448-454.
- Freiberger JJ. Utility of hyperbaric oxygen in treatment of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:96–106.
- 22. Nastro E, Musolino C, Allegra A, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients with multiple myeloma and breast cancer. Acta Haematol 2007;117:181–187.
- 23. Bedogni A, Blandamura S, Lokmic Z, *et al.* Bisphosphonate-associated jawbone osteonecrosis: a correlation between imaging techniques and histopathology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;105:358–364.
- Freiberger JJ, Padilla-Burgos R, Chhoeu AH, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen treatment and bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaw: a case series. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:1321– 1327
- Bathi RJ, Masur VN. Pyknodysostosis-a report of two cases with a brief review of the literature. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2000;29:439-442.
- Steiner M, Gould AR, Means WR. Osteomyelitis of the mandible associated with osteopetrosis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983;41:395–405.
- Dearden W. The causation of phosphorous necrosis. Br Med J 1901;17:408–410.
- 28. Marx RE. Uncovering the cause of 'phossy jaw' circa 1858 to 1906: oral and maxillofacial surgery closed case files-case closed. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;66:2356–2363.
- Jeffcoat MK. Safety of oral bisphosphonates: controlled studies on alveolar bone. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2006;21:349– 353.
- Bell BM, Bell RE. Oral bisphosphonates and dental implants: a retrospective study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;66:1022– 1024.
- 31. Fugazzotto PA, Lightfoot WS, Jaffin R, Kumar A. Implant placement with or without simultaneous tooth extraction in patients taking oral bisphosphonates: postoperative healing, early follow-up, and the incidence of complications in two private practices. J Periodontol 2007;78:1664–1669.
- 32. Grant B-T, Amenedo C, Freeman K, Kraut RA. Outcomes of placing dental implants in patients taking oral bisphosphonates: a review of 115 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;66:223–230.
- Kasai T, Pogrel MA, Hossaini M. The prognosis for dental implants placed in patients taking oral bisphosphonates. J Calif Dent Assoc 2009;37:39–42.
- 34. Meraw SJ, Reeve CM. Qualitative analysis of peripheral perimplant bone and influence of alendronate sodium on early bone regeneration. J Periodontol 1999;70:1228–1233.
- 35. Meraw SJ, Reeve CM, Wollan PC. Use of alendronate in perimplant defect regeneration. J Periodontol 1999;70:151–158.

- Yoshinari M, Oda Y, Inoue T, Matsuzaka K, Shimono M. Bone response to calcium phosphate-coated and bisphosphonateimmobilized titanium implants. Biomaterials 2002;23:2879–2885.
- Denissen H, Montanari C, Martinetti R, van Lingen A, van den Hooff A. Alveolar bone response to submerged bisphosphonatecomplexed hydroxyapatite implants. J Periodontol 2000;71:279– 286.
- Langhoff JD, Voelter K, Scharnweber D, et al. Comparison of chemically and pharmaceutically modified titanium and zirconia implant surfaces in dentistry: a study in sheep. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;37:1125–1132.
- Marx RE, Cillo JE Jr, Ulloa JJ. Oral bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis: risk factors, prediction of risk using serum CTX testing, prevention, and treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:2397–2410.
- 40. Carmagnola D, Celestino S, Abati S. Dental and periodontal history of oncologic patients on parenteral bisphosphonates with or without osteonecrosis of the jaws: a pilot study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106:e10–15.
- 41. Badran Z, Kraehenmann MA, Guicheux J, Soueidan A. Bisphosphonates in periodontal treatment: a review. Oral Health Prev Dent 2009;7:3–12.
- 42. El-Shinnawi UM, El-Tantawy SI. The effect of alendronate sodium on alveolar bone loss in periodontitis (clinical trial). J Int Acad Periodontol 2003;5:5–10.
- 43. Jeffcoat MK, Cizza G, Shih WJ, Genco R, Lombardi A. Efficacy of bisphosphonates for the control of alveolar bone loss in periodontitis. J Int Acad Periodontol 2007;9:70–76.
- 44. Rocha M, Nava LE, Vazquez de la Torre C, Sanchez-Marin F, Garay-Sevilla ME, Malacara JM. Clinical and radiological improvement of periodontal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with alendronate: a randomized, placebocontrolled trial. J Periodontol 2001;72:204–209.
- 45. Rocha ML, Malacara JM, Sanchez-Marin FJ, Vazquez de la Torre CJ, Fajardo ME. Effect of alendronate on periodontal disease in postmenopausal women: a randomized placebocontrolled trial. J Periodontol 2004;75:1579–1585.
- 46. Lane N, Armitage GC, Loomer P, *et al.* Bisphosphonate therapy improves the outcome of conventional periodontal treatment: results of a 12-month, randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Periodontol 2005;76:1113–1122.
- 47. Evio S, Tarkkila L, Sorsa T, *et al.* Effects of alendronate and hormone replacement therapy, alone and in combination, on saliva, periodontal conditions and gingival crevicular fluid matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels in women with osteoporosis. Oral Dis 2006;12:187–193.
- 48. Brown JJ, Ramalingam L, Zacharin MR. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: does it occur in children? Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2008;68:863–867.
- Malmgren B, Astrom E, Soderhall S. No osteonecrosis in jaws of young patients with osteogenesis imperfecta treated with bisphosphonates. J Oral Pathol Med 2008;37:196–200.
- 50. Schwartz S, Joseph C, Iera D, Vu D-D. Bisphosphonates, osteonecrosis, osteogenesis imperfecta and dental extractions: a case series. J Can Dent Assoc 2008;74:537–542.
- 51. Rinchuse DJ, Rinchuse DJ, Sosovicka MF, Robison JM, Pendleton R. Orthodontic treatment of patients using bisphosphonates: a report of 2 cases. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007;131: 321–326.
- Goss AN. Bisphosphonates and orthodontics. Aust Orthod J 2008;24:56–57.
- 53. Adachi H, Igarashi K, Mitani H, Shinoda H. Effects of topical administration of a bisphosphonate (risedronate) on orthodontic tooth movements in rats. J Dent Res 1994;73:1478–1486.

- 54. Liu L, Igarashi K, Haruyama N, Saeki S, Shinoda H, Mitani H. Effects of local administration of clodronate on orthodontic tooth movement and root resorption in rats. Eur J Orthod 2004;26:469–473.
- 55. Sarathy AP, Bourgeois SL Jr, Goodell GG. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws and endodontic treatment: two case reports. J Endod 2005;31:759–763.
- 56. Khosla S, Burr D, Cauley J, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. J Bone Miner Res 2007;22:1479–1491.
- 57. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael LA, Landesberg R, Marx RE, Mehrotra B. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws–2009 update. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67: 2–12.
- 58. Edwards BJ, Hellstein JW, Jacobsen PL, Kaltman S, Mariotti A, Migliorati CA. Updated recommendations for managing the care of patients receiving oral bisphosphonate therapy: an advisory statement from the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. J Am Dent Assoc 2008;139:1674–1677.
- Arce K, Assael LA, Weissman JL, Markiewicz MR. Imaging findings in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:75–84.
- 60. Khan AA, Sandor GKB, Dore E, *et al.* Canadian consensus practice guidelines for bisphosphonate associated osteonecrosis of the jaw. J Rheumatol 2008;35:1391–1397.
- 61. Kyle RA, Yee GC, Somerfield MR, *et al.* American Society of Clinical Oncology 2007 clinical practice guideline update on the role of bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2464–2472.
- 62. Lacy MQ, Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, *et al.* Mayo clinic consensus statement for the use of bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma. Mayo Clin Proc 2006;81:1047–1053.
- 63. Migliorati CA, Casiglia J, Epstein J, Jacobsen PL, Siegel MA, Woo S-B. Managing the care of patients with bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis: an American Academy of Oral Medicine position paper. J Am Dent Assoc 2005;136:1658–1668.
- 64. Dickinson M, Prince HM, Kirsa S, *et al.* Osteonecrosis of the jaw complicating bisphosphonate treatment for bone disease in multiple myeloma: an overview with recommendations for prevention and treatment. Intern Med J 2009;39:304–316.
- 65. Therapeutic Guidelines Limited. Therapeutic Guidelines: Oral and Dental. Melbourne: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited, 2007.
- 66. Goss AN. Therapeutics Committee Report. Bisphopshonate update. Australian Dental Association Inc. News Bulletin. October 2009, pp. 12–13.
- 67. Kunchur R, Need A, Hughes T, Goss A. Clinical investigation of C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide test in prevention and management of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:1167–1173.
- 68. Herrmann M, Seibel M. The amino- and carboxyterminal crosslinked telopeptides of collagen type I, NTX-I and CTX-I: a comparative review. Clin Chim Acta 2008;393:57–75.

Address for correspondence:
Dr Gelsomina Borromeo
Melbourne Dental School
The University of Melbourne
720 Swanston Street
Carlton VIC 3010
Email: borromeo@unimelb.edu.au

© 2010 Australian Dental Association