

Why does this attempt at project partnering appear to be failing?

The project is failing due to the lack of synchronization among the taskforces. It should be noted that the WBS and plan were established with the assistance of contractors, but it appears that the plan itself did not include the collaborative viewpoints of all task forces and contractors. Additionally, the members were not provided a clear idea of the hierarchies and who to report concerns to. Furthermore, Karin's leadership approach may be questioned.

She made little attempt to:

- 1) combine the Structure and design during the initiation stage by merging the viewpoints of all taskforces.
- 2) enhance the partnership even when she received clear signs that the cooperation was not up to par.

If you were Karin, what would you do to get this project back on track?

First, I would have identified bottlenecks, or locations where the project is falling behind schedule. To address the urgent demand, I would have assigned extra resources to these sectors, and I would have personally investigated the causes of these blockages. Once the analysis was completed, I would have evaluated the other parts of the project horizontally and implemented remedies. To get the project back on track, it is critical to communicate to the local specialists dealing with the bottlenecks, understand their difficulties, and then assign personnel to eliminate the inefficiencies, value exceeding. To remove all impediments, the leader provides personal assistance and follow up.

What action would you take to keep the project on track?

Frequent contact with group members will be required to 'keep' the project on track. Interaction should not be unplanned and as-and-when. It should adhere to a communication strategy. As a leader, I will take notice of all communication points, recognize, and comprehend any dangers that may arise in the future, and take necessary action to reduce these risks so that they do not arise. The interaction should also be primarily concentrated on the development's 'represents a pivotal' operations and the 'resources' that are bottlenecks or near-bottlenecks.