

Daily_Week11.md 11/2/2022

Week 11

1. What happened with the stratification of data?




In this plot, we can see that there are many n>50 before 2022-01, which looks weird. If this happened due to the limitation of detection condition?



It seems no? But we don't know why then.

2. Non-syn and Syn number change during time

Daily_Week11.md 11/2/2022




It seems that none mutates perfectly gradually? Then do dn/ds test!!!

3. alternative of dn/ds

Since we don't have the gene sequencial data, we cannot make count on number of syn or non-syn sites.

ZLY formula(rdNdS)

Daily_Week11.md 11/2/2022




rdNdS: ratio of dn/ds

	Delta	BA.1	BA.2	BA.2.1	BA.4	BA.5	BA.5.2.1
rdNdS	1.047233	0.941485	0.977622	0.994798	0.958456	0.906679	0.977549

Daily Week11.md 11/2/2022

represents the youth group. It shows that Delta variants were better adapted to elder group, while Omicron are inverted.





Grouping by different age, it's because generally we assume that elder group has weaker immune system than youth, thus we can regard them as two different immune environments.

But it's very surprising to see Omicron is better adapted to the youth.

Q1: In 2., count_S decreased in a period? why not gradually?

Q2: About rdNdS, why prefer youth group now?

plausible reasons:

- 1. in both groups, it is negative selected, dnds < 1, while new variants get stronger adaptation in **youth group**.
- 2. dnds(youth) > 1, dnds(elder) < 1, but why?
- 3. both > 1, least possible, due to the selection in nature