## Math 35 25X — Homework 3

# Kiran Jones kiran.p.jones.27@dartmouth.edu

Due: July 16, 2025

#### Problem 28, Section 1.3

Let A and B be nonempty bounded sets. Prove that

$$\inf(A \cup B) = \min\{\inf A, \inf B\}$$
 and  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup A, \sup B\}.$ 

Is there an analogous result for  $A \cap B$ , assuming that this set is nonempty? Provide either a proof or a counterexample.

*Proof.* As A and B are nonempty bounded sets, we can define  $a = \inf A$  and  $b = \inf B$ .

- If a < b, then for all  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \ge a$  and for all  $y \in B$ , we have  $y \ge b > a$ . Thus, for all  $z \in A \cup B$ , we have  $z \ge a$ . Since a is the infimum of A, the infimum of B is greater than a (i.e. b > a), and the two sets are bounded,  $\inf(A \cup B) = a = \min\{a, b\}$ .
- If b < a, then by similar reasoning, we can show that  $\inf(A \cup B) = b = \min\{a, b\}$ .
- If a = b, then  $\inf(A \cup B) = a = b = \min\{a, b\}$ .

To prove that  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup A, \sup B\}$  let  $c = \sup A$  and  $d = \sup B$ .

- If c < d, then for all  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \le c < d$  and for all  $y \in B$ , we have  $y \le d$ . Thus, for all  $z \in A \cup B$ , we have  $z \le d$ . Since d is the supremum of B, the supremum of A is less than d (i.e. c < d), and the two sets are bounded,  $\sup(A \cup B) = d = \max\{c, d\}$ .
- If d < c, then by similar reasoning, we can show that  $\sup(A \cup B) = c = \max\{c, d\}$ .
- If c = d, then  $\sup(A \cup B) = c = d = \max\{c, d\}$ .

Now, we will consider the intersection  $A \cap B$ . If  $A \cap B$  is nonempty, then we can define  $e = \inf(A \cap B)$  and  $f = \sup(A \cap B)$ .

- Letting  $a = \inf A$  and  $b = \inf B$ , we have  $e \ge a$  and  $e \ge b$ . We can guarentee that  $e \ge \max\{a,b\}$ . In the case of equality a = b = e, which means that A and B share an infimum. If this is the case, then  $\inf(A \cap B) = a = b = e$ . In all other cases, the greatest lower bound of the intersection can only occur once both lowest bounds have been satisfied of both sets, i.e.  $e \ge \max\{a,b\}$ .
- Similarly, letting  $c = \sup A$  and  $d = \sup B$ , we have  $f \leq c$  and  $f \leq d$ . We can guarentee that  $f \leq \min\{c,d\}$ . In the case of equality c = d = f, which means that A and B share a supremum. If this is the case, then  $\sup(A \cap B) = c = d = f$ . In all other cases, the least upper bound of the intersection can only occur once both highest bounds have been satisfied for both sets, i.e.  $f \leq \min\{c,d\}$ .

## Problem 4, Section 1.4

Let S be a nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded above and let  $\beta = \sup S$ . Suppose that  $\beta \notin S$ . Prove that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{x \in S : x > \beta - \epsilon\}$  is infinite.

*Proof.* Assume that the set  $T = \{x \in S : x > \beta - \epsilon\}$  is finite. Then, there exists some  $x_0 \in T$  such that  $x_0 = \max T$ . As  $x_0 \in T$  and  $T \subset S$ , we have  $x_0 \in S$ . As  $\beta$  is the supremum of S and  $\beta \notin S$ , we have  $\beta > x_0$ . Let  $\epsilon = \beta - x_0 > 0$ . Then, we have

$$T = \{x \in S : x > \beta - (\beta - x_0)\} = \{x \in S : x > x_0\}.$$

However, as  $x_0$  is the maximum of T, there are no elements in T that are greater than  $x_0$ . This contradiction implies that the set T cannot be finite, and thus must be infinite. Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{x \in S : x > \beta - \epsilon\}$  is infinite.

## Problem 7, Section 1.4

Prove that the union of two disjoint countably infinite sets is countably infinite by finding a one-to-one correspondence between the union of the sets and the set  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ 

*Proof.* Let A, B, be two disjoint countably infinite sets. As they are countably infinite, there exists some pair of functions,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  such that  $f_1: A \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $f_2: B \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^+$  are both one to one and onto. Let  $f_3: A \cup B \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^+$  where

$$f_3: \begin{cases} 2f_1(n) & \text{if } n \in A \\ 2f_2(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \in B \end{cases}$$

As  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $f_3$  is well defined. As all elements in A are mapped to even numbers and all elements in B are mapped to odd numbers, the union  $A \cup B$  is a countably infinite set.

## Problem 7, Section 2.1

Find an example of a sequence with the given property.

(a) The sequence is monotone but not bounded.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(b) The sequence is bounded but not monotone.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = (-1)^n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(c) The sequence is bounded and strictly increasing.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(d) The sequence is convergent but not monotone.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(e) The sequence is strictly decreasing but not convergent.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = -n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(f) The sequence is neither bounded nor monotone.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = (-n)^n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(g) The sequence is bounded below, not bounded above, and contains an infinite number of negative terms.

$${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 with  $a_n = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \mod 2 = 1\\ n & \text{if } n \mod 2 = 0. \end{cases}$ 

### Problem 12, Section 2.1

Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be two sequences and suppose that the set  $\{n: a_n \neq b_n\}$  is finite. Prove that the sequences either both converge to the same limit or both diverge.

Proof. Let  $S = \{n : a_n \neq b_n\}$ . As S is finite,  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x = \max S$ . Hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} : n > x$ , we have  $a_n = b_n$ . If  $a_n$  converges to some  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $b_n$  also converges to this same L. By the same logic, if  $a_n$  does not converge then  $b_n$  cannot either as  $a_n = b_n$  where n > x. Thus, if  $a_n$  converges, then  $b_n$  converges to the same limit, and if  $a_n$  diverges, then  $b_n$  diverges as well.

### Problem 36, Section 2.1

Let  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  be two convergent sequences with limits a and b, respectively, and suppose that  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all n. Prove that  $a \leq b$ .

*Proof.* Assume that a>b. Let  $\epsilon=\frac{a-b}{2}$ , which is always positive as a-b>0. From the definition of a convergent sequence, we have  $\exists N>0,\, \forall n>N,\, |a_n-a|<\epsilon=\frac{a-b}{2}$ . This can be expressed as  $a-\frac{a-b}{2}< a_n< a+\frac{a-b}{2},$  or partially as  $\frac{a+b}{2}< a_n$ . Similarly, we can write  $|b_n-b|<\epsilon=\frac{a-b}{2},$  leading to  $b-\frac{a-b}{2}< b_n< b+\frac{a-b}{2},$  with the right side reducing to  $b_n<\frac{a+b}{2}$ . Rearanging these inequalities yields

$$\frac{a+b}{2} < a_n \le b_n < \frac{a+b}{2}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, our assumption that a > b must be false, and we conclude that  $a \le b$ .