Text Classification for Hindi Documents using Supervised Machine learning Methods

Kiran Karandikar

Abstract

In recent times, there has been an increase in spam text messages (SMS) that contain regional Indian languages, in particular Hindi. However, there are limited resources that have classification of Hindi language. This is even though Hindi is a widely spoken language not only in India but also around the world; it is the 4th most spoken language across the world. As such, this premise showed me the need for an automatic text-classifier, that facilitates the creation of a document classifier for Hindi Spam texts or SMS. Thus, in the present work, I use supervised learning methods using Naive Bayes Classifier to facilitate text classification for Hindi Text and enable the identification for Hindi Spam text messages. Doing so, I hope to contribute towards improved spam Hindi filtration when the text is in Hindi, and thus reduce the risk posed by spam SMS.

Introduction 23

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

There is a rise in multilingual spam SMS, 25 particularly Indian languages. India has over 121 26 languages, out of which 22 are separate official 27 languages (The Languages of India, n.d.). This 28 poses a challenge in identifying Hindi spam SMS ²⁹ due to the linguistic variance and language barriers. 30 Furthermore, there are millions of documents in 31 India, written in regional languages. To classify 32 these manually would be a time-intensive and 33 expensive process thus presents an opportunity to 34 leverage automatic classification. Automatic 35 classification can provide better management and 36 retrieval of these documents.

38 categorization, I learnt that there are many 39 classifiers available for many Indian languages 40 (e.g., Automatic Text Categorization, n.d.; Bolaj & 41 Govilkar, 2016; Calorx Teachers University et al.,

42 2019). However, limited work is done for the 43 classification of Hindi language, despite it being 44 the 4th most widely spoken language in the world 45 of today. Approximately 310 million people speak 46 Hindi language in India. Given this background, in 47 the present work my goal is to focus on document 48 classifier for Hindi Spam SMS categorization. To 49 do so, I first intend to create text classification for 50 Hindi text. Text classification is a process of 51 dividing a given set of documents into one or more 52 predefined classes and usually is ⁵³ automatically e.g., (Automatic Text Categorization, 54 n.d.). Two main types of machine learning 55 techniques are used for automatic 56 classification - supervised and unsupervised 57 learning methods. Supervised learning methods 58 assign predefined class labels to the testing 59 documents using classification algorithms whereas 60 in unsupervised learning methods grouping of 61 testing documents are done using techniques like 62 clustering. In the present work, the proposed 63 system is a text classification for Hindi Text using 64 supervised learning methods (using naive bayes 65 classifier).

Key Concepts

67 In this section, I define key concepts and the Naïve 68 Bayes classifier I use in the paper to achieve the 69 goal of spam classification for Hindi SMS.

70 **2.1 Naive Bayes Classifier**

71 As stated in the introduction, the proposed system 72 is a text classification for Hindi Text. I use 73 supervised learning methods by leveraging the 74 Naive Bayes Classifier. In statistics, Naive Bayes 75 classifiers are a family of simple "probabilistic After reviewing the literature on spam 76 classifiers" based on Bayes' theorem. It has strong 77 (naïve) independence assumptions between the 78 features e.g., (Calorx Teachers University et al., 79 2019). Naïve Bayes classifiers are highly scalable. 80 They require several parameters linear in the 126 81 number of variables (inputs/features/predictors) in 127 82 a learning problem. Maximum-likelihood training 128 83 can be done by evaluating a closed-form 129 84 expression, which takes linear time, rather than by 85 expensive iterative approximation as used for 86 many other types of classifiers (e.g., logistic 131 87 regression). In the statistics literature, naive Bayes 132 88 models are known under a variety of names, 89 including simple Bayes and independence Bayes.

Stemming and Lemmatization 90 2.2

91 In documents and textual materials, words can take 137 92 on various forms of the word. For example -93 structure, structured, structuring etc. Thus, "the 139 94 goal of stemming and lemmatization is to reduce 140 95 inflectional forms and sometimes derivationally 141 96 related forms of a word to a common base form" The 142 3.2 97 (Stemming and Lemmatization, n.d.). 98 following example from (Stemming Lemmatization, n.d.) illustrates an example:

$$am, are, is \Rightarrow be$$

102 involves removing the derivational 103 Lemmatization on the other hand, uses proper 148 feature selection techniques: 104 vocabulary and morphological analysis of the 105 words to derive the common base form.

106 3 Methodology

In this section, I describe the model flow and 153 108 methodology I use. The system takes input as 154 109 dataset of Hindi language sentences/SMS. The 155 110 dataset then undergoes preprocessing steps which 156 include text preprocessing, stop word removal, 157 tokenization, stemming and adding parts of speech information. Then the features are extracted from 158 114 preprocessed tokens. Finally supervised machine 159 115 learning methods are applied to get output as 160 116 classified Hindi sentence as spam or not spam. The 161 117 supervised machine learning methods includes 118 Naïve Bayes (NB).

Preprocessing 119 3.1

121 sms/sentence/text classification is to enhance the 166 tuning that will methodically build and evaluate a 122 influence between word and label of document. 167 model for each combination of alogarithm 123 Steps of pre-processing for sms/sentence/text 168 parameters specified in a grid. In addition to this, 124 classification are as follows:

Text preprocessing:

- Tokenization: Each row of the Hindi text will be divided into multiple tokens, and any unnecessary punctuation will be removed if required.
- Stop Word Elimination: To remove stop words from the given text, Github public dataset (Stopwords Hindi (HI), 2016/2022)
- **Stemming:** As explained in the key concepts section, stemming is necessary to identify derivationally related forms of a word and reduce that to a common base form. For Hindi language, there is no library/package available to create a stemmed words list from a dataset or corpus. I therefore will be using manually crafted Hindi suffix list in order create a list of stemmed words.

Feature Extraction

134

135

150

151

and $_{143}$ Feature Extraction involves the process of selection 144 in which the most relevant key words are selected 145 based on how frequently they occur in the 101 Stemming is a crude heuristic process that often 146 document and what their contribution(weight) is in affix. 147 the document. In this paper I use two types of

- **TF-IDF** (Term Frequency, inverse document frequency): In this the most relevant key words from the given text will be selected, based on its frequency and contribution (weight) in the text. TF (Term Frequency) measures how frequently a word appears in document) IDF (Inversed document frequency) measures particular term is important for document.
- Count Vectorizer: A count vectorizer converts a collection of text documents to a sparse matrix of token counts. This is necessary to make model fitting possible.

Supervised Learning Methods

163 The key aspect of this step is Hyper-parameter 164 Tuning. For this purpose, we will be using Grid 120 The main objective of pre-processing phase in 165 Search. Grid Search is an approach to parameter 169 we will also be using the cross-fold evaluation 170 (cv=5).

171 3.4 Expected Output

172 The expected output will be a classified 173 text/sentence/sms.

174 4 **DISCUSSION**

application of the process delineated in the 221 TfidfVectorizer from sklearn package to convert 177 methodology section.

178 4.1 **Data Source**

179 For purposes of this project, I use the UCI SMS 180 spam collection dataset (UCI Machine Learning 225 4.6 181 Repository: SMS Spam Collection Data Set, n.d.) 226 The next step was to finetune the above baseline 182 The dataset comprises of a collection of 5754 227 model. Because the language under consideration 183 English messages (SMS). These are further tagged 228 is Hindi, the goal was to find a tokenizer best suited as ham (legitimate) or spam based on the 229 for Hindi Language. Based on my initial research, 185 classification.

187 4.2 **Data Translation**

188 The dataset I use however is entirely in English 234 required in that regard. language. Therefore I had to apply translations to make it application to Hindi text message 235 After doing some more research, I discovered the 193 words into Hindi words.

194 4.3 **Data Pre-processing**

195 4.3.1 Exploratory Data Analysis

196 In the next step, I conducted an exploratory data 241 BERT-Based Multilingual Model for Indian analysis to evaluate the basic statistical information 242 Languages, n.d.) indic bert is a multilingual about the data. The data is highly skewed towards 243 ALBERT model. It covers12 major Indian ham label. Lot of rows contains Unicode characters 244 languages. It is pre-trained on a corpus of around 9 200 which were not par sable.

201 4.3.2 Data cleaning

203 data is highly skewed, the next step was to clean 248 multilingual models while it also achieves a 204 the data. During the data cleaning all punctuation 249 performance on-par or better than these models." 205 marks, trailing white spaces, unrecognizable 206 characters and non -Hindi (numeric or English 250 Thus, in order to tokenize the data, the pre-train 207 words) were removed.

208 4.3.3 Stop word Elimination

209 To remove stop words from the given text, I used 210 the Github public dataset (Stopwords Hindi (HI), 211 2016/2022)

212 4.4 **Data Split**

214

213 After this the data was split into three categories:

1. Training Data: Used to train the model

- Validation Data: Used for performance testing.
- Test Data. 3.

Baseline

217

219 The baseline Pipeline is created using the above In this section, I elaborate on the execution and 220 pre-processed data. This was done by using 222 the text data into vectors. This was then passed to 223 the 'BernoulliNB' naïve baiyes classifier.

224 The roc auc score of this baseline was 0.5

Fine-tuning

230 the two libraries, the 'indic-nlp-library' and 'inltk' 231 seemed relevant. However, after working on the 232 sample data, both libraries failed to produce any 233 meaning full tokens and further investigation is

191 classification. I used the google translate service 236 indic bert library (Ai4bharat/Indic-Bert · Hugging 192 (Google Translate, n.d.) to translate the English 237 Face, n.d.; GitHub - AI4Bharat/Indic-Bert: 238 BERT-Based Multilingual Model for Indian 239 Languages, n.d.; Kakwani et al., 2020).

240 According to (GitHub - AI4Bharat/Indic-Bert: 245 billion tokens and evaluated on a set of multiple 246 and varied tasks. Indic-bert has around 10x fewer 202 Since exploratory data analysis revealed that the 247 parameters than other popular publicly available

> 251 model 'ai4bharat/indic-bert' referenced above was used as a baseline tokenizer.

> 253 The next step was to explore different 254 combinations of hyper parameters for the 255 TfidfVectorizer, BernoulliNB. The GridSearchCV 256 with cross validation was used for this purpose. It 257 was found that the inclusion of tokenization 258 significantly improved the model performance 259 jumping the roc auc score close to ~0.89.

260 The next step then was to perform Stemming and 304 the model is excessively adapted to the training 261 Lemmatization. In order to do that for the Hindi 305 data. 262 language, I referenced the work of (Anand et al., 306 263 2019; Pande et al., 2014; Stemming and 307 the future is by further investigating how word 264 Lemmatization, n.d.) to manually define the 308 tokenization, stemming and lemmatization process 265 common suffixes and removing them from given 309 can be refined. This is because the linguistics of 266 word:

```
267 1: [u"]",u"]",u"]",u"]",u"]",u"]",u"]"],
268 2: [u"कर", u"ाओ", u"िए", u"ाई", u"ाए", u"ने", u"नी
269 ", u"ना", u"ते", u"ीं ", u"ती", u"ता", u"ाँ", u"ां ", u"
270 ੀਂਂ", u"ੇਂਂ"],
271 3: [u"ाकर", u"ाइए", u"ाईं", u"ाया", u"ेगी", u"ेगा",
272 u"ोगी", u"ोगे", u"ाने", u"ाना", u"ाते", u"ाती", u"ाता
273 ".u"di".u"iओं".u"iए".u"ओं".u"ए".u"oi".u"
274 4: [u"ाएगी",u"ाएगा",u"ाओगी",u"ाओगे",u"एंगी",u"
275 ेंगी", u"एंगे", u"ेंगे", u"ंगी", u"ंगा", u"ातीं", u"ना
276 ओं", u"नाएं", u"ताओं", u"ताएं", u"ियाँ", u"ियों", u"ियां"।
277
    5: [น"ाएंगी",น"ाएंगे",น"ाऊंगी",น"ाऊंगा",น"ाइयाँ",
279 u"ाइयों", u"ाइयां"],
```

281 The words were stripped of the above suffixes and 282 resultant words were then passed to tokenizer 283 defined in previous step.

²⁸⁴ I also attempted applying CountVectorizer instead 285 of TfidfVectorizer and in that case, the model 286 performance was still around 0.98.

287 In the next step of the experiment I used the nltk 288 libaray for the 'hindi.pos' corpus to investigate the 334 289 impact of adding parts of speech to the existing 335 290 sentence. Adding POS speech further improved the 336 291 roc auc score to 0.98. Since we have a small-data 292 size and it is highly skewed, the model was borderline overfitting.

294 This thus concludes the model hyperparameter 295 tuning and feature enrichment. In the next section 296 we offer commentary on the results.

RESULTS

²⁹⁸ We can obtain the accuracy of 0.97 (or even 0.98) ³⁴⁸ 299 on test data. However, this accuracy is because the 349 model is overfitting due to small and highly skewed 350 301 data set. Overfitting means that the model 352 302 performed extremely well in test settings, but in 353 GitHub-AI4Bharat/indic-bert: BERT-based 303 real word settings, it will perform poorly, because 354

Some ways in which this can be improved in 310 Hindi language primarily act as a limiting factor

On the model side, the performance could be 313 improved by trying different vectorization 314 processes and machine learning models.

CONCLUSION

Here, I have presented the project report for the 317 document classifier and spam-SMS Classifier for 318 Hindi Language using Naïve Bayes Model. 319 Because this was done within the limited scope of 320 a class project, it can benefit from refinement and 321 further improvement to enhance the accuracy and 322 roc auc score to be eligible for real world 323 application.

324 Acknowledgments

325 I thank the professors and TAs of the class that 326 helped me learn the concepts I use in the paper.

327 References

Ai4bharat/indic-bert · Hugging Face. (n.d.).Retrieved December 12, 2022, from https://huggingface.co/ai4bharat/indic-bert 330 331 Anand, A., Chatterji, S., & Bhattacharya, S. (2019). Semi-supervised Approach for Hindi Stemming. 8th International Conference on Natural Language Processing (NLP 2019), 35–42. https://doi.org/10.5121/csit.2019.91204 337 Automatic text categorization: Marathi documents.

(n.d.). Retrieved October 17, 2022, from https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/750343 8/

341 Bolaj, P., & Govilkar, S. (2016). Text Classification for Marathi Documents using Supervised Learning Methods. International Journal of Computer Applications, 155(8), 6–10.

345 Calorx Teachers University, Tamhankar, I., & Chaturvedi, A. (2019). Classification of Spam Categorization on Hindi Documents using Bayesian Classifier. International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology, 66(1), 8–13. https://doi.org/10.14445/22312803/IJCTT-V66P102

Multilingual Model for Indian Languages. (n.d.). Retrieved December 12, 2022, from

340

342

343

346

https://github.com/AI4Bharat/indic-356 bert#introduction Google Translate. (n.d.). Retrieved December 12, 359 2022, from https://translate.google.com/?sl=en&tl=hi&o 360 p=docs. 361 Kakwani, D., Kunchukuttan, A., Golla, S., N.C., G., 362 Bhattacharyya, A., Khapra, M. M., & 363 Kumar, P. (2020). IndicNLPSuite: 364 Monolingual Corpora, Evaluation 365 Benchmarks and Pre-trained Multilingual 366 Language Models for Indian Languages. Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020, 369 4948-4961. 370 https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.findings-371 emnlp.445 372 373 Pande, B. P., Tamta, P., & Dhami, H. S. (2014). A Devanagari script based stemmer. 374 International Journal of Computational 375 Linguistics Research, 5(4), 119–130. 376 Stemming and lemmatization. (n.d.). Retrieved December 12, 2022, from 378 https://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-379 book/html/htmledition/stemming-and-380 lemmatization-1.html 381 382 Stopwords Hindi (HI). (2022). Stopwords ISO. https://github.com/stopwords-iso/stopwords-383 hi/blob/fae0a092c0fb8058f9c2470005467ee 384 697b4763b/stopwords-hi.json (Original work 385 published 2016) 386 The Languages of India: What Languages are Spoken 388 in India? (n.d.). Berlitz. Retrieved October 389 17, 2022, from https://www.berlitz.com/blog/indian-390 languages-spoken-list 391 UCI Machine Learning Repository: SMS Spam 392 Collection Data Set. (n.d.). Retrieved 393 December 12, 2022, from https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/SMS+ 395 Spam+Collection 397 398