CDM v2

Here we describe a modified version of the Coastal Dune Model. The model describes the temporal evolution of the sand surface elevation h(x,y,t)-defined relative to the mean high water level (MHWL)-and the cover fraction $\rho_{\text{veg}}(x,y,t)$ for a single generic grass species. x is the cross-shore distance to the shoreline (x=0), which separates the foreshore (x<0) from the backshore (x>0), and y is the alongshore coordinate.

1 Fluid dynamics

The model uses a linear solution of the Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes equations for the turbulent boundary layer over smooth terrain to calculate the perturbation $\delta \tau$ of the undisturbed surface wind shear stress τ_0 induced by the topography h. The surface shear stress τ is $\tau(h) = \tau_0 + \tau_0 \delta \tau(h)$ (see Ref. 1 for details). For lee slopes steeper than the separation angle $\sim 20^{\circ}$, non-linear hydrodynamic effects are simply modeled by a separation streamline below which wind and flux are set to zero. Each streamline is defined by a third-order polynomial connecting the brink with the ground at the reattachment point [2].

2 Shear stress partition

In the presence of vegetation, plants act as roughness elements that absorb part of the momentum transferred to the sand surface by the wind, effectively reducing the surface shear stress and thus the sand transport rate. For randomly distributed plants, and assuming the effective shelter area for one plant is proportional to its basal area, the fraction τ_s of the surface shear stress acting on the sand decreases with the local vegetation cover fraction ρ_{veg} as

$$\tau_s = \tau / (1 + \Gamma \rho_{\text{veg}}) \tag{1}$$

where Γ is a dimensionless 'roughness factor' that describes the effectiveness of the vegetation in slowing down the flow and thus in trapping sand. In the model, $\Gamma=16$ is calculated from values of plant form drag and geometry reported for crossote communities (see Ref. 1 and references therein; it is reasonable to expect a similar value for coastal grasses and desert bushes due to a roughly similar plant geometry).

3 Effect of wetting on the transport threshold

We further consider that at the shore, transport is naturally limited by the elevation h relative to the watertable, as the transport threshold τ_t is much higher for wet grains than for dry ones. This relation is captured by the simple phenomenological expression

$$\tau_t(h) = \tau_t^d + (\tau_t^w - \tau_t^d) \exp\left(-(h + H_{\text{water}})/\delta_w\right)$$
 (2)

where τ^d_t and $\tau^w_t = 10\tau^d_t$ are the thresholds for dry and wet sand respectively, $H_{\rm water}$ is the watertable depth relative to the MHWL and $\delta_w = 0.05$ m characterizes the decrease in water content of the sand as a function of elevation. At the watertable ($h = -H_{\rm water}$, relative to the MHWL) $\tau_t = \tau^w_t$ by definition, whereas far above it $\tau_t \to \tau^d_t$.

4 Aeolian sand transport

The sand flux is determined from the shear stress at the sand surface τ_s (Eq.1), the surface gradient ∇h and the transport threshold τ_t (Eq.2). It is well known that the sand flux \mathbf{q}_a over an erodible surface increases with the distance downwind as the saltation process spatially adjusts to the wind forcing. This effect is modeled as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_a = (q_a + \delta_a)(1 - q_a/q_{\text{sat}})/l_{\text{sat}}$$
(3)

which describes the spatial relaxation of the sand flux toward an equilibrium 'saturated' value $q_{\rm sat}$ over a 'saturation' length $l_{\rm sat}$. The saturated flux and saturation length are defined as: $q_{\rm sat} = Q(\tau_s - \tau_t)/\tau_t^d$ and $l_{\rm sat} = L\tau_t^d/(\tau_s - \tau_t)$, where $Q(\nabla h)$ and $L(\nabla h)$ are slope-dependent dimensional functions [2]. The small term $\delta_a \sim 10^{-2}q_{\rm sat}$ quantifies the direct sand entrainment in the absence of transport when the bed shear

stress has just crossed the transport threshold. For simplicity in the formulation q_a is defined as a volume, not mass, flux.

5 Surface dynamics (+ shoreline change & sea level rise)

5.1 Foreshore (x < 0)

We assume the foreshore to be always at equilibrium $(\partial h/\partial t = 0)$ with a constant shape defined by the initial condition. This assumption implies aeolian erosion is balanced by accretion in the swash zone. As a result, the simulated foreshore acts as a sand reservoir supplying an unlimited amount of sediment to the backshore, effectively feeding dune formation and post-storm recovery.

5.2 Shoreline (x = 0)

The rate of shoreline change includes two terms (positive rates means shoreline erosion). The first one is due to sea level rise (modeled by a Bruun's rule type of migration) $S/\tan(\alpha) > 0$, where S is the rate of SLR and $\tan(\alpha)$ is the (imposed) slope of the foreshore. The second term includes all other processes (e.g. alongshore transport gradients) that change shoreline position with an imposed rate: $\dot{x}_{\rm shore}$ (> 0 for erosion, < 0 for progradation).

5.3 Backshore (x > 0)

We calculate the change of the sand surface elevation h at the backshore from mass conservation as

$$\partial_t h = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_a - S + (\dot{x}_{\text{shore}} + \tan(\alpha)^{-1} S) \partial_x h. \tag{4}$$

The last two terms the right hand side describes the effect of shoreline change, while the term -S represents the sinking of the surface due to SLR.

5.4 Avalanches

For slopes steeper than the angle of repose 34°, an additional gravity flow models the surface relaxation due to avalanches [2].

6 Vegetation dynamics

Vegetation is characterized by the cover fraction ρ_{veg} at time t and position (x, y), where x is the distance to the shoreline and y the alongshore coordinate. Vegetation growth rate can be written as a balance between 'vertical' vegetation growth rate G_v , lateral vegetation propagation rate G_l and mortality rate D:

$$\partial_t \rho_{\text{veg}} = G_v + G_l - D \tag{5}$$

where the different rates are function of the cover fraction ρ_{veg} , local erosion/deposition rate $\partial_t h$, slope $|\nabla h|$ and cover fraction gradient $\nabla \rho_{\text{veg}}$.

6.1 Vertical vegetation growth

We call 'vertical' growth any increase in cover fraction due to local biomass production. We assume this growth rate can be modeled in first approximation by a logistic equation, i.e., an initial exponential growth followed by a saturation at maximum cover $\rho_{\text{veg}} = 1$,

$$G_v(x,z) = G_0 \rho_{\text{veg}} (1 - \rho_{\text{veg}}) \Theta(x - L_{\text{veg}}) \Theta(z - Z_c)$$
(6)

where we assume no growth if the vegetation is too close to the shoreline or to the water table / MSL, both limits are characterized by L_{veg} and Z_c respectively. The Heaviside function $\Theta(x)$ equals 1 for x > 0, and 0 otherwise.

New dune-building ecosystem: Following a typical response of dune-building plants to sand accretion, we assume the characteristic growth rate G_0 (units of inverse time) increases linearly with the local deposi-

tion rate $\partial_t h$ (for $\partial_t h > 0$),

$$G_0 = H_v^{-1} \,\partial_t h \,\Theta(\partial_t h) \tag{7}$$

The length H_v can be interpreted as the ratio of vegetation volume to the cover area (i.e. an effective vegetation height): smaller values imply the biomass production, stimulated by a given deposition rate, translates more efficiently into a higher cover area. In the model we set $H_v = 0.25$ m.

Mature ecosystem: We assume the growth rate of mature ecosystem is defined by the characteristic growth time T_v and independent of the local deposition rate,

$$G_0 = T_v^{-1} (8)$$

6.2 Mortality rate

We call 'mortality' any decrease in cover fraction induced by sand erosion or deposition (burial) $\partial_t h$. We consider the mortality rate, just like the growth rate, scales with the cover fraction ρ_{veg} as sand erosion would expose just a fraction of the root system proportional to the cover area.

New dune–building ecosystem: We assume overall mortality is dominated by erosion as most dune–building plants are burial tolerant. Thus the mortality rate is driven by local erosion,

$$D = H_r^{-1} \rho_{\text{veg}} \, \partial_t h \, \Theta(-\partial_t h) \tag{9}$$

Mature ecosystem: In mature ecosystem, where average erosion or deposition is very low, vegetation can also be burial intolerant,

$$D = H_r^{-1} \rho_{\text{veg}} \, \partial_t h \tag{10}$$

In both cases the length H_r can be interpreted as the ratio of the volume of the root system to its cover area, and characterizes plant sensitivity to erosion: higher values of H_r mean a more resilient vegetation as erosion exposes a lower fraction of the root system. In the model we set $H_r = 1$ m.

6.3 Lateral vegetation growth (only for new dune-building ecosystem)

For simplicity we assume only dune–building vegetation in new ecosystems (during the colonization of bare spaces) experience significant lateral growth. We call lateral vegetation growth the increase in cover at one place due to biomass production at neighboring places, mainly by underground rhizome growth. Hence, we assume the lateral growth rate to be proportional to the absolute value of the spatial variability (gradient) of the cover fraction $|\nabla \rho_{\rm veg}|$

$$G_l = C|\nabla \rho_{\text{veg}}| \tag{11}$$

where the absolute value denotes isotropic growth and C is the rhizome growth rate. Assuming rhizome growth is stimulated by sand accretion and limited by the local slope $|\nabla h|$, we get in a first approximation:

$$C = \beta \,\partial_t h \,\Theta(\partial_t h) \,\Theta(\tan \theta_c - |\nabla h|) \tag{12}$$

Therefore, vegetation propagates laterally in areas no steeper than θ_c and with a rate dictated by the proportionality constant β . In the model we set $\beta = 100$ and $\theta_c = 15^{\circ}$.

7 Initial condition

7.1 Sand surface

The sand surface elevation h is initially defined as an inclined plane $h(x,y) = \tan(\alpha) x$ at the foreshore (x < 0), and as a flat surface h(x,y) = 0 at the backshore (x > 0), where α is the beach slope. In the model we set $\alpha = 1^{\circ}$.

7.2 Vegetation

Because of the initial exponential growth, in the absence of lateral propagation, plants cannot grow in unvegetated areas. Therefore, the growth rate equation needs an initial condition, defining a minimum value for the cover fraction to start plant colonization. We assume seeds or rhizome fragments are pervasive and thus the cover fraction is set to a small value $\rho_{\text{veg}}^{\text{min}}$ in all unvegetated areas instead of $\rho_{\text{veg}}=0$. We set $\rho_{\text{veg}}^{\text{min}}=10^{-5}$.

8 Boundary conditions

We assume no aeolian sand influx $(q_a = 0)$ at the most seaward limit of the foreshore, where the surface elevation equals the mean low water level (MLWL) and thus the sand is effectively wet at all times and not available for aeolian transport.

9 Integration

We integrate the model within a two-dimensional domain large enough to include the resulting morphology. The grid spacing and time step are typically $\sim 1/4\mathrm{m}$ and $\sim 1/2$ hour, respectively, and are selected to resolve the smallest length and temporal scales involved in the problem, the saturation length l_{sat} and time $l_{\mathrm{sat}}^2/q_{\mathrm{sat}}$. For simplicity all boundary conditions are uniform in the alongshore direction y.