

Module Guide for Image Feature Correspondences for Camera Calibration

Kiran Singh

April 16, 2025

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
2025-03-21	1.0	Initial Release
2025-04-15	2.0	Final Release

2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
AC	Anticipated Change
BRIEF	Binary Robust Independent Elementary Features
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
FAST	Features from Accelerated Segment Test
IFCS	Image Feature Correspondence Software
M	Module
MG	Module Guide
ORB	Oriented Fast and Rotated Brief
OS	Operating System
R	Requirement
SC	Scientific Computing
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
Image Feature Correspondences for Camera Calibration	Program to identify and retrieve regions of interest within the scene of camera imagery
UC	Unlikely Change

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Reference Material	ii
2.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3	Introduction	1
4	Anticipated and Unlikely Changes	2
4.1	Anticipated Changes	2
4.2	Unlikely Changes	2
5	Module Hierarchy	3
6	Connection Between Requirements and Design	4
7	Module Decomposition	4
7.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)	4
7.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module	5
7.2.1	Control Module (M2)	5
7.2.2	Input Format Module (M3)	5
7.2.3	Specification Parameters Module (M4)	5
7.2.4	Output Format Module (M5)	6
7.2.5	Output Verification Module (M6)	6
7.2.6	Image Smoothing Module (M7)	6
7.2.7	Keypoint Detection Module (M8)	6
7.2.8	Feature Descriptor Module (M9)	7
7.2.9	Feature Matching Module (M10)	7
7.2.10	Image Plot Module (M11)	7
7.3	Software Decision Module	7
7.3.1	OpenCV Library (M12)	7
8	Traceability Matrix	8
9	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	9
10	Timeline	10

List of Tables

1	Module Hierarchy	4
2	Trace Between Requirements and Modules	8
3	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules	9

4	Development Timeline of the Module Guide/Interface Specification	10
---	--	----

List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules	10
---	---------------------------------------	----

3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

AC1: The specific hardware on which the software is running.

AC2: The format of the initial input data.

AC3: The constraints on the input parameters.

AC4: The format of the output data.

AC5: The constraints on the output results.

AC6: The algorithm used to reduce noise within the imagery.

AC7: The algorithm used to identify keypoints in an image.

AC8: The algorithm used to define features within an image.

AC9: The algorithm used to compare features between images.

AC10: The implementation of the data structure used to hold identified feature matches.

AC11: The relationships between what methods of feature identification, description, and comparison are compatible with each other.

AC12: The user wants to review a spatial representation of the outputs from a given processing operation.

4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

UC1: Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

UC2: The goal of the system is to identify and compare features identified within different images.

UC3: The methods of feature detection can be executed using parameters defined in the input parameters module.

UC4: The methods used to compare features can be executed using parameters defined in the input parameters module.

UC5: The user interface is changed from a .config file and command line arguments to a novel user GUI.

5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented. Modules are numbered by **M** followed by a number.

M1: Hardware Hiding Module

M2: Control Module

M3: Input Format Module

M4: Specification Parameters Module

M5: Output Format Module

M6: Output Verification Module

M7: Image Smoothing Module

M8: Keypoint Detection Module

M9: Feature Descriptor Module

M10: Feature Matching Module

M11: Image Plot Module

M12: OpenCV Library

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	
	M2 Control Module
	M3 Input Format Module
	M4 Specification Parameters Module
	M5 Output Format Module
Behaviour-Hiding Module	M6 Output Verification Module
	M7 Image Smoothing Module
	M8 Keypoint Detection Module
	M9 Feature Descriptor Module
	M10 Feature Matching Module
	M11 Image Plot Module
Software Decision Module	M12 OpenCV Library

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 2.

7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. *IFCS* means the module will be implemented by the Image Feature Correspondences for Camera Calibration software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.

7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)

Secrets: The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

Services: Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

Implemented By: OS

7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

Secrets: The contents of the required behaviours.

Services: Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

Implemented By: –

7.2.1 Control Module (M2)

Secrets: The procedure to run the program.

Services: Oversees execution of the overall program.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.2 Input Format Module (M3)

Secrets: The format and structure of the input data.

Services: Converts the input data into the data structure used by the input parameters module.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.3 Specification Parameters Module (M4)

Secrets: The default values and software limits for constraints on input variables.

Services: Read access for the input checks against the parameters in M3.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Record

7.2.4 Output Format Module (M5)

Secrets: The format of the output data and the structure in which it is contained.

Services: Outputs the results of the matched features, including the source image and feature identifiers.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.5 Output Verification Module (M6)

Secrets: Contains the algorithms used to check uniqueness of feature matches and their identifiers.

Services: Reviews the set of matches image features and flags any that are repeated or share the same point of origin.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.6 Image Smoothing Module (M7)

Secrets: The Kernel parameters used to smooth the image.

Services: Defines the smoothing kernel using the parameters in the Input Format module.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.7 Keypoint Detection Module (M8)

Secrets: The methods used to define features within images.

Services: Defines the available methods used to detect features using the parameters in the Input Format module.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.8 Feature Descriptor Module (M9)

Secrets: The methods of defining features within images.

Services: Defines the available methods used to describe features using the parameters in the Input Format module.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.9 Feature Matching Module (M10)

Secrets: The methods used to compare features within images.

Services: Defines the available methods used to compare image features using the parameters in the Input Format module.

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.10 Image Plot Module (M11)

Secrets: The methods used to generate new images using identified image attributes.

Services: Defines the available methods used to generate and manipulate a new image. This may encroach upon

Implemented By: IFCS

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.3 Software Decision Module

7.3.1 OpenCV Library (M12)

Secrets: The data structures and algorithms used to manipulate imagery data.

Services: Provides methods to import, manipulate, and export imagery data.

Implemented By: OpenCV library

8 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Req.	Modules
R1	M1, M3
R2	M1, M3
R3	M1, M3
R4	M1, M3
R5	M4
R6	M8
R7	M9
R8	M10
R9	M7
R10	M2, M8
R11	M2, M9
R12	M2, M10
R13	M6
R14	M5
R15	M5

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M3
AC3	M4
AC4	M5
AC5	M6
AC6	M7
AC7	M8
AC8	M9
AC9	M10
AC10	M12
AC11	M2
AC12	M11
AC??	M11

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. [Parnas \(1978\)](#) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

10 Timeline

Table 4 outlines the schedule of tasks that will be performed by members of the VnV team, as defined in the [VnV Plan](#).

Task	V&V Team Assignee	Due By
Implement Software Decision Modules for Implementation Presentation	Author	March 24, 2025
Implement Behaviour Hiding Modules for Implementation Presentation	Author	March 26, 2025
Review Modules and Provide Feedback	Domain Expert	March 26, 2025
Finalize modules for final documentation	Author	April 11, 2025

Table 4: Development Timeline of the Module Guide/Interface Specification

References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.