

Risk Management Plan: Kirana App

How We Found the Risks

We used a simple and practical approach to identify potential problems for the Kirana App project.

Our method combined three things:

- **Team Experience:** We used our own knowledge of the local Karachi market and technology to brainstorm what could go wrong.
- **Historical Data:** We looked at the common reasons why other local apps in Pakistan have struggled to compete with bigger platforms.
- **Standard Checklists:** We used well-known software project risk checklists (like Boehm's Top 10) to make sure we considered common issues like personnel shortfalls or building the wrong features.

Main Project Risks & Our Plan to Handle Them

This table lists the most important risks and how we plan to manage them.

| Risk Description | Avg. Likelihood (1-5) | Avg. Impact (1-5) | How We'll Handle It (Mitigation Strategy) |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| Personnel Shortfalls: Limited development team size could cause bottlenecks if key members are unavailable. | 3.6 | 3.2 | Ensure key knowledge is shared through documentation and weekly meetings. Cross-train where possible. |
| Unrealistic Schedules: The aggressive 2-month timeline may not be enough for complex tasks like API integration. | 3.8 | 4 | Strictly follow the WBS and monitor progress weekly. If delays occur, focus only on essential MVP features. |
| Developing Wrong Functions: The app may miss critical marketplace or chat | 3.2 | 2.8 | Validate features against the SRS and get user feedback early during UAT. |

| | | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|
| features that users actually expect. | | | |
| Wrong User Interface: The UI/UX may not be intuitive for the target audience, leading to poor adoption. | 3.4 | 2.4 | Develop a clickable Figma prototype and get feedback from target users before starting development. |
| Gold Plating: Developers might add unnecessary features beyond the MVP scope, wasting time. | 2.6 | 2 | Enforce the Change Control Process. All new features must be justified and formally approved. |
| External Component Failure: Third-party services or libraries (like Firebase) may have outages or not work as expected. | 4.6 | 1.8 | Choose reliable, well-documented services. Have contingency plans for critical dependencies where possible. |
| Performance Shortfalls: The real-time chat and marketplace updates may lag under load. | 3.4 | 2 | Use Firebase's scalable infrastructure. Conduct load testing to identify and fix performance bottlenecks. |
| Organizational Risk: A team member losing motivation or not communicating can create knowledge gaps. | 2.4 | 2.8 | Hold regular weekly meetings to keep everyone engaged and informed. Use shared documentation on GitHub. |
| Technical Integration Risk: Issues may arise when connecting the Next.js frontend with the Firebase backend and APIs. | 4 | 3 | Define clear API contracts early in the process. Conduct integration testing as soon as components are ready. |

Team's Rating

| Risk Description | Moin | | Arib | | Haider | | Riyan | | Haris | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| | Impact | Likely Hood | Impact | Likely Hood | Impact | Likely Hood | Impact | Likely Hood | Impact | Likely Hood |
| Personnel Shortfalls | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Unrealistic Schedules | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Developing Wrong Functions | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Wrong User Interface | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Gold Plating | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| External Component Failure | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Performance Shortfalls | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Organizational Risk | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Technical Integration Risk | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 |