

## 4 Formal Logic Cheatsheet

### 4.1 Propositional Logic

- \* **Proposition** is a statement which can be either true or false. Truth-bearer
- \* **Alphabet** of propositional logic consists of (1) atomic symbols and (2) operator symbols.
- \* **Atomic formula** (**atom**) is an irreducible formula without logical connectives.
  - Propositional **variables**:  $A, B, C, \dots, Z$ . With indices, if needed:  $A_1, A_2, \dots, Z_1, Z_2, \dots$
  - Logical **constants**:  $\top$  for always true proposition (*tautology*),  $\perp$  for always false proposition (*contradiction*).
- \* **Logical connectives** (**operators**):

| Type                         | Natural meaning   | Symbolization                             |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Negation                     | It is not the case that $\mathcal{P}$ .<br>It is false that $\mathcal{P}$ .<br>It is not true that $\mathcal{P}$ .                | $\neg \mathcal{P}$                        |
| Conjunction                  | Both $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{P}$ but $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{P}$ , although $\mathcal{Q}$ .           | $\mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{Q}$          |
| Disjunction                  | Either $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{Q}$ (or both).<br>$\mathcal{P}$ unless $\mathcal{Q}$ .  | $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}$            |
| Exclusive or (Xor)           | Either $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{Q}$ (but not both).<br>$\mathcal{P}$ xor $\mathcal{Q}$ .  | $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$          |
| Implication<br>(Conditional) | If $\mathcal{P}$ , then $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{P}$ only if $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{Q}$ if $\mathcal{P}$ .              | $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$     |
| Biconditional                | $\mathcal{P}$ , if and only if $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{P}$ iff $\mathcal{Q}$ .<br>$\mathcal{P}$ just in case $\mathcal{Q}$ . | $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ |

- \* **Sentence** of propositional logic is defined inductively: Well-formed formula (WFF)
  1. Every propositional variable/constant is a sentence.
  2. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sentence, then  $\neg \mathcal{A}$  is a sentence.
  3. If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are sentences, then  $(\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$  are sentences.
  4. Nothing else is a sentence.

- \* Well-formed formulae grammar: Backus-Naur form (BNF)

```

<sentence> ::= <constant>
              | <variable>
              |  $\neg$  <sentence>
              | '(' <sentence> <binop> <sentence> ')'
<constant> ::=  $\top$  |  $\perp$ 
<variable> ::=  $A$  | ... |  $Z$  |  $A_1$  | ... |  $Z_n$ 
<binop>     ::=  $\wedge$  |  $\vee$  |  $\oplus$  |  $\rightarrow$  |  $\leftarrow$  |  $\leftrightarrow$ 
    
```

- \* **Literal** is a propositional variable or its negation:  $\mathcal{L}_i = X_i$  (*positive literal*),  $\mathcal{L}_j = \neg X_j$  (*negative literal*).
- \* **Argument** is a set of logical statements, called *premises*, intended to support or infer a claim (*conclusion*):

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n}_{\text{premises}} \therefore \underbrace{C}_{\text{conclusion}}$$

“therefore”

- \* An argument is **valid** if whenever all the premises are true, the conclusion is also true. Validity
- \* An argument is **invalid** if there is a case (*a counterexample*) when all the premises are true, but the conclusion is false.

## 4.2 Semantics of Propositional Logic

- \* **Valuation** is any assignment of truth values to propositional variables. Interpretation
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **tautology** (valid) iff it is true on *every* valuation. Might be symbolized as “ $\models \mathcal{A}$ ”.
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **contradiction** iff it is false on *every* valuation. Might be symbolized as “ $\mathcal{A} \models$ ”.
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **contingency** iff it is true on some valuation and false on another. In other words, a **contingent** proposition is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  is **satisfiable** iff it is true on *some* valuation. Satisfiability
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  is **falsifiable** iff it is not valid, i.e. it is false on *some* valuation. Falsifiability
- \*  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are **equivalent** (symbolized as  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$ ) iff, for every valuation, their truth values agree, i.e. there is no valuation in which they have opposite truth values. Equivalence check
- \*  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  are **consistent (jointly satisfiable)** iff there is *some* valuation which makes them all true. Sentences are **inconsistent (jointly unsatisfiable)** iff there is *no* valuation that makes them all true. Consistency
- \* The sentences  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  **entail** the sentence  $C$  (symbolized as  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \models C$ ) if there is no valuation which makes all of  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  true and  $C$  false. Semantic entailment
- \* If  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \models C$ , then the argument  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \therefore C$  is **valid**.

Validity check examples:

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \rightarrow B$ | $A$ | $\therefore$ | $B$ | $\neg A \rightarrow \neg B$ | $\therefore$ | $B \rightarrow A$ | $A \rightarrow B$ | $B$ | $\therefore$ | $\neg(B \rightarrow A)$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------|
| 0   | 0   | 1                 | 0   | ·            | 0   | 1                           | 1            | ✓                 | 1                 | 0   | ·            | 0                       |
| 0   | 1   | 1                 | 0   | ·            | 1   | 1                           | 0            | ·                 | 0                 | 1   | ✓            | 1                       |
| 1   | 0   | 0                 | 1   | ·            | 0   | 0                           | 1            | ✓                 | 1                 | 0   | ·            | 0                       |
| 1   | 1   | 1                 | 1   | ✓            | 1   | 0                           | 1            | ✓                 | 1                 | 1   | ✗            | 0                       |

| $R$ | $S$ | $T$ | $R \vee S$ | $S \vee T$ | $\neg R$ | $\therefore$ | $S \wedge T$ | $(R \wedge S) \rightarrow T$ | $\therefore$ | $R \rightarrow (S \rightarrow T)$ |
|-----|-----|-----|------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0   | 0   | 0   | 0          | 0          | 1        | .            | 0            | 0                            | 1            | 0                                 |
| 0   | 0   | 1   | 0          | 1          | 1        | .            | 0            | 0                            | 1            | 1                                 |
| 0   | 1   | 0   | 1          | 1          | 1        | ✗            | 0            | 0                            | 1            | 0                                 |
| 0   | 1   | 1   | 1          | 1          | 1        | ✓            | 1            | 0                            | 1            | 1                                 |
| 1   | 0   | 0   | 1          | 0          | 0        | .            | 0            | 0                            | 1            | 0                                 |
| 1   | 0   | 1   | 1          | 1          | 0        | .            | 0            | 0                            | 1            | 1                                 |
| 1   | 1   | 0   | 1          | 1          | 0        | .            | 0            | 1                            | 0            | 0                                 |
| 1   | 1   | 1   | 1          | 1          | 0        | .            | 1            | 1                            | 1            | 1                                 |

- \* **Soundness**:  $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma \models \mathcal{A}$  “Every provable statement is in fact true”
- \* **Completeness**:  $\Gamma \models \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{A}$  “Every true statement has a proof”

## 4.3 Natural Deduction Rules

## Reiteration

|              |               |       |
|--------------|---------------|-------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A}$ |       |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$ | R $m$ |

## Explosion

|              |               |       |
|--------------|---------------|-------|
| $m$          | $\perp$       |       |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$ | X $m$ |

## Conditional

|              |                                       |                       |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$                         |                       |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B}$                         |                       |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ | $\rightarrow$ I $i-j$ |

## Modus ponens

|              |                                       |           |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ |           |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{A}$                         |           |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B}$                         | MP $i, j$ |

## Conjunction

|              |                                  |                   |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$                    |                   |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B}$                    |                   |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ | $\wedge$ I $i, j$ |

|              |                                  |                |
|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ |                |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$                    | $\wedge$ E $m$ |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B}$                    | $\wedge$ E $m$ |

## Contraposition

|              |   |            |
|--------------|---|------------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$           |            |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \neg \mathcal{A}$ | Contra $m$ |

## Modus tollens

|              |                                       |           |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ |           |
| $j$          | $\neg \mathcal{B}$                    |           |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg \mathcal{A}$                    | MT $i, j$ |

## Biconditional

|              |   |                                |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$                             |                                |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B}$                             |                                |
| $k$          | $\mathcal{B}$                             |                                |
| $l$          | $\mathcal{A}$                             |                                |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ | $\leftrightarrow$ I $i-j, k-l$ |

## Negation

|              |                    |                 |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| $i$          | $\neg \mathcal{A}$ |                 |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{A}$      |                 |
| $\therefore$ | $\perp$            | $\neg$ E $i, j$ |

|              |                    |                |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$      |                |
| $j$          | $\perp$            |                |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg \mathcal{A}$ | $\neg$ I $i-j$ |

## Disjunction

|              |                                |              |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A}$                  |              |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ | $\vee$ I $m$ |

|              |                                |              |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A}$                  |              |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{A}$ | $\vee$ I $m$ |

|              |                                |                        |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| $m$          | $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ |                        |
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$                  |                        |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{C}$                  |                        |
| $k$          | $\mathcal{B}$                  |                        |
| $l$          | $\mathcal{C}$                  |                        |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{C}$                  | $\vee$ E $m, i-j, k-l$ |

|              |   |                            |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ |                            |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{A}$                             |                            |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B}$                             | $\leftrightarrow$ E $i, j$ |

|              |   |                            |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ |                            |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B}$                             |                            |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$                             | $\leftrightarrow$ E $i, j$ |

## Indirect proof

|              |                    |          |
|--------------|--------------------|----------|
| $i$          | $\neg \mathcal{A}$ |          |
| $j$          | $\perp$            |          |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$      | IP $i-j$ |

## Disjunctive syllogism

|              |                                |           |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ |           |
| $j$          | $\neg \mathcal{A}$             |           |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B}$                  | DS $i, j$ |

|              |                                |           |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ |           |
| $j$          | $\neg \mathcal{B}$             |           |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$                  | DS $i, j$ |

## De Morgan Rules

|              |  |         |
|--------------|--|---------|
| $m$          | $\neg(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})$       |         |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg \mathcal{A} \wedge \neg \mathcal{B}$ | DeM $m$ |

|              |  |         |
|--------------|--|---------|
| $m$          | $\neg \mathcal{A} \wedge \neg \mathcal{B}$ |         |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})$       | DeM $m$ |

|              |  |         |
|--------------|--|---------|
| $m$          | $\neg(\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B})$   |         |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg \mathcal{A} \vee \neg \mathcal{B}$ | DeM $m$ |

|              |  |         |
|--------------|--|---------|
| $m$          | $\neg \mathcal{A} \vee \neg \mathcal{B}$ |         |
| $\therefore$ | $\neg(\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B})$   | DeM $m$ |

## Double negation

|              |                         |                   |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| $m$          | $\neg \neg \mathcal{A}$ |                   |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A}$           | $\neg \neg$ E $m$ |

## Excluded middle

|              |                    |                |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A}$      |                |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B}$      |                |
| $k$          | $\neg \mathcal{A}$ |                |
| $l$          | $\mathcal{B}$      |                |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{B}$      | LEM $i-j, k-l$ |

## Hypothetical syllogism

|              |                                       |           |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| $i$          | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ |           |
| $j$          | $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ |           |
| $\therefore$ | $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ | HS $i, j$ |

Green: basic rules.

Orange: derived rules.

More rules can be found in the "forall x: Calgary" book (p. 406).