

GATT Analysis

Kristy Buzard

4/24/2021

Contents

Next steps	2
To do	2
Done	2
Importing and cleaning the data	3
Sanity checks	3
Basic summary statistics	3
Specific tariffs	3
Ad valorem tariffs	3
How did liberalization vary across Schedules?	3
Summary stats for specific tariffs	4
Mean of specific tariffs by schedule and round	4
Summary stats for ad valorem tariffs	5
Mean of ad valorem tariffs by schedule and round	5
What was the total reduction in negotiated tariffs under the GATT in each round?	5
Which lines were only ad valorem, only specific, or both?	6
Mixed	6
Victor's intuition on mixed lines	7
Proportions of specific, ad valorem, mixed	7
Tariff Increases	9
No change from Smoot Hawley to Dillon B	14
No change from Smoot Hawley to Geneva	14
Lines that switch between specific, ad valorem, and compound	15
Summarizing the impact of tax intervals	17
Implementation dates	17
TOT analysis	17

Next steps

To do

1. Create centralized documentation
 - Include history from Unsolved problems in coding.docx (OneDrive)
2. Resolve “complicated” paragraphs, including 4 that still have no tariffs
 - *Matt is looking through last three rounds*
3. **Kennedy, Tokyo, Uruguay**
4. Choose other countries
 - Refine *Members.in.GATT.xlsx*
 - Focus on Benelux, Canada, Chile, France, India, U.K., Dominican Republic, Haiti, Italy, Germany, Peru, Japan
 - Matt is adding # of pages for each schedule
5. **Make list of accuracy checks, run them, fix typos in data**
 - Check for tariffs going up from round to round
6. **Figure out how to integrate “free” list**
 - For which rounds do we have the free list typed up? Just Torquay Free List.xlsx on G: drive
7. Condense data cleaning code
8. Go back to questions in *Plan.docx* when last three rounds are finished
9. Identify lines that switch between specific and ad valorem
10. Look for gradualism in graphs
11. 10 lines in Dillon that have more than 2 years
12. Think about how variation in units affects specific summary stats
 - Look into trade-weighting
13. TOT analysis
14. Find implementation years (maybe get answer from Doug Irwin)
15. Get working draft together ASAP
16. Are current Column 2 tariffs in 1962 Smoot Hawley or the 1946 tariffs?

Done

1. ~~Make Github version for CEA abstract~~
2. ~~Contact Tricia Mueller (USITC) and Roy Santana (WTO) [Bob Staiger’s suggestions] [Feb 24]~~
3. ~~Figure out how to source multiple code files~~
4. ~~Program stats into abstract~~
5. ~~Resolve copyright issues, then (hopefully) post the correct schedules on Github~~
6. ~~Determine that TSUS tariffs were always at 5 digit, so we can just use the 5-digit tariff for all of the 7-digit subcategories~~
7. ~~Read and summarize “Two Centuries of Tariffs” (USITC, in G:drive folder)~~
8. ~~Consolidate various notes in Github / One Drive / G drive~~
9. ~~Read and summarize “Tariff negotiations and renegotiations under the GATT and the WTO” (hard copy at SU library)~~

10. ~~Read through Victor's notes for ideas~~
11. ~~Add Schedule A tariff data from 1946 (last available before Geneva 1947)~~

Importing and cleaning the data

Importing and cleaning the data is done in “data_cleaning.rmd”. It needs to be reprogrammed before being added here because it is still not as compact and readable as I want it to be. The chunk below calls that program to make the processed data available to the rest of the commands in this document.

Sanity checks

0 rows have either a specific tariff and no unit or a unit with no specific tariff for some round.

Basic summary statistics

Specific tariffs

We see below that the specific tariffs come down by roughly half from Smoot Hawley.

- About half came in Geneva, the rest through Dillon. That is, Geneva did half the work and the following four rounds did the other half

But this could be deceptive since different lines use different units

- Victor has standardized everything to be in cents (per U.S. dollar) in UnitsKey.rmd

```
source('UnitsKey.r')
```

	Summary Statistics of Specific Tariffs by Round						
	Min	1st Quartile	Mean	Median	3rd Quartile	Max	N
Smoot Hawley	0	2.00	47.41	6.00	32.0	3000	1554
1946	0	1.54	38.15	5.00	25.0	1600	1540
Geneva	0	1.21	30.50	5.00	25.0	1000	1542
Annecy	0	1.00	29.73	4.00	22.5	1000	1541
Torquay	0	1.00	26.52	3.50	20.0	1000	1541
GenevaA	0	1.00	26.13	3.50	20.0	1000	1541
GenevaB	0	1.00	25.74	3.50	20.0	1000	1541
GenevaC	0	1.00	25.40	3.45	20.0	1000	1538
DillonA	0	1.00	24.30	3.00	19.0	1000	1538
DillonB	0	1.00	23.66	3.00	18.0	1000	1538

Ad valorem tariffs

Strikingly, the reductions look to be of the same magnitude for Ad valorem, again with Geneva doing about half the work.

- In Dillon, 1069 rows out of 3030 are missing, so there are 1961 ad valorem tariffs. So 64.72% of lines have *ad valorem* tariffs.

How did liberalization vary across Schedules?

First, descriptions of each schedule:

Summary Statistics of Ad Valorem Tariffs by Round							
	Min	1st Quartile	Mean	Median	3rd Quartile	Max	N
Smoot Hawley	5.00	25.0	38.92	35.00	50.0	105	1979
1946	2.50	20.0	33.84	30.00	45.0	105	1984
Geneva	2.50	15.0	26.33	22.50	35.0	105	1968
Annecy	2.50	12.5	25.43	20.00	32.5	105	1969
Torquay	1.88	12.5	22.05	18.75	27.5	90	1966
GenevaA	1.88	11.5	21.63	17.50	27.5	90	1966
GenevaB	1.88	11.0	21.41	17.50	27.0	118	1966
GenevaC	1.88	10.5	21.13	17.50	25.5	90	1967
DillonA	1.00	10.5	19.47	15.50	25.0	90	1961
DillonB	0.50	10.0	18.89	15.00	25.0	90	1961

Smoot Hawley Schedule Titles		
Schedule	# Lines	Title
1	398	Chemicals, Oil, and Paints
2	243	Earths, Earthenware, and Glassware
3	661	Metals and Manufactures of
4	53	Wood and Manufactures of
5	17	Sugar, Molasses, and Manufactures of
6	12	Tobacco and Manufactures of
7	471	Agricultural Products and Provisions
8	35	Spirits, Wines, and other Beverages
9	118	Cotton Manufactures
10	91	Flax, Hemp, Jute, and Manufactures of
11	161	Wool and Manufactures of
12	38	Silk Manufactures
13	48	Manufactures of Rayon or Other Synthetic Textile
14	145	Papers and Books
15	539	Sundries

Summary stats for specific tariffs

The table below is exactly the same as the one above EXCEPT it drops the 212 lines that are impacted by the “tax interval” issue

Notes:

- 8 (spirits) largest, and consistent across rounds (1 ad valorem only)
- 5 (sugar) unambiguously smallest cuts, had some of the highest ad-valorem
- Reduction in median vs. mean: split exactly half and half as to which reduction was smaller
- Schedule 12 must be all ad valorem

Mean of specific tariffs by schedule and round

Removing tax interval lines

Sched	SH_mean	DB_mean	mean_chg	SH_med	DB_med	med_chg	SH_obs	DB_obs	n
1	22.78	13.31	41.57	5.00	2.50	50.00	265	265	398
2	45.68	26.04	43.00	10.00	5.55	44.50	110	106	243
3	55.01	24.51	55.43	3.50	2.00	42.86	316	304	661
4	53.55	24.27	54.67	60.00	22.50	62.50	6	6	53
5	24.42	23.28	4.69	0.38	0.15	59.73	11	11	17
6	147.50	62.19	57.84	52.50	23.50	55.24	12	12	12
7	28.86	13.34	53.78	3.00	1.50	50.00	356	355	471
8	264.85	78.95	70.19	125.00	42.00	66.40	33	33	35
9	8.60	21.60	-151.14	6.50	15.00	-130.77	8	15	118
10	11.93	4.82	59.62	2.75	1.62	40.91	42	42	91
11	39.83	31.30	21.43	40.00	32.00	20.00	143	143	161
12	NaN	150.00	NaN	NA	150.00	NA	0	1	38
13	40.00	23.18	42.06	45.00	25.00	44.44	34	34	48
14	11.73	12.96	-10.56	5.00	2.00	60.00	84	85	145
15	113.79	56.48	50.36	10.00	7.00	30.00	134	126	539

Sched	SH_mean	DB_mean	mean_chg	SH_med	DB_med	med_chg	SH_obs	DB_obs	n
1	22.90	13.39	41.54	5.00	2.50	50.00	263	263	390
2	53.99	27.41	49.24	10.00	5.25	47.50	90	90	199
3	58.20	21.80	62.54	4.00	2.00	50.00	298	287	609
4	53.55	24.27	54.67	60.00	22.50	62.50	6	6	53
5	24.42	23.28	4.69	0.38	0.15	59.73	11	11	17
6	147.50	62.19	57.84	52.50	23.50	55.24	12	12	12
7	29.10	13.41	53.91	3.00	1.50	50.00	353	353	468
8	264.85	78.95	70.19	125.00	42.00	66.40	33	33	35
9	11.30	6.75	40.23	10.00	6.06	39.38	6	6	91
10	11.93	4.82	59.62	2.75	1.62	40.91	42	42	91
11	39.20	28.38	27.61	40.00	30.00	25.00	130	130	146
12	NaN	NaN	NaN	NA	NA	NA	0	0	35
13	39.13	21.74	44.44	45.00	25.00	44.44	23	23	26
14	11.73	7.17	38.89	5.00	2.00	60.00	84	84	142
15	85.87	50.60	41.08	6.00	4.00	33.33	124	117	504

Summary stats for ad valorem tariffs

For several paragraphs, the maximum tariff for Dillon B changes when we get rid of the tax interval lines (2,9,11). Still I'm not going to print the tables with the maxes in them for now.

Mean of ad valorem tariffs by schedule and round

Removing tax interval lines

What was the total reduction in negotiated tariffs under the GATT in each round?

Mean and median of specific tariffs in each round

Sched	SH	A	G1	An	To	GC	DB	chgA	chgG1	chgAn	chgTo	chgGC	chgDB
1	22.78	21.14	19.15	19.07	15.70	14.83	13.31	7.21	9.43	0.39	17.70	5.52	10.25
2	45.68	37.01	33.65	32.63	27.77	26.81	26.04	18.97	9.08	3.02	14.91	3.44	3.78
3	55.01	47.71	34.61	34.03	30.96	29.75	24.51	13.27	27.45	1.68	9.03	3.91	23.67
4	53.55	43.55	22.61	22.61	22.61	22.61	24.27	18.68	48.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	-7.37
5	24.42	23.51	23.36	23.33	23.32	23.31	23.28	3.75	0.63	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.16
6	147.50	83.64	94.54	86.42	67.25	62.65	62.19	43.30	-13.04	8.59	22.18	6.85	0.73
7	28.86	19.48	15.98	15.80	14.25	14.19	13.34	32.50	17.99	1.11	9.78	0.46	5.99
8	264.85	192.65	143.48	125.87	95.87	86.18	78.95	27.26	25.52	12.28	23.83	10.11	8.39
9	8.60	6.72	22.38	22.38	21.90	21.90	21.60	21.80	-232.74	0.00	2.12	0.00	0.00
10	11.93	7.38	6.76	6.71	4.92	4.91	4.82	38.14	8.39	0.79	26.71	0.12	1.90
11	39.83	36.96	29.43	29.33	28.81	28.81	31.30	7.22	20.36	0.36	1.76	0.00	-0.77
12	NaN	NaN	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	NaN	NaN	0.00	0.00	0.00	NaN
13	40.00	38.53	27.43	26.25	23.75	23.32	23.18	3.68	28.82	4.29	9.52	1.80	0.00
14	11.73	19.84	18.54	18.43	16.39	15.04	12.96	-69.23	6.57	0.57	11.10	8.23	9.11
15	113.79	83.26	65.52	65.22	61.87	58.10	56.48	26.83	21.31	0.46	5.14	6.09	3.19

Sched	Sp_SH	Sp_A	Sp_Ge	Sp_An	Sp_To	Sp_GC	Sp_DB	chgGe	chgAn	chgTo	chgGC	chgDB
1	22.90	21.28	19.33	19.25	15.79	14.92	13.39	15.61	0.39	17.98	5.53	10.25
2	53.99	41.74	36.67	35.47	29.61	28.48	27.41	32.08	3.27	16.53	3.80	3.78
3	58.20	48.11	33.47	32.87	29.85	28.56	21.80	42.49	1.81	9.18	4.30	23.67
4	53.55	43.55	22.61	22.61	22.61	22.61	24.27	57.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	-7.37
5	24.42	23.51	23.36	23.33	23.32	23.31	23.28	4.35	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.16
6	147.50	83.64	94.54	86.42	67.25	62.65	62.19	35.90	8.59	22.18	6.85	0.73
7	29.10	19.59	16.06	15.89	14.33	14.27	13.41	44.80	1.11	9.78	0.46	5.99
8	264.85	192.65	143.48	125.87	95.87	86.18	78.95	45.82	12.28	23.83	10.11	8.39
9	11.30	8.80	7.94	7.94	6.75	6.75	6.75	29.72	0.00	14.95	0.00	0.00
10	11.93	7.38	6.76	6.71	4.92	4.91	4.82	43.33	0.79	26.71	0.12	1.90
11	39.20	36.27	29.26	29.15	28.60	28.60	28.38	25.35	0.39	1.89	0.00	0.77
12	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
13	39.13	37.39	24.67	24.67	21.74	21.74	21.74	36.94	0.00	11.89	0.00	0.00
14	11.73	11.45	10.13	10.02	7.95	7.88	7.17	13.61	1.06	20.67	0.85	9.11
15	85.87	69.77	59.71	59.68	56.08	52.27	50.60	30.47	0.05	6.03	6.80	3.19

Which lines were only ad valorem, only specific, or both?

Mixed

Next we need to know about the lines that have both ad valorem and specific (or take them out from above); at least quantify them to start

How many lines have both ad valorem and specific in each round?

- Smoot Hawley: 507
- Geneva 1947: 484
- Annecy: 484
- Torquay: 481
- Geneva56A: 481
- Geneva56B: 481
- Geneva56C: 479
- DillonA: 473

Sched	SH_mean	DB_mean	mean_chg	SH_med	DB_med	med_chg	SH_obs	DB_obs	n
1	29.90	14.17	52.61	25.00	12.50	50.00	206	205	398
2	45.58	23.93	47.51	50.00	21.00	58.00	163	158	243
3	37.78	17.15	54.60	35.00	13.00	62.86	467	478	661
4	33.91	15.09	55.51	33.33	15.00	55.00	47	47	53
5	50.83	31.92	37.21	50.00	22.50	55.00	6	6	17
6	25.00	7.75	69.00	25.00	7.75	69.00	2	2	12
7	31.74	14.22	55.20	35.00	13.00	62.86	119	120	471
8	60.00	30.00	50.00	60.00	30.00	50.00	1	1	35
9	36.99	22.26	39.81	40.00	20.00	50.00	112	105	118
10	37.45	15.09	59.69	40.00	12.50	68.75	58	58	91
11	49.49	24.98	49.53	50.00	23.75	52.50	115	110	161
12	57.50	24.38	57.60	60.00	21.00	65.00	38	37	38
13	52.64	26.47	49.71	57.50	23.25	59.57	36	36	48
14	21.75	8.70	60.01	20.00	8.00	60.00	124	123	145
15	43.77	22.38	48.87	40.00	17.00	57.50	485	475	539

Sched	SH_mean	DB_mean	mean_chg	SH_med	DB_med	med_chg	SH_obs	DB_obs	n
1	29.90	14.04	53.04	25.00	12.50	50.00	198	198	390
2	42.83	21.52	49.77	45.00	20.00	55.56	127	127	199
3	38.29	17.27	54.90	35.00	13.00	62.86	431	442	609
4	33.91	15.09	55.51	33.33	15.00	55.00	47	47	53
5	50.83	31.92	37.21	50.00	22.50	55.00	6	6	17
6	25.00	7.75	69.00	25.00	7.75	69.00	2	2	12
7	31.74	14.25	55.09	35.00	13.50	61.43	119	119	468
8	60.00	30.00	50.00	60.00	30.00	50.00	1	1	35
9	35.57	21.45	39.69	35.00	20.00	42.86	87	87	91
10	37.45	15.09	59.69	40.00	12.50	68.75	58	58	91
11	48.99	23.51	52.01	50.00	22.50	55.00	102	102	146
12	57.29	23.20	59.50	60.00	20.00	66.67	35	35	35
13	54.40	25.82	52.54	60.00	22.50	62.50	25	25	26
14	21.55	8.72	59.54	20.00	8.00	60.00	121	121	142
15	44.10	21.73	50.72	40.00	17.00	57.50	450	449	504

- DillonB: 473

Victor's intuition on mixed lines

I believe many of the changes from specific tax to ad valorem or otherwise is because of the tax intervals. You could search the keywords “tax boundaries” and “tax interval(s)” in Extra column of every round to locate them.

Proportions of specific, ad valorem, mixed

A few lines in each round have neither specific nor ad valorem. Matt is working on fixing this

[1] "Smoot-Hawley"

Sched	Product	Paragraph	id
3	1	368.c_18	1079
8	1	810	1890

Sched	SH	G1	An	To	GC	DB	chgG1	chgAn	chgTo	chgGC	chgDB
1	29.90	21.15	20.75	17.02	16.15	14.17	29.26	1.91	17.98	5.11	12.25
2	45.58	30.78	29.33	25.51	25.32	23.93	32.47	4.73	13.02	0.72	5.52
3	37.78	26.50	25.36	20.88	19.87	17.15	29.87	4.29	17.68	4.82	13.69
4	33.91	22.11	20.39	18.80	17.80	15.09	34.81	7.78	7.78	5.32	15.24
5	50.83	33.58	33.58	33.58	33.58	31.92	33.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.96
6	25.00	15.62	15.62	9.38	7.75	7.75	37.50	0.00	40.00	17.33	0.00
7	31.74	20.74	19.45	16.84	15.98	14.22	34.67	6.22	13.39	5.10	11.04
8	60.00	60.00	60.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
9	36.99	25.44	25.04	22.92	22.70	22.26	31.21	1.59	8.44	0.96	1.95
10	37.45	19.96	19.74	19.44	18.14	15.09	46.71	1.08	1.53	6.70	16.78
11	49.49	26.27	26.10	24.59	24.02	24.98	46.92	0.66	5.78	2.30	-3.98
12	57.50	36.82	34.05	29.66	27.16	24.38	35.96	7.52	12.90	8.43	10.25
13	52.64	35.00	33.68	28.33	26.79	26.47	33.51	3.77	15.88	5.44	1.19
14	21.75	13.28	12.47	10.91	10.19	8.70	38.96	6.09	12.47	6.61	14.66
15	43.77	31.51	30.75	27.08	25.93	22.38	28.01	2.42	11.92	4.28	13.68

Sched	SH	G1	An	To	GC	DB	chgG1	chgAn	chgTo	chgGC	chgDB
1	29.90	21.10	20.68	16.92	16.02	14.04	29.43	1.97	18.17	5.32	12.39
2	42.83	28.53	26.88	23.01	22.67	21.52	33.39	5.80	14.38	1.51	5.07
3	38.29	27.27	26.15	21.29	20.27	17.27	28.79	4.09	18.60	4.76	14.82
4	33.91	22.11	20.39	18.80	17.80	15.09	34.81	7.78	7.78	5.32	15.24
5	50.83	33.58	33.58	33.58	33.58	31.92	33.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.96
6	25.00	15.62	15.62	9.38	7.75	7.75	37.50	0.00	40.00	17.33	0.00
7	31.74	20.83	19.53	16.90	16.03	14.25	34.39	6.24	13.45	5.12	11.10
8	60.00	60.00	60.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
9	35.57	24.77	24.28	22.10	21.84	21.45	30.37	1.97	8.97	1.20	1.76
10	37.45	19.96	19.74	19.44	18.14	15.09	46.71	1.08	1.53	6.70	16.78
11	48.99	26.48	26.28	24.69	24.06	23.51	45.94	0.74	6.05	2.58	2.28
12	57.29	36.36	33.43	28.79	26.14	23.20	36.53	8.06	13.89	9.18	11.26
13	54.40	35.00	35.00	27.60	26.06	25.82	35.66	0.00	21.14	5.58	0.92
14	21.55	13.31	12.49	10.91	10.21	8.72	38.22	6.18	12.66	6.42	14.60
15	44.10	31.18	30.41	26.53	25.34	21.73	29.28	2.47	12.76	4.48	14.25

Decrease in specific tariffs by round				
	Mean	% decrease	Median	% decrease
Smoot Hawley	47.41	0.00	6.00	0.00
1946	38.15	19.54	5.00	16.67
Geneva	30.50	20.06	5.00	0.00
Annecy	29.73	2.52	4.00	20.00
Torquay	26.52	10.79	3.50	12.50
GenevaA	26.13	1.47	3.50	0.00
GenevaB	25.74	1.48	3.50	0.00
GenevaC	25.40	1.32	3.45	1.43
DillonA	24.30	4.33	3.00	13.04
DillonB	23.66	2.65	3.00	0.00

Decrease in ad valorem tariffs by round				
	Mean	% decrease	Median	% decrease
Smoot Hawley	38.92	0.00	35.00	0.00
1946	33.84	13.07	30.00	14.29
Geneva	26.33	22.17	22.50	25.00
Annecy	25.43	3.42	20.00	11.11
Torquay	22.05	13.31	18.75	6.25
GenevaA	21.63	1.89	17.50	6.67
GenevaB	21.41	1.01	17.50	0.00
GenevaC	21.13	1.30	17.50	0.00
DillonA	19.47	7.90	15.50	11.43
DillonB	18.89	2.94	15.00	3.23

```

14      1      1408 2438
15     17     1532.a 2861

```

```
[1] "Dillon B"
```

```

Sched Product Paragraph  id
3      1    368.c_18 1079
8      1      810 1890
14     1     1408 2438
15     17     1532.a 2861

```

Tariff Increases

Here we are looking round by round for lines that had an increase in either the ad valorem or specific tariff (or both). Later we will look at lines that switch from one type of tariff to the other.

```
## [1] "Increased tariff from Smoot Hawley to Geneva"
```

```

## Paragraph  id Product av_pc sp_pc Ad_Valorem_SH Ad_Valorem_Geneva Specific_SH
##      41  198      9   60  -25           25           10           2.0
##     212  499     11   NA  -300           60           NA          10.0
##     318  797      1  -50   NA           50           75           NA
##     318  798      2  -50   NA           50           75           NA
##     318  806     10  -50   NA           50           75           NA
##     331  858     10   NA  -50           NA           NA           3.0
##     355  972      8   22 -300           45           35           2.0
##     364 1024      2  -40   NA           50           70           NA
##     389 1252      4  -75   NA           10           18           NA
##     396 1267      1  -44   NA           45           65           NA
##     397 1297     29  -47   NA           45           66           NA
##     397 1301     33  -33   NA           45           60           NA
##     718.a 1487      3  -47   NA           30           44           NA
##     718.a 1488      4  -47   NA           30           44           NA
##     904.a 1905      2 -175   NA           10           28           NA
##     904.b 1911      3 -131   NA           13           30           NA
##     904.c 1915      3 -100   NA           16           32           NA
##     911.a 1953      7  -38   NA           40           55           NA
##    1005.a.3 2045      1   NA  -50           NA           NA           3.2
##     1022 2095      2   NA  -25           NA           NA           8.0
##    1526.a 2691      2 -120   NA           25           55          125.0

```

##	1526.a	2692	3	-120	NA	25	55	250.0
##	1526.a	2693	4	-120	NA	25	55	500.0
##	1526.a	2694	5	-90	NA	25	48	600.0
##	1526.a	2695	6	-90	NA	25	48	700.0
##	1526.a	2696	7	-60	NA	25	40	900.0
##	1526.a	2697	8	-60	NA	25	40	1200.0
##	1527.a.2	2703	2	-10	NA	50	55	100.0
##	1527.b	2706	2	-10	NA	50	55	6.0
##	1527.c.2	2708	1	-10	NA	50	55	1.0
##	1527.c.2	2709	2	-30	NA	50	65	1.0
##	1527.c.2	2710	3	-10	NA	50	55	1.0
##	1537.c	2898	2	43	-50	35	20	2.0

##	Specific_Geneva	Units_SH	Units_Geneva	Interval
##	2.5	1	1	NA
##	40.0	20	20	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	4.5	1	1	NA
##	8.0	19	19	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	1
##	4.9	1	1	NA
##	10.0	44	44	NA
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	20	NA	1
##	NA	19	NA	1
##	NA	55	NA	NA
##	NA	1	NA	NA
##	NA	1	NA	NA
##	NA	1	NA	NA
##	3.0	19	19	NA

[1] "Increased tariff from Geneva to Annecy"

##	Paragraph	id	Product	av_pc	sp_pc	Ad_Valorem_Geneva	Ad_Valorem_Annecy
##	385	1241	2	0	-67	10	10

##	Specific_Geneva	Specific_Annecy	Units_Geneva	Units_Annecy	Interval
##	6	10	1	1	NA

[1] "Increased tariff from Annecy to Torquay"

##	Paragraph	id	Product	av_pc	sp_pc	Ad_Valorem_Annecy	Ad_Valorem_Torquay
##	212	501	13	-100.00	NA	35.0	70
##	360	1013	6	-50.00	NA	20.0	30
##	394	1261	2	NA	-12	NA	NA
##	1013	2062	3	-50.00	NA	15.0	22
##	1114.d	2208	4	-0.67	0	37.2	38
##	1405	2375	3	-33.33	0	7.5	10
##	1405	2385	13	0.00	-50	10.0	10
##	1519.b	2661	1	-12.50	NA	20.0	22
##	1530.c	2803	5	-50.00	NA	10.0	15
##	1537.b	2891	8	-25.00	NA	10.0	12

##	Specific_Annecy	Specific_Torquay	Units_Annecy	Units_Torquay	Interval
##	5.0	NA	20.0	NA	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	1.0	1.1	1.0	1	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	37.5	37.5	1.0	1	NA
##	2.5	2.5	1.0	1	NA
##	1.0	1.5	0.5	1	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

[1] "Increased tariff from Torquay to Geneva56_C"

##	Paragraph	id	Product	av_pc	sp_pc	Ad_Valorem_Torquay	Ad_Valorem_Geneva56_C
##	202.a	411	7	-20.0	NA	35	42
##	202.a	412	8	NA	-20.0	NA	NA
##	202.a	413	9	-20.0	NA	25	30
##	202.a	414	10	-7.1	NA	28	30
##	202.a	415	11	NA	-6.2	NA	NA
##	202.a	416	12	-5.0	NA	20	21
##	202.a	418	14	-18.3	NA	30	36
##	202.a	419	15	-6.2	NA	24	26
##	209	475	6	-71.4	NA	18	30
##	212	494	6	-71.4	NA	35	60
##	214	515	7	-70.0	NA	20	34
##	302.b	651	1	NA	-71.4	NA	NA
##	357	984	1	-122.2	NA	22	50
##	357	985	2	-122.2	NA	22	50
##	360	1008	1	-13.3	NA	22	26
##	411	1332	4	-70.0	NA	25	42
##	701	1392	8	NA	-66.7	NA	NA
##	778	1824	1	-112.5	NA	8	17
##	1114.d	2207	3	-28.0	0.0	25	32

##	Specific_Torquay	Specific_Geneva56_C	Units_Torquay	Units_Geneva56_C	Interval
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	5.0	6.0	6	6	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	4.0	4.2	6	6	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	17.5	30.0	1	1	NA
##	1.8	NA	19	NA	NA
##	7.5	NA	19	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	6.0	10.0	1	1	NA
##	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	37.5	37.5	1	1	NA

[1] "Increased tariff from Geneva56_C to Dillon_B"

##	Paragraph	id	Product	av_pc	sp_pc	Ad_Valorem_Geneva56_C	Ad_Valorem_Dillon_B
##	24	102	6	-300.0	67	9.0	36
##	24	103	7	-373.3	67	7.5	36
##	202.a	414	10	-30.0	NA	30.0	39
##	202.a	415	11	NA	-32	NA	NA
##	202.a	416	12	-33.3	NA	21.0	28
##	209	471	2	-37.1	NA	8.8	12
##	209	476	7	-55.6	NA	22.5	35
##	331	857	9	NA	-20	NA	NA
##	354	952	1	-70.0	68	25.0	42
##	354	953	2	-70.0	68	25.0	42
##	354	954	3	-54.5	67	27.5	42
##	354	961	10	-54.5	67	27.5	42
##	354	962	11	-54.5	72	27.5	42
##	354	963	12	-70.0	80	25.0	42
##	354	964	13	-54.5	86	27.5	42
##	365	1033	9	-18.4	-18	19.0	22
##	371	1098	2	NA	-50	NA	NA
##	371	1099	3	-50.0	NA	15.0	22
##	371	1101	5	NA	-50	NA	NA
##	371	1102	6	-50.0	NA	15.0	22
##	371	1103	7	-50.0	NA	15.0	22
##	371	1104	8	NA	-50	NA	NA
##	371	1105	9	-50.0	NA	7.5	11
##	371	1107	11	NA	-50	NA	NA
##	371	1108	12	-50.0	NA	15.0	22
##	372	1115	3	-33.3	NA	10.5	14
##	411	1331	3	-36.0	NA	25.0	34
##	412	1339	7	NA	-100	NA	NA
##	721.e	1532	1	NA	-12	NA	NA
##	1014	2069	6	-300.0	NA	2.5	10
##	1108	2162	7	-140.0	0	25.0	60
##	1108	2163	8	-140.0	0	25.0	60
##	1108	2164	9	NA	-260	25.0	NA
##	1108	2165	10	NA	-260	25.0	NA
##	1108	2166	11	-52.0	0	25.0	38
##	1108	2167	12	-140.0	0	25.0	60
##	1108	2168	13	NA	-203	25.0	NA
##	1108	2169	14	NA	-203	25.0	NA
##	1108	2170	15	-52.0	0	25.0	38
##	1109.a	2171	1	-140.0	0	25.0	60
##	1109.a	2172	2	NA	-203	25.0	NA

##	1109.a	2173	3	-52.0	0	25.0	38
##	1109.a	2174	4	-50.0	0	20.0	30
##	1109.a	2175	5	-50.0	0	20.0	30
##	1109.a	2176	6	-50.0	0	20.0	30
##	1404	2363	9	-6.7	20	7.5	8
##	1549.a	2963	1	20.0	-7995	12.5	10
##	1551	2982	7	NA	-60	NA	NA
##	1551	2983	8	NA	-60	NA	NA
##	Specific_Geneva56_C	Specific_Dillon_B	Units_Geneva56_C	Units_Dillon_B	Interval		
##		30.00	10.0	1	1	NA	
##		51.00	17.0	1	1	NA	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		4.25	5.6	6	6	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
##		3.00	3.6	1	1	NA	
##		0.62	0.2	19	19	NA	
##		2.50	0.8	19	19	NA	
##		5.50	1.8	19	19	NA	
##		7.50	2.5	19	19	NA	
##		9.00	2.5	19	19	NA	
##		12.50	2.5	19	19	NA	
##		17.50	2.5	19	19	NA	
##		425.00	500.0	19	19	NA	
##		125.00	187.5	19	19	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		200.00	300.0	19	19	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		125.00	187.5	19	19	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		250.00	375.0	19	19	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	1	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
##		10.00	20.0	18	18	NA	
##		4.00	4.5	1	1	NA	
##		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
##		30.00	30.0	1	1	1	
##		30.00	30.0	1	1	1	
##		30.00	108.0	1	1	1	
##		30.00	108.0	1	1	1	
##		30.00	30.0	1	1	1	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	113.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	113.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	113.5	1	1	1	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	NA	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	NA	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	NA	
##		37.50	37.5	1	1	NA	

##	2.50	2.0	1	1	NA
##	0.21	17.0	1	18	NA
##	0.50	0.8	55	55	NA
##	1.50	2.4	55	55	NA

No change from Smoot Hawley to Dillon B

```
sm_db <- data_set %>%
  mutate(av_pc = ((Ad_Valorem_SH - Ad_Valorem_Dillon_B)/Ad_Valorem_SH)*100, sp_pc
         = ((Specific_SH - Specific_Dillon_B)/Specific_SH)*100)

sm_db2 <- subset(sm_db, is.na(sp_pc) | sp_pc == 0) %>% subset(is.na(av_pc) | av_pc == 0)
```

The code above produces 333 lines that are the same in Smoot Hawley and Dillon B (i.e. that don't change at all through these five rounds of negotiations—we assume. We still need a check for rates going up.)

No change from Smoot Hawley to Geneva

```
# we removed the "before" variables once we verified that they were exactly the same as Smoot Hawley
# all the lines that are exactly the same in Smoot Hawley and 1946_before
same <- shortnames %>%
#   filter( ((is.na(Sp_SH) == is.na(Sp_B) & is.na(Sp_SH)) | Sp_SH == Sp_B)
#           & ((is.na(AV_SH) == is.na(AV_B) & is.na(AV_SH)) | AV_SH == AV_B)
#           & ((is.na(Un_SH) == is.na(Un_B) & is.na(Un_SH)) | Un_SH == Un_B))

# all the lines that are exactly the same in Smoot Hawley and Geneva
same <- data_set %>%
  filter( ((is.na(Specific_SH) == is.na(Specific_Geneva) & is.na(Specific_SH)) | Specific_SH == Specific_Geneva)
          & ((is.na(Ad_Valorem_SH) == is.na(Ad_Valorem_Geneva) & is.na(Ad_Valorem_SH)) | Ad_Valorem_SH == Ad_Valorem_Geneva)
          & ((is.na(Units_SH) == is.na(Units_Geneva) & is.na(Units_SH)) | Units_SH == Units_Geneva) )

# supposed to be all the lines that have any difference, but misses lines that switch
# between ad valorem and specific. Almost certainly is because of treatment of NAs
diff <- data_set %>%
  filter( Specific_SH != Specific_Geneva | Ad_Valorem_SH != Ad_Valorem_Geneva |
          Units_SH != Units_Geneva )

# lines that are NOT in "same"
t <- setdiff(data_set$id, same$id)
same_removed <- data_set[t,]

# lines that are NOT in either "same" or "diff"
t3 <- setdiff(same_removed$id, diff$id)
samediff_removed <- data_set[t3,]

# both these methods miss out on the ones that are not equal because one is an NA
units_diff <- data_set %>%
  filter( (Units_SH != Units_Geneva) )

units_diff2 <- data_set[which(data_set$Units_SH != data_set$Units_Geneva), ]

# tbl %>% rowwise(id) %>% mutate(s = sum(c_across(x:w)) %>% ungroup()
```

```
# all(is.na(x))
# all(is.na(c_across(stuff)))
```

The code above produces 1020 lines that are the same in Smoot Hawley and Geneva.

Lines that switch between specific, ad valorem, and compound

Below are the lines that either change units or change between specific only, ad valorem only or both specific and ad valorem. Indicator variables for each round (G for Geneva, A for Annecy, etc.) show in which round the change(s) occurred. Variable “unit_ch” equals 1 if the unit changed.

In all, 111 lines are affected by some change in the form of the tariff.

##	Sched	Product	Paragraph	id	G	A	T	GA	GB	GC	DA	DB	unit_ch	Interval
##	1	16	28.a	148	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	1	10	53	253	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	1	6	72	325	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	4	210	480	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	2	212	490	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	3	212	491	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	1
##	2	4	212	492	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	10	212	498	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	11	212	499	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	1
##	2	12	212	500	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	13	212	501	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	1
##	2	14	212	502	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	15	212	503	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	4	213	507	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	2	218.d	536	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	5	218.d	539	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	7	218.f	555	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	2	11	218.f	559	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	1
##	2	4	226	593	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	3	302.d	655	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	3	304	694	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	4	304	695	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	5	304	696	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	11	304	702	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	12	304	703	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	13	304	704	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	21	304	712	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	22	304	713	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	23	304	714	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	24	304	715	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	25	304	716	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	26	304	717	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	30	304	721	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	38	304	729	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	39	304	730	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	40	304	731	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	41	304	732	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	46	304	737	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	47	304	738	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	3	48	304	739	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

##	3	1	308	750	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	3	308	752	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	12	316.a	791	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	4	318	800	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	7	318	803	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	1	357	984	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	2	357	985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	7	358	997	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	16	365	1040	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	NA
##	3	18	365	1042	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	NA
##	3	1	368.c_2	1062	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
##	3	2	368.c_2	1063	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
##	3	1	368.c_17	1078	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	2	371	1098	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	5	371	1101	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	8	371	1104	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	11	371	1107	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	14	371	1110	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	2	375	1190	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	0	NA
##	3	4	382.a	1216	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	3	11	397	1279	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	7	4	726	1546	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	7	2	754	1664	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	7	1	779	1825	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	1	NA	NA	0	NA
##	9	4	909	1930	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	7	909	1933	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	14	909	1940	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	2	910	1945	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	8	911.a	1954	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	2	915	1976	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	9	9	923	2004	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	11	9	1108	2164	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	1
##	11	10	1108	2165	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	1
##	11	13	1108	2168	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	1
##	11	14	1108	2169	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	1
##	11	2	1109.a	2172	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	1
##	12	3	1208	2285	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	14	13	1405	2385	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	14	6	1413	2482	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	5	1504.a	2525	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	5	1504.b.1.2	2536	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	15	10	1506	2554	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	1	1509	2559	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA
##	15	1	1526.a	2690	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	1
##	15	2	1526.a	2691	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	3	1526.a	2692	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	4	1526.a	2693	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	5	1526.a	2694	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	6	1526.a	2695	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	7	1526.a	2696	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	8	1526.a	2697	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	1	1527.a.2	2702	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	1
##	15	2	1527.a.2	2703	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
##	15	2	1527.b	2706	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

##	15	1	1527.c.2	2708	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	15	2	1527.c.2	2709	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	15	3	1527.c.2	2710	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	15	4	1527.c.2	2711	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	5	1527.c.2	2712	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
##	15	3	1530.e	2814	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	4	1535	2868	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	8	1535	2872	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	11	1535	2875	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	5	1537.b	2888	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	8	1541.a	2918	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA
##	15	25	1541.a	2935	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	1	1548	2962	1	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	1	1549.a	2963	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	1	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	4	1549.b	2971	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	5	1549.b	2972	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
##	15	1	1550.a	2973	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA

““

Summarizing the impact of tax intervals

PUT THIS BACK IN WHEN I'M AT HOME AND CAN FIGURE OUT THE BETTER WAY TO WORK WITH THE INTERVALS

Implementation dates

Geneva 1: January 1, 1948 (Irwin 2017, p. 486)

TOT analysis

We'll need measure of importer market power

1. inverse foreign supply elasticities are at HS6 level, are much more recent
 - Ross will look into the feasibility (data and code) of creating these measures for the 1930s/40s
 - Would we want Broda, Limao, Weinstein version (requires trade flows only) or Anson Soderbery's heterogeneous version?
 - Ross recalls he's seen a joint project between Anson Soderbery and Doug Irwin about the 1930s
2. product differentiation index (Rauch), also newer, but maybe less sensitive to changes over time
3. market share might be credible enough, and easier to get

We'll need to think about whether it's credible to try the identification strategy Ross has used in his work