

# How to use quantitative techniques to optimize portfolio construction?

## Supporting Material

April 26, 2016

### 1 Portfolio Solution with Multiple Assets

We derive here the portfolio solution when we have more than two risky assets. We use the following notation:

- $N$  is the total number of assets,
- $w = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N]^\top$  is a  $N \times 1$  vector containing portfolio allocations to the  $N$  risky assets,
- $E[r - r_f] = [E[r_1 - r_f], E[r_2 - r_f], \dots, E[r_N - r_f]]^\top$  is a  $N \times 1$  vector of expected returns in excess of the risk-free rate  $r_f$ ,
- $\Sigma$  is a  $N \times N$  matrix containing all covariances between the  $N$  assets with element  $\Sigma_{i,j} = \text{Cov}[r_i, r_j]$ ,
- $\top$  denotes the transpose operator; if  $w$  is a  $N \times 1$  vector, then  $w^\top$  is a  $1 \times N$  vector.

Using this notation, we can write the portfolio expected return as

$$E[r_p] = r_f + w^\top E[r - r_f] \quad (1)$$

and the portfolio return variance as

$$\text{Var}[r_p] = w^\top \Sigma w. \quad (2)$$

Let's find the optimal allocation  $w^*$ . You want to maximize our tradeoff between portfolio expected return and variance, which is the preference metric we have chosen to use:

$$\max_w \quad E[r_p] - \frac{\text{Risk Aversion}}{2} \text{Var}[r_p]$$

which we can write as

$$\max_w \quad r_f + w^\top E[r - r_f] - \frac{\text{Risk Aversion}}{2} w^\top \Sigma w$$

using equations (1) and (2).

Remember from Calculus that to find the maximum we need to set the first derivative with respect to  $w$  equal to 0. Deriving our portfolio preference metric, we obtain the first order conditions:

$$E[r - r_f] - \text{Risk Aversion } \Sigma w = 0$$

from which we obtain the optimal allocation:

$$w^* = \frac{1}{\text{Risk Aversion}} \Sigma^{-1} E[r - r_f] \quad (3)$$

where  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is the inverse of the matrix  $\Sigma$ <sup>1</sup>.

### 1.1 An example with two assets

Let's solve for the optimal allocation in the case in which we allocate over the next year between a risk-free asset, a stock index  $S$ , and long-term bond  $B$ . We use the following parameters:

- our risk aversion is 3,
- the risk-free asset pays  $r_f = 3\%$ ,
- the stock index  $S$  has an expected excess return of  $E[r_S - r_f] = 7\%$ , a volatility of 20%, and hence a variance of  $\text{Var}[r_S] = 20\%^2 = 0.04$ ,
- the long-term bond  $B$  has an expected excess return of  $E[r_B - r_f] = 3\%$ , a volatility of 10%, and hence a variance of  $\text{Var}[r_B] = 10\%^2 = 0.01$ ,
- and the correlation between asset  $A$  and  $B$  is  $\text{Corr}[r_S, r_B] = 0.5$ .

The matrix  $\Sigma$  is in this case

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}[r_S] = 0.04 & \text{Cov}[r_S, r_B] = \text{Vol}[r_S]\text{Vol}[r_B]\text{Corr}[r_S, r_B] = 0.01 \\ \text{Cov}[r_B, r_S] = \text{Vol}[r_B]\text{Vol}[r_S]\text{Corr}[r_B, r_S] = 0.01 & \text{Var}[r_B] = 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the vector of expected return in excess of the risk-free rate is

$$E[r - r_f] = \begin{bmatrix} E[r_S - r_f] = 0.07 \\ E[r_B - r_f] = 0.03 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the formula for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, we obtain

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\text{Var}[r_S]\text{Var}[r_B] - \text{Cov}[r_S, r_B]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}[r_B] & -\text{Cov}[r_S, r_B] \\ -\text{Cov}[r_B, r_S] & \text{Var}[r_S] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, using our formula for the optimal allocation in Equation (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &= \frac{1}{\text{Risk Aversion}} \times \frac{1}{\text{Var}[r_S]\text{Var}[r_B] - \text{Cov}[r_S, r_B]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}[r_B] & -\text{Cov}[r_S, r_B] \\ -\text{Cov}[r_B, r_S] & \text{Var}[r_S] \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} E[r_S - r_f] \\ E[r_B - r_f] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Risk Aversion}} \times \frac{1}{\text{Var}[r_S]\text{Var}[r_B] - \text{Cov}[r_S, r_B]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}[r_B]E[r_S - r_f] - \text{Cov}[r_S, r_B]E[r_B - r_f] \\ \text{Var}[r_S]E[r_B - r_f] - \text{Cov}[r_B, r_S]E[r_S - r_f] \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Deriving a second time confirms that this is a maximum.

Using the expression for correlation  $Corr[r_S, r_B] = \frac{Cov[r_S, r_B]}{\sqrt{Var[r_S] \times Var[r_B]}}$ , note that the expression  $Var[r_S]Var[r_B] - Cov[r_S, r_B]^2$  in the denominator can also be expressed as  $Var[r_S]Var[r_B] (1 - Corr[r_S, r_B]^2)$  as we did in the video.

Therefore, we obtain an optimal allocation to the stock index  $S$  of

$$w_S^* = \frac{0.01 \times 0.07 - 0.01 \times 0.03}{3 \times (0.04 \times 0.01 - 0.01^2)} = 44.44\%,$$

and an optimal allocation to the long-term bond  $B$  of

$$w_B^* = \frac{0.04 \times 0.03 - 0.01 \times 0.07}{3 \times (0.04 \times 0.01 - 0.01^2)} = 55.56\%.$$

Alternatively, using the correlation  $Corr[r_S, r_B] = \frac{Cov[r_S, r_B]}{\sqrt{Var[r_S] \times Var[r_B]}} = \frac{0.01}{\sqrt{0.04 \times 0.01}} = 0.5$ , we obtain an optimal allocation to the stock index  $S$  of

$$w_S^* = \frac{0.01 \times 0.07 - 0.01 \times 0.03}{3 \times (0.04 \times 0.01 \times (1 - 0.5^2))} = 44.44\%,$$

and an optimal allocation to the long-term bond  $B$  of

$$w_B^* = \frac{0.04 \times 0.03 - 0.01 \times 0.07}{3 \times (0.04 \times 0.01 \times (1 - 0.5^2))} = 55.56\%.$$

Finally, the allocation to the risk-free asset is  $100\% - 44.44\% - 55.56\% = 0$ .