To the editors of *Scientific Reports*:

We have enclosed our manuscript entitled *Category-based and location-based volitional covert attention affect memory* at different timescales, which we wish to submit for publication as an Article in *Scientific Reports*.

Our manuscript reports our findings from an experiment designed to study how different aspects of covert attention affect memory. Our experiment comprised two conditions that asked participants to *sustain* or *vary* the focus of their covert attention, respectively, with respect to each of a series of stimuli. Each stimulus comprised a pair of images (one on the left of the screen and the other on the right), and each image comprised an equal blend of a photograph of a face and a photograph of a scene. During each stimulus presentation, we instructed participants to focus in on either the face or scene component of either the left or right image *without moving their eyes*. (This is quite difficult to do naively, so we trained participants to do this quickly and at will using a practice session prior to the main experiment.) We used eye-tracking data to focus in on trials where participants were specifically varying their focus of *covert* attention (i.e., with no change in where they were looking), as opposed to simply moving their eyes to look at the to-be-attended images. We then administered recognition memory tests that asked participants to rate the "familiarity" of attended, unattended, and novel images.

As we had expected, participants in both conditions remembered stimuli they attended to better than unattended stimuli, and they rated both attended and unattended stimuli they had seen as more "familiar" than novel images, during a recognition memory test. In addition, we also found some interesting (unexpected) differences across the two experimental conditions pertaining to how participants remembered "partially attended" stimuli. When participants varied the focus of their attention more often, their memory was more influenced by location-based attention (regardless of which image category they were attending). In contrast, when participants sustained the focus of their attention over longer intervals, their memory was more influenced by category-based attention (regardless of which location they were attending). Our findings highlight a dissociation between how location-based and category-based attention affect memory encoding (and subsequent recognition). Location-based attention appears to affect memory encoding on relatively short timescales by enhancing encoding for stimuli at the attended location. On the other hand, category-based attention appears to affect memory encoding on longer timescales by *suppressing* stimuli from the unattended category.

The impact of attention on memory is of central importance to a wide variety of scientifically and socially current issues. For example, the interplay between attention and memory affects how we remember our ongoing experiences in everyday life, how students learn in the classroom (and which teaching strategies might be most effective), how (or whether) we recognize a face we notice in a crowd and happen to encounter later, and so on. These issues also relate to attention disorders (e.g., ADD, ADHD) and memory disorders (e.g., age-related memory impairment, Alzheimer's, etc.). Discovering the processes that guide how our experiences are encoded into memories can lead to new tools for identifying when those processes are not operating within their expected parameters.

In the spirit of reproducibility and transparency, we have also published and documented all of our experimental stimuli, code, and data, along with the code used to generate the figures and analyses we report in our manuscript. These may be found at https://github.com/ContextLab/attention-memory-task.

Thank you for considering our manuscript for publication in *Scientific Reports*.

Sincerely,

Jeremy R. Manning, Ph.D.