

### **Image 1: Survival Count**

This bar chart shows the count of passengers who survived (orange bar) versus those who did not survive (blue bar) the Titanic disaster. The y-axis represents the count, and the x-axis represents the survival status (0 = did not survive, 1 = survived). The plot clearly indicates that a significantly higher number of passengers did not survive compared to those who did.

### **Image 2: Class Distribution**

This bar chart displays the distribution of passengers across different classes (Pclass in the dataset). The x-axis represents the class (1 = 1st class, 2 = 2nd class, 3 = 3rd class), and the y-axis shows the count of passengers in each class. The plot reveals that the majority of passengers were in the 3rd class, followed by the 1st class, and the least number were in the 2nd class.

### **Image 3: Age Distribution**

This histogram shows the distribution of passenger ages. The x-axis represents the age, and the y-axis displays the count or frequency of passengers in each age group. The distribution appears to be roughly normal (bell-shaped), with the highest frequency around the age of 25-30. There are also smaller peaks around the ages of 20 and 40, possibly indicating multi-generational family groups.

### **Image 4: Fare Distribution**

This histogram illustrates the distribution of fares paid by the passengers. The x-axis represents the fare amount, and the y-axis shows the count or frequency of passengers who paid a particular fare. The distribution is heavily right-skewed, with a large peak at the lower fare values, indicating that most passengers paid relatively low fares. There are also smaller peaks at higher fare amounts, likely representing first-class passengers.

### **Image 5: Embarkation Port Distribution**

This bar chart displays the distribution of passengers based on their embarkation port (where they boarded the ship). The x-axis represents the embarkation port ('S' = Southampton, 'C' = Cherbourg, 'Q' = Queenstown), and the y-axis shows the count of passengers from each port. The plot reveals that the majority of passengers boarded the Titanic from Southampton, with a smaller number from Cherbourg and an even smaller contingent from Queenstown.

These visualizations provide insights into various characteristics of the Titanic passengers, such as their survival rates, socioeconomic class, age distribution, fare payments, and embarkation locations. Such analyses can help identify potential factors that may have influenced survival rates and inform historical studies or future emergency response planning.

**Image 6** shows the survival count by passenger class (Pclass), revealing that more passengers from higher classes (1 and 2) survived compared to those in the lower class (3).

**Image 7** displays the survival count by sex, indicating that more females survived than males.

**Image 8** presents the age distribution by passenger class and survival status, suggesting that passengers in higher classes and younger passengers had higher survival rates.

**Image 9** plots age against fare paid, colored by survival status. It shows that passengers who paid higher fares (likely those in higher classes) and younger passengers had a better chance of survival.

**Image 10** is a Pairplot, which provides a comprehensive overview of the relationships between various features in the dataset, such as Passenger ID, Age, Sibsp (number of siblings/spouses aboard), Parch (number of parents/children aboard), and Fare. It allows for a visual inspection of the distribution of each feature and how they relate to each other and to the survival status.

The visualizations collectively highlight the influence of factors like passenger class, sex, age, and fare paid on the chances of survival during the Titanic disaster. They provide valuable insights into the dataset and can aid in further analysis or modeling tasks related to understanding the factors that impacted survival rates.

1. **Passenger Class (Pclass) Influence:** Image 1 clearly shows that passengers from higher classes (1 and 2) had significantly higher survival rates compared to those in the lower class (3). This suggests that socioeconomic status played a crucial role in determining survival chances.
2. **Gender Bias:** Image 2 reveals a stark contrast in survival rates between males and females. Females had a much higher likelihood of survival compared to males, indicating a gender bias in the evacuation process, possibly following the "women and children first" protocol.
3. **Age as a Factor:** Image 3 and Image 4 both highlight the impact of age on survival. Younger passengers, especially children, had higher chances of survival compared to older passengers. This could be attributed to the prioritization of evacuating women and children during the disaster.
4. **Fare and Socioeconomic Status:** Image 4 shows a positive correlation between the fare paid (likely indicative of higher socioeconomic status) and survival rates. Passengers who paid higher fares, potentially those in higher classes, had better chances of survival.
5. **Relationships between Features:** The Pairplot (Image 5) provides a comprehensive overview of the relationships between various features in the dataset. It allows for visual inspection of feature distributions and how they relate to each other and the survival status. For example, the plot shows that passengers with fewer siblings/spouses aboard (lower SibSp) and fewer parents/children aboard (lower Parch) had slightly higher survival rates.

Overall, the visualizations highlight the significant influence of factors like passenger class, gender, age, and socioeconomic status (indicated by fare paid) on the chances of survival during the Titanic disaster. These insights can be valuable for further analysis, modeling, and understanding the dynamics and biases that played a role in this tragic event.

#### **Image 11 (Correlation Heatmap):**

This is a correlation heatmap showing the relationships between different variables in the dataset. Darker red indicates a strong positive correlation, while darker blue indicates a strong negative correlation. It can be used to identify potential relationships or patterns between variables that may warrant further investigation.

**Inference:** Passenger ID and Age have a moderately positive correlation, while Fare has a weak positive correlation with Age, SibSp (Number of siblings/spouses aboard), and Parch (Number of parents/children aboard). However, Fare has a moderate negative correlation with Sex, indicating a potential difference in fares between genders.

#### **Image 12 (Survival Rate by Age):**

This bar chart visualizes the number of passengers who survived or didn't survive based on their age. It can help identify age groups with higher or lower survival rates.

**Inference:** The chart shows a higher survival rate for children and teenagers, with the survival rate decreasing as age increases, particularly for those over 60 years old. This could be due to factors like prioritizing women and children during evacuation or physical limitations of older passengers.

#### **Image 13 (Survival Rate by Fare):**

This chart displays the survival rate based on the fare paid by passengers. It can reveal potential relationships between socioeconomic status and survival chances. **Inference:** Passengers who paid higher fares had a higher survival rate compared to those who paid lower fares. This could be attributed to factors like better access to lifeboats or accommodations for wealthier passengers.

**Image 14 (Survival Rate by Sex and Class):** This grouped bar chart shows the survival rates for males and females across different passenger classes (1st, 2nd, and 3rd). **Inference:** Females had a significantly higher survival rate than males in all three passenger classes, with the disparity being most pronounced in the 3rd class. This could be due to the "women and children first" protocol followed during the evacuation.

**Image 15 (Age Distribution by Sex and Survival Status):** This chart displays the age distribution of male and female passengers, separated by whether they survived or not, using violin plots.

**Inference:** The age distributions for surviving and non-surviving passengers are quite different. Non-surviving passengers (both male and female) tended to be older, while surviving passengers were generally younger. This reinforces the pattern where younger passengers had higher survival rates. Overall, these visualizations highlight factors like age, gender, socioeconomic status, and passenger class that influenced survival rates during the Titanic disaster. They provide insights into the demographics and potential biases or protocols followed during the evacuation process. This graph is a box plot that shows the distribution of the fare paid by passengers on the Titanic, grouped by their embarkation port (S, C, or Q) and their survival status (0 for not survived, 1 for survived). A box plot is used to visualize the distribution of a numerical variable across different categories or groups. In this case, it allows us to compare the fare distribution for different embarkation ports and survival outcomes.

**The key inferences from this graph are:**

1. There is a significant difference in the fare distribution between those who survived and those who did not survive, particularly for passengers who embarked at Southampton (S) and Cherbourg (C). The median fare for survivors is notably higher than for non-survivors in these groups, suggesting that passengers who paid higher fares had a better chance of survival.
2. For passengers who embarked at Queenstown (Q), the fare distribution is more similar between survivors and non-survivors, indicating that the fare paid may have had less of an impact on survival chances for this group.
3. The presence of outliers (represented by the dots) in the graph shows that there were some passengers who paid exceptionally high fares, especially among the survivors.
4. The interquartile range (the length of the box) and the whiskers (the vertical lines extending from the box) provide information about the spread and variability of the fare distribution within each group.

The most important inference from this graph is that the fare paid by passengers was a significant factor in determining their survival chances on the Titanic. Higher fare-paying passengers, who likely had better accommodations and easier access to lifeboats, had a better chance of surviving the disaster. This highlights the socioeconomic disparity that existed during that time and the potential influence of wealth on survival outcomes in such catastrophic events.