

Assessing neural computations supporting memory in 4-year-olds using a latent variable approach

Work in progress

Hunor Kis^{1,2,3}, Borbála Brosig^{2,3}, Éva Kovaliczký^{2,3}, Patricia Dávid^{2,3}, Anna Horgonyi^{2,3}, Boróka Ferencz^{2,3}, Petra Kóródi^{1,3}, Zsófia Berke^{1,3}, Markus Werkle-Bergner⁴, Chi T. Ngo⁴, Ildikó Király², Attila Keresztes^{3,2}

1. Institute of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary; 2. Doctoral School of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary; 3. Brain Imaging Centre, HUN-REN Research Centre For Natural Sciences, Budapest, Hungary, 4. Center for Lifespan Psychology, Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany

:kis.hunor@ttk.hu
 :@kishun97

1. INTRODUCTION

Two distinct neural computations performed by the hippocampus contribute differentially to behavioral memory outcomes [1].

Pattern separation (PS)—supported by the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus—is a computation that transforms highly similar representations into non-overlapping representations, supporting specificity of memories [2].

Pattern completion (PC)—supported by the cornu ammonis 3 (CA3) of the hippocampus—is a computation that restores degraded or incomplete representations based on previously stored information, supporting generalization [3].





Differential development of PS and PC drives the shift from a focus on abstracting regularities through experience to remembering unique events in early ontogeny [4].

2. METHODS



- First wave of a 3-year longitudinal study of 4–6-year-old children.
- Participants: 131 four-year-olds (mean age = 54.2 months, SD = 3.02; 62 female).
- No direct task exists to measure Pattern Separation (PS) and Pattern Completion (PC) → modeled as latent variables using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM).
- Compared a two-factor PC-PS model with a one-factor memory model.
- Hippocampal segmentation from MRI scans was performed to examine neural correlates of task performance.
- Hypothesis: In 4-year-old children, a latent memory structure can be identified, with a two-factor model providing a better explanation of individual differences than a one-factor model.

3. TASK BATTERY AND RESULTS


Pattern separation tasks and results



Pattern completion tasks and results



4. TASK CORRELATIONS





Variable Selection and Factor Structure

Based on the correlation matrix, none of the Primacy Task (PT) variables correlated significantly with other indicators and were excluded. For the Holistic Recollection Task, we retained the primary variable (HR_dependency). In the Mnemonic Similarity Task, the primary variable (MST_lure_discrimination_index) showed no significant correlations; therefore, we used the secondary measure (MST_recognition_score).


The resulting two-factor structure was:

PS <→ LLT (loclearning), MST (mst_r), EM (em_total)

PC <→ MIC (mic), HR (horrec_dep), CR (cuedrecall)




5. HIPPOCAMPAL SEGMENTATION PROGRESS



Manual and automated segmentation of high-resolution PD images ($0.4 \times 0.4 \times 2$ mm)
• Longitudinal analyses of target subfields changes using latent change score model
• Ongoing development of a child HC atlas, separating CA1-CA3-DG [5] (for more information check poster T41).

6. STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING


two-factor PC-PS model



Model Fit parameters: CFI = 1.058; RMSEA = 0.00

Key Findings: 1. Both the one-factor and two-factor models showed excellent fit to the data based on CFI and RMSEA values, which were above the recommended cutoffs.
2. The Chi-square difference test was used to compare the nested models. The one-factor model demonstrated a significantly better fit ($\chi^2(1) = 16.856$; $p < 0.001$), indicating it is the statistically preferred model.

one-factor memory model



Model Fit parameters: CFI = 1.08; RMSEA = 0.00

1. The findings suggest that memory-related computations may potentially be assessed in 4-year-old children using a latent variable modeling approach.

2. Both the two-factor PC-PS model and the one-factor memory model fit the data; however, Chi-square comparisons indicated that the one-factor memory model provides the best fit.

3. Preliminary hippocampal segmentation analyses further suggest a potential link between behavioral performance and hippocampal subfield volume.

8. REFERENCES

- Merr, D. (1971). Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 292, 23–81.
- Baker, A., Kirwan, C. B., Miller, M., & Stark, C. E. L. (2008). Pattern Separation in the Human Hippocampus CA3 and Dentate Gyrus. Science, 319(5870), 1640–1642.
- Keresztes, A., Nagy, C. T., Werkle-Bergner, M., & Kovács, N. S. (2018). Hippocampal Maturation Drives Memory from Generalization to Specificity. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(9), 676–688.
- Daugherty, A., Canada, K., Kádman, G., Brown, T. T., Augenstein, J. C., Amante, K., Bakker, A., Berens, D., Burggren, A., Chélatek, G., de Flores, R., Ding, S.-L., Dong, Y., Elgouachi, R., Hansen, E. C., Kamei, T., Karwowski, A., Nandi, O., Le Jeune, R., Lee, J., Mazzoni, P., McNaughton, B., O’Reilly, C., Pavlakou, D., Paus, C., Paus, R., Paus, T., Peng, J., Pichot, S., Poldrack, R. A., Price, C., Reiss, J., Ross, J., Saito, S., Shuai, J., Stoeck, T. M., Wang, X., Wu, J., Yang, Y., Yakhnitsa, P., & Carr, V. (2023). Reliable consensus protocol to segment subfields within the hippocampal body in high-resolution *in vivo* MRI from the Hippocampal Subfields Group. Program No. P714. Annual Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Washington, D.C.

This research was funded by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (FK1404698) and a Max Planck Partner Group to A.K. from the Max Planck Society. A.K. was supported by the Bolyai János Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. H.K. was supported by the support program announced by the Local Council of Saint George for students defending their dissertations in 2028.