

Mechanics II

Kishal Bipin Tandel, 23MS115

October 21, 2024

Q. 1

Let S be the frame in which the particle's velocity and acceleration is \vec{u} and \vec{a} respectively. Let S' be the instantaneously co-moving frame in which the particle's acceleration is \vec{a}_0 . Let a_{\parallel} and a_{\perp} be the acceleration components along the particle's velocity \vec{u} and perpendicular to the particle's velocity \vec{u} respectively w.r.t an observer in frame S . Similarly let $a_{\parallel,0}$ and $a_{\perp,0}$ be the components of acceleration \vec{a}_0 along the particle's velocity \vec{u} and perpendicular to the particle's velocity \vec{u} respectively w.r.t an observer in frame S' . The angle between vectors \vec{u} and \vec{a} is θ .

The acceleration components in the two frames are related by the following equations:

$$a'_x = \frac{a_x}{[\gamma(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2})]^3} , \quad a'_y = \frac{a_y}{[\gamma(1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2})]^2} + \frac{u_y v a_x}{c^2 \gamma^2 (1 - \frac{u_x v}{c^2})^3}$$

Since S' is an ICF and we are taking acceleration components along the direction of particle's velocity and perpendicular to particle's velocity in S frame, we have, $a'_x = a_{\parallel,0}$, $a_x = a_{\parallel} = a \cos \theta$, $\gamma = \gamma_u$, $u_x = v = u$, $a'_y = a_{\perp,0}$, $a_y = a_{\perp} = a \sin \theta$, $u_y = 0$. Substituting these in the above equations, we get:

$$a_{\parallel,0} = \frac{a \cos \theta}{[\gamma_u (1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2})]^3} , \quad a_{\perp,0} = \frac{a \sin \theta}{[\gamma_u (1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2})]^2}$$

where $\gamma_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}}}$. On Simplifying, we get:

$$a_{\parallel,0} = \gamma_u^3 a \cos \theta , \quad a_{\perp,0} = \gamma_u^2 a \sin \theta$$

The magnitude of \vec{a}_0 is given by:

$$a_0 = \sqrt{(a_{\parallel,0})^2 + (a_{\perp,0})^2} = \gamma_u^2 \sqrt{\gamma_u^2 \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta} a = \gamma_u^3 \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + (1 - \beta_u^2) \sin^2 \theta} a$$

where $\beta_u = \frac{u}{c}$. Since $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1$, the above equation could be simplified as:

$$a_0 = \gamma_u^3 \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta - \beta_u^2 \sin^2 \theta} a = \gamma_u^3 \sqrt{1 - \beta_u^2 \sin^2 \theta} a$$

Hence Proved.

Q. 2

Let \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}' be the space-time coordinates for observer S and S' respectively. Given that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}' = L\tilde{X}$ where Lorentz Transformation matrix L is given as:

$$L = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 125 & -48 & -60 & 75 \\ -75 & 80 & 36 & -45 \\ -60 & 0 & 80 & -36 \\ 48 & 0 & 0 & 80 \end{pmatrix}$$

With respect to observer S , the 4-covector A_μ is given as:

$$A_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let the 4-covector w.r.t. observer S' be A'_μ . The 4-vector in a particular frame is related to the 4-covector in the same frame by $A^\mu = \eta A_\mu$ where Minkowski Metric η is given as:

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, w.r.t. observer S , the 4-vector A^μ is given by:

$$A^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since 4-vectors transform the same way as coordinates do, we have $A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu = LA^\mu$, where A'^μ is the 4-vector w.r.t. observer S' .

$$\Rightarrow A'^\mu = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 125 & -48 & -60 & 75 \\ -75 & 80 & 36 & -45 \\ -60 & 0 & 80 & -36 \\ 48 & 0 & 0 & 80 \end{pmatrix} A^\mu = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 125 & -48 & -60 & 75 \\ -75 & 80 & 36 & -45 \\ -60 & 0 & 80 & -36 \\ 48 & 0 & 0 & 80 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $A'^\mu = \eta A'_\mu$, we have:

$$\eta A'_\mu = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 125 & -48 & -60 & 75 \\ -75 & 80 & 36 & -45 \\ -60 & 0 & 80 & -36 \\ 48 & 0 & 0 & 80 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, pre-multiplying both side by η^{-1} and noting that $\eta^{-1} = \eta$, we have:

$$A'_\mu = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 125 & -48 & -60 & 75 \\ -75 & 80 & 36 & -45 \\ -60 & 0 & 80 & -36 \\ 48 & 0 & 0 & 80 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow A'_\mu &= \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 553 \\ -383 \\ -140 \\ 240 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow A'_\mu &= \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 553 \\ 383 \\ 140 \\ -240 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the components of the 4-covector as measured by observer S' are given by A'_μ .

Q. 3 Part(a)

A Lorentz transformation matrix L obeys:

$$L^T \eta L = \eta \quad (1)$$

Since L_1 and L_2 are given to be Lorentz transformation matrices, we have:

$$L_1^T \eta L_1 = \eta \quad (2)$$

$$L_2^T \eta L_2 = \eta \quad (3)$$

Now we substitute $L_1 L_2$ in place of L in L.H.S of Eqn(1) and compute it to check if it is a Lorentz transformation matrix. Therefore we have:

$$(L_1 L_2)^T \eta (L_1 L_2) = L_2^T L_1^T \eta L_1 L_2 \quad (4)$$

Using Eqn(2), R.H.S of Eqn(4) can be written as:

$$(L_1 L_2)^T \eta (L_1 L_2) = L_2^T \eta L_2 \quad (5)$$

Using Eqn(3), R.H.S of Eqn(5) can be written as:

$$(L_1 L_2)^T \eta (L_1 L_2) = \eta \quad (6)$$

From Eqn(1), Eqn(2), Eqn(3) and Eqn(6), we conclude that if L_1 and L_2 are Lorentz Transformation matrices then $L_1 L_2$ is also a Lorentz Transformation matrix.

Hence Proved.

Part(b)

Given that:

$$\det(L_1) = 1, \quad \det(L_2) = 1 \quad (7)$$

Applying determinant on both sides of Eqn(6), we get:

$$\det((L_1 L_2)^T \eta L_1 L_2) = \det(\eta) \quad (8)$$

$$\Rightarrow \det((L_1 L_2)^T) \det(\eta) \det(L_1 L_2) = \det(\eta)$$

Since $\det((L_1 L_2)^T) = \det(L_1 L_2)$ and $\det(\eta) \neq 0$, we have from the above Equation:

$$(\det(L_1 L_2))^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \det(L_1 L_2) = \pm 1 \Rightarrow \det(L_1) \det(L_2) = \pm 1 \quad (9)$$

Using Eqn(7) in Eqn(9) we neglect negative value.

$$\Rightarrow \det(L_1) \det(L_2) = +1 \Rightarrow \det(L_1 L_2) = +1$$

Hence proved.

Part(c)

We first prove some identities which we would be using for our proof. Let L be a general Lorentz Transformation matrix. Then we have:

$$\eta = (L)^T \eta (L) \quad (10)$$

Writing Eqn(10) in component form, we have:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\rho,\sigma} (L)_{\mu\rho}^T \eta_{\rho\sigma} (L)_{\sigma\nu} = \eta_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda^\rho{}_\mu \Lambda^\sigma{}_\nu \quad (11)$$

where we have used the fact that $(L)_{\mu\rho}^T = (L)_{\rho\mu}$. Note that $\eta_{\rho\sigma} = 0$ for all $\rho \neq \sigma$ and $\rho, \sigma \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Taking the 00-th element of $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda^\rho{}_\mu \Lambda^\sigma{}_\nu$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \eta_{00} &= \eta_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda^\rho{}_0 \Lambda^\sigma{}_0 = (\Lambda^0{}_0)^2 - (\Lambda^1{}_0)^2 - (\Lambda^2{}_0)^2 - (\Lambda^3{}_0)^2 \\ \implies (\Lambda^1{}_0)^2 + (\Lambda^2{}_0)^2 + (\Lambda^3{}_0)^2 &= (\Lambda^0{}_0)^2 - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We would be using Eqn(12) for our proof later.

Let us define $A = L_1 L_2$. Accordingly, we use notation such that $A^\mu{}_\nu$, $(L_1)^\mu{}_\nu$ and $(L_2)^\mu{}_\nu$ denotes the μ -th row, ν -th column element of A , L_1 and L_2 respectively where $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

We define :

$$\vec{l}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (L_1)^0{}_1 \\ (L_1)^0{}_2 \\ (L_1)^0{}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{l}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} (L_2)^1{}_0 \\ (L_2)^2{}_0 \\ (L_2)^3{}_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A^0{}_0 &= (L_1)^0{}_0 (L_2)^0{}_0 + \vec{l}_1 \cdot \vec{l}_2 \\ \implies A^0{}_0 &\geq (L_1)^0{}_0 (L_2)^0{}_0 - |\vec{l}_1 \cdot \vec{l}_2| \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now using Eqn(12) we can calculate the norm of \vec{l}_1 and \vec{l}_2 as follows:

$$\|\vec{l}_1\| = \sqrt{((L_1)^1{}_0)^2 + ((L_1)^2{}_0)^2 + ((L_1)^3{}_0)^2} = \sqrt{((L_1)^0{}_0)^2 - 1} \quad (15)$$

$$\|\vec{l}_2\| = \sqrt{((L_2)^1{}_0)^2 + ((L_2)^2{}_0)^2 + ((L_2)^3{}_0)^2} = \sqrt{((L_2)^0{}_0)^2 - 1} \quad (16)$$

Now using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have:

$$|\vec{l}_1 \cdot \vec{l}_2| \leq \|\vec{l}_1\| \|\vec{l}_2\| \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eqn(17) in Eqn(14), we get:

$$A^0{}_0 \geq (L_1)^0{}_0 (L_2)^0{}_0 - \|\vec{l}_1\| \|\vec{l}_2\| \quad (18)$$

Since it is given that $(L_1)^0{}_0 > 1$ and $(L_2)^0{}_0 > 1$, we use the inequality, $\sqrt{x^2 - 1} > x - 1 \quad \forall x > 1$ in Eqn(15) and Eqn(16) to get:

$$\|\vec{l}_1\| > (L_1)^0{}_0 - 1 \quad (19)$$

$$\|\vec{l}_2\| > (L_2)^0{}_0 - 1 \quad (20)$$

Note that $(L_1)^0_0 - 1 > 0$ and $(L_2)^0_0 - 1 > 0$. Multiplying Eqn(19) and Eqn(20), we get:

$$\|\vec{l}_1\| \|\vec{l}_2\| > ((L_1)^0_0 - 1)((L_2)^0_0 - 1) \quad (21)$$

$$\implies \|\vec{l}_1\| \|\vec{l}_2\| > (L_1)^0_0 (L_2)^0_0 - (L_1)^0_0 - (L_2)^0_0 + 1 \quad (22)$$

Substituting Eqn(22) in Eqn(18), we get:

$$A^0_0 > (L_1)^0_0 (L_2)^0_0 - [(L_1)^0_0 (L_2)^0_0 - (L_1)^0_0 - (L_2)^0_0 + 1] \quad (23)$$

$$\implies A^0_0 > (L_1)^0_0 + (L_2)^0_0 - 1 \quad (24)$$

Since $(L_1)^0_0 > 1$ and $(L_2)^0_0 > 1$, Eqn(24) becomes:

$$A^0_0 > 2 - 1$$

$$\implies A^0_0 > 1$$

Therefore if Lorentz transformation matrices L_1 and L_2 have 0-0 components greater than 1 then $L_1 L_2$ also has 0-0 component greater than 1.

Hence proved.

Remark:

Here I prove one non-standard inequality which I simply stated while solving Q. 3 Part(c). The inequality was:

$$\sqrt{x^2 - 1} > x - 1 \quad \forall x > 1 \quad (25)$$

Proof(by contradiction):

Let us assume that Eqn(25) is not true. Then $\exists x > 1$ such that following holds:

$$\sqrt{x^2 - 1} \leq x - 1 \quad (26)$$

Since $x > 1$, both L.H.S and R.H.S of Eqn(26) are positive. On squaring Eqn(26), we get:

$$x^2 - 1 \leq x^2 + 1 - 2x$$

$$\implies -2 \leq -2x$$

$$\implies x \leq 1$$

which is a contradiction to our assumption that $\exists x > 1$ such that Eqn(26) holds. Hence our initial assumption was wrong and thus the inequality written as Eqn(25) holds.

Hence proved.