# Strategy Report for LiquiMaker

## How I Explored the Dataset

To build a reliable liquidity strategy, I began by analyzing the order book data using pandas. I explored:

- The structure of buy and sell orders over time.
- The tightness of the bid-ask spread.
- How often the best bid and best ask changed.
- The frequency of trade executions near the top of the book.

From this, I learned:

- The market typically operates with a small spread (less than or equal to 4 ticks).
- Trades usually happen at or very close to the best bid or ask.
- Providing liquidity near these levels could be consistently profitable.

# What Inspired My Strategy

This strategy was driven by the idea of:

- Acting as a passive liquidity provider rather than a directional trader.
- Capturing the spread by placing limit orders at the best bid and ask.
- Managing inventory to reduce exposure risk.
- Taking advantage of a consistently tight spread in the order book.

The approach focuses on making small profits from market microstructure imbalances rather than predicting price trends.

### Core Logic of the Algorithm

The logic behind **LiquiMaker** works as follows:

- Determine the best bid and best ask from the order book.
- Compute the spread.
- If the spread is small (4), we place:
  - A buy order of 10 units at the best bid, if we are below our max long limit.
  - A sell order of 10 units at the best ask, if we are below our max short limit.
- Order sizes are adjusted automatically to prevent exceeding the max position of  $\pm 50$  units.

This allows the trader to:

- Passively collect spread profits.
- Stay within risk-managed inventory bounds.
- Exploit frequent spread-closing opportunities with minimal market impact.

### Experiments, Variations, and Insights

During development, I tested the following:

- Varying the spread threshold (tested from 2 to 6 ticks).
- Changing order sizes (5, 10, 20 units).
- Experimenting with adaptive order sizes based on proximity to max position.

#### **Insights:**

- A 4-tick spread threshold offers a sweet spot tight enough to ensure execution but wide enough to be profitable.
- A constant order size of 10 is simple and effective, though dynamic sizing may help in volatile markets.
- Staying within position limits is essential exceeding limits results in directional exposure and risk.

## Next Steps

Future enhancements could include:

- Dynamically adjusting order size based on inventory skew.
- Incorporating recent trade history to adjust aggressiveness.
- Adding a volatility estimator to adjust spread thresholds adaptively.