

Instructor: **Lee, Jeongkyu**Subject: **CS**Catalog & Section: **5200 17**Course ID: **20285**

Objectives:

Enrollment: **44**Responses Incl Declines: **43**Declines: **0**

## Instructor Related Questions: Jeongkyu Lee (49 comments)

**Q: What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?**

- 1 The instructor is careful and I learned a lot
- 2 The professor is really organized and is well prepared to class
- 3 professor is professional
- 4 Professor Lee is an expert in database and he explain the foundations so well. And he would like to update teaching materials to catch up with the trend such as LLM model.
- 5 Lee is very responsible and helpful
- 6 /
- 7 The professor is really professional and energetic.
- 8 Very informative and engaging Goes above and beyond to teach
- 9 Professor Lee is very responsible and thoughtful for students.
- 10 Professor really know the stuff and teach well.
- 11 Passion in teaching.
- 12 Good materials
- 13 The course has a set of homework to help deeply understand the class materials, and an extra SQL workshop for beginners.
- 14 The instructor is always ready to help and well prepared for the classes.
- 15 The teacher has much knowledge and experince in database
- 16 Instructor is really devoted
- 17 Professor Lee came to class very prepared and used different formats (whiteboard, game, projects) to help us with learning. The learning experience is very fulfilling. He is very responsive in answering students' questions in Piazza and in class.
- 18 lots of material and content
- 19 Passionate, he put thoughts into teaching.

**Q: What could the instructor do to make this course better?**



- 1 All Good
- 2 I would say it would really help if the professor can always provide recording for lectures
- 3 make slides concise and "powerpoint"
- 4 talk slower
- 5 I think lab could be led by TA in lab hours (in person) so the lecture could be more focus on in depth materials
- 6 na
- 7 /
- 8 It is a good course
- 9 N/A
- 10 Maybe make the project easier.
- 11 Slides could be more informative and updated.
- 12 make it easy
- 13 The evaluation and feedback from the TAs are not clear.
- 14 The course projects should be closer to the database, such as building a database from the bottom instead of building a website.
- 15 The project scope is so massive yet not so many support are provided, I think maybe add web development to prerequisite would be better
- 16 spend more time on leture notes rather then hand drawing whiteboard
- 17 The evaluation format is too rigid. There are multiple times I am evaluated unfairly using rule that is not in the rubrics. For example, there's no mention in the assignment instruction or rubric about lab sql submission. Sole based on that I got 5 marks deducted out of 10. He only mentioned it in the lecture; you have to review the slide to find what he mentioned. Instruction are all over the place (canvas, piazza, teams, lecture, some times contradicting)make it very difficult for students to follow.
- 18 add more real life industry cases

Q: Please expand on the instructor’s strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitat ing inclusive learning.

- 1 All Good
- 2 N/A
- 3 The professor also have a lot of out side resources and is really good.
- 4 Please choose TAs who fully understand everything about the course, syllabus, requirements, and most importantly, the course materials.
- 5 details
- 6 None
- 7 maybe can teach some database knowledge in industry
- 8 This course is well designed, and challenging, it will be more helpful, if students can get extra materials about project from the very beginning.
- 9 na
- 10 information needs to be aligned through all materials. If this is hard to do stop using Teams for communication. Use Canvas and Piazza only. Assignment instruction should be the only source of truth for evaluation of assignment. There should not be multiple source of truth.
- 11 /
- 12 Very hard working and dedicated

Questions to Assess Students’ Online Experience (13 comments)

Q: Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.



- 1 ALL GOOD ★★★★★
- 2 not bad ★★★★★
- 3 good ★★★★★
- 4 na ★★★★★
- 5 / ★★★★★
- 6 This is a great course,I really like professor Lee. But weekly homework lab workshop project is way too much work. Can't find time to do any leetcode questions or interviews. ★★★★★
- 7 Professor Lee is very thoughtful and always has all the online materials ready and well-organized for students. ★★★★★
- 8 Lots of interactions in class that helps us navigate the class ★★★★★
- 9 Good ★★★★★
- 10 The final project would be too hard to do on my own from scratch if not with the help of my teammates. ★★★★★
- 11 Good ★★★★★
- 12 The lecturer rarely provides online delivery format ★★★★★
- 13 good ★★★★★

Student Self-Assessment of their Effort to Achieve Course Outcomes (14 comments)

**Q: What I could have done to make this course better for myself.**

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | ALL GOOD  |
| 2  | make detailed explanation   |
| 3  | Learn in advance, and ask more questions  |
| 4  | na  |
| 5  | /   |
| 6  | If there is a project, please don't give us any final exam :) too much work.  |
| 7  | It is a really good course  |
| 8  | N/A   |
| 9  | Learning the Django to create the web application   |
| 10 | Make it easy  |
| 11 | The course projects should be closer to the database, such as building a database from the bottom instead of building a website.  |
| 12 | devote more time to review course materials before class  |
| 13 | Review Cavans, Piazza, Teams more frequently  |
| 14 | I think the lab and homework should not be marked as 10 point because if a very small mistake will take 1 point off which is 10%, |