Feedback and Grading Rubric for Skeletal Increment   *team-name*

	Score*	Comment
Subsystems designed and present as described in SRS		
Messaging is implemented and demonstrated between subsystems as defined in SRS		
Demonstration of working architecture (chat or communications demos between subsystems is sufficient)		
Amount of apparent effort		
Presentation quality and value to stakeholders		
Average		Refer to the assignment and speak to/demonstrate what's asked for in the delivered increment. For Skeletal this is: • Validation of the architecture. This is the framework upon which you will build your application. Show and explain the interactions between the subsystems. • All subsystems are defined and their requirements are specified in SRS (Software Requirements Specification) document. • All messages between subsystems are defined in the SRS. • We don't expect much application logic to be included in this increment. • Stubs and drivers may be needed for testing. • Demonstration of working architecture. This may be accomplished by sending chat messages between subsystems. • • From here, the individual subsystems may be developed independently. We expect a narrated video. Presenting code or code-walkthroughs are not appropriate for increments. Demonstrate working features and use tools from the project plan to convey status and corrective actions (schedule updates, risk plan, features to be implemented, etc.)

*Score	Description	Grade equivalent
10	Outstanding. Exceeds expectations.	A+
9	Meets expectations.	A
8	Mostly meets expectations. Room for improvement.	B
7	Mostly misses expectations.	C
6	Poor.	F