

# 1 Regularity and Completeness of Measures

**Definition 1.1.** Given a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , we say that the measure  $\mu$  (or the measure space itself) is complete if for any  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

**Example 1.1.** The measure space  $(X, M_{\mu^*}, \mu^*)$  mentioned in ?? is complete.

Given a set  $X$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we could complete the space through a completion process.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space with  $\mu$  a measure on the pair, the completion of  $\mu$  is the collection of subsets  $A$  of the form:

$$E \subseteq A \subseteq F$$

for  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . Denote this collection as  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

*Remark 1.* Note that any subset  $A \subseteq E$  where  $\mu(E) = 0$  immediately implies that  $E \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

We must check that this construction does not depend on the choice of  $E, F$  as above and thus the completion measure will be well-defined. We see that, by definition,  $\mu(E) = \mu(F)$ . Also, we see that  $\mu(F) = \sup\{\mu(B) | B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subseteq F\}$ . By symmetry,  $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(B) | B \in \mathcal{A}, E \subseteq B\}$ . Thus, we see that the choice of sets above does not affect the completion construction and we can attempt to extend the measure  $\bar{\mu} : \mathcal{A}_\mu \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(A) = \mu(F) = \mu(E)$ . It now suffices to prove that this indeed is a measure on  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable set and let  $\mu$  be a measure on the space. Using Definition 1.2, the extended measure  $\bar{\mu} : \mathcal{A}_{\mu,u} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is indeed a complete measure on  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  which is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,  $\bar{\mu} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu$ .

*Proof.* We first proof that  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\mu$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ . Given two  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ , there exist  $E_A, E_B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $F_A, F_B \in \mathcal{A}$  following the definition given in 1.2 respectively. Since  $A \setminus B \subseteq (F_A \setminus E_A) \setminus (F_B \setminus E_B) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu((F_A \setminus E_A) \setminus (F_B \setminus E_B)) = 0$ . Next, suppose we had a countable family  $\{A_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\mu$ . Let  $\{F_i\}, \{E_i\}$  be the sets mentioned in 1.2 respective by index. Then it follows that:

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_i \setminus E_i)$$

Thus,

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum \mu(F_i \setminus E_i) = 0$$

and it follows that  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\bar{\mu}$  is measure by the properties endowed by  $\mu$ .

1.  $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$
2. Given a countable disjoint family  $\{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}_\mu$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(\bigcup_i A_i) = \mu(\bigcup_i E_i) = \sum_i \mu(E_i)$  since  $E_i \subseteq A_i$  by our notation in Definition 1.2.

Completeness immediately follows from the construction of  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ . □

Similar to our definition of an outer measure on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , we can define an inner measure as follows:

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Define the inner measure  $\mu_* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  as follows:

$$\mu_*(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_I \mu(B_i) \mid \{B_i\}_I \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A, I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

In light of the set  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ , we can find conditions in which the inner and outer measures coincide:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $A \subset X$  such that  $\mu^*(A) < \infty$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$  if and only if  $\mu^*(A) = \mu_*(A)$ .

*Proof.* If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ , by our remark above and 1.1, it immediately follows that  $\mu^*(A) = \mu_*(A)$ . Conversely, if  $\mu^*(A) = \mu_*(A)$  there exists a countable families  $\{E_i\}_{I_1}, \{F_i\}_{I_2}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I_1} \mu(E_i) &< \mu_*(A) + \epsilon \\ \sum_{I_2} \mu(F_i) &< \mu^*(A) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mu(\bigcup_{I_2} F_i \setminus \bigcup_{I_1} E_i) < 2\epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . Hence, taking countable unions of such families for every  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{n}$ , there exists  $E \subseteq A \subseteq F$  such that  $\mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . □

**Definition 1.4.** An outer measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called regular if for any  $A \subset X$ , there exists a  $\mu$ -measurable set  $B \subset X$  such that  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Given an finite regular outer measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ , for all  $A \subset X$ ,  $A$  is  $\mu$ -measurable if and only if  $\mu(A) = \mu(A) + \mu(X \setminus A)$ .