# Problems in Atiyah's Commutative Algebra

Edward Kim

August 18, 2018

### 1 Extensions and Contractions

Let  $A \to B$  be a ring homomorphism. For an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{a})$  is not an ideal in general. Consider the embedding  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , and take f((m)) for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In general, for any  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $qf(m) \notin (f((m)))$ . To complete the image, we take the extension  $\mathfrak{a}^e$  to be the ideal generated by  $f(\mathfrak{a})$  in B. We define the contraction of an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}^c$  in B to be  $f^{-1}(B)$  which is always an ideal in A.

## 1.1 Properties of Extensions and Contractions

The following operations are closed under extensions and contractions. Let  $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the radical of ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

1. 
$$(\mathfrak{a}_1 + \mathfrak{a}_2)^e = Bf(\mathfrak{a}_1 + \mathfrak{a}_2) = Bf(\mathfrak{a}_1) + Bf(\mathfrak{a}_2) = \mathfrak{a}_1^e + \mathfrak{a}_2^e$$

- 2.  $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)^e \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1^e \cap \mathfrak{a}_2^e$ . Easily follows since if  $x \in (\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)^e$ , then  $x \in \mathfrak{a}_1^e$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{a}_2)^e$ . Howeverm, the converse is generally not true.  $Let\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$  be the natural embedding and let  $\mathfrak{a} = (p_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = (p_2)$  for two primes  $p_1, p_2$ . Then  $\phi(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \phi(\mathfrak{b})$  (How about the example with the map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $\mathfrak{a} = (2)$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = (5)$ ?)
- 3.  $(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2)^e = Bf(\mathfrak{ab}) = Bf(\mathfrak{a})f(\mathfrak{b}) = Bf(\mathfrak{a})Bf(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{a}^e\mathfrak{b}^e$
- 4.  $(\mathfrak{a}:\mathfrak{b})^e \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}^e:\mathfrak{b}^e)$  ( Let  $y \in (\mathfrak{a}:\mathfrak{b})$ , then  $Bf(y)f(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq f(\mathfrak{a})$ )
- 5.  $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})^e \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a}^e)$ . If  $y \in r(\mathfrak{a})^e$ , then y = bx where  $x \in \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Thus,  $y \in Bf(\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a}))$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})^e$

#### 2 Exercises

#### 2.1 Problem 1

Question: If x is a nilpotent element in commutative ring A, show that 1 + x is a unit. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit.

*Proof.* Since  $x \in \Re$  where  $\Re$  is the nilradical, there exists n > 0 such that  $x^n = 0$ . Multiplying by  $x^{n-1}$  yields  $x^{n-1}(1+x) = x^{n-1} + 0 = x^{n-1}$ . Thus, 1+x must be a unit. We can generalize this result as follows: Let u be a unit in the ring A and x as defined above. Performing a similar operation as above, we receive

$$x^{n-1}(u+x) = ux^{n-1}$$

. As u is a unit, let  $y \in R$  be chosen such that yu = 1. Multiplying y on both sides gives us:

$$x^{n-1}(1+yx) = x^{n-1}$$

. As  $yx \in \Re$ , we see that the addition of a unit with a nilpotent element gives us a unit in R

Problem 1 relates to the following results from Problem 10:

#### 2.2 Problem 10

Question: Let A be a ring and  $\Re$  be its nilradical. Show that the following are equivalent:

- 1. Show that A has exactly one prime ideal.
- 2. Every element of A is either a unit or nilpotent.
- 3. A /  $\Re$  is a field.

*Proof.* For the implication  $2 \implies 3$ . under the assumption of 2, the non-trivial cosets of  $A/\Re$  will all contain units. Thus, for any  $\bar{u} \in A/\Re$ , the multiplication of coset of  $u^{-1}$  will yield  $\bar{1}$ . Thus,  $A/\Re$  is a field.

For  $3 \implies 1$ , If  $A/\Re$ , then  $\Re$  must be maximal. Thus,  $\Re$  is prime. However as  $\Re$  is the intersection of all prime ideals, it follows that it must be the only prime ideal. For  $1 \implies 2$ ,

by proposition 1.9, we know that any  $x \in A - \Re$  must be a unit as if there is only one prime ideal, it must exactly be a maximal ideal. Thus, A is only composed of units and nilpotent elements.

Remark: This ring seems to be partitioned into two classes: one with elements that are inextricably linked to the muliplicative identity 1 and the other consisting of elements eventually return to 0.

#### 2.3 Problem 2

Let A be a commutative ring and A[x] its corresponding polynomial ring with an indeterminate x with coefficients in A.

1.

#### 2.4 Problem 6

Let A be a commutative ring such that every ideal not contained in the nilradical contains a non-zero idempotent (element e such that  $e^2 = e \neq 0$ . Prove that the nilradical and the Jacobson radical are equal.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  denote the nilradical and let  $\mathfrak{J}$  denote the Jacobson radical. Since every maximal ideal is prime, it follows that  $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}$ . Suppose for the sake of contradicion that the inclusion is proper, then by our assumption there exists an idempotent  $e \in \mathfrak{J}$ . Then, we know that 1 - ey is a unit for all  $y \in A$ . Then the following equality holds: there exists  $s \in R$  such that

$$(1 - ey)s = 1 \implies e(1 - ey)s = e \implies e(1 - y)s = e$$

Hence, (1-y)s=1 and (1-y) is a unit for all  $y \in A$ . So by the same proposition,  $1 \in \mathfrak{J}$ , a contradiction.

#### 2.5 Problem 11

Let A be a commutative ring. A is deemed as Boolean if  $x^2 = x$  for all  $x \in A$ . In a Boolean ring A, show that

- 1. 2x = 0
- 2. every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal, and  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field with two elements.
- 3. every finitely generated ideal in A is principal.

*Proof.* 1.  $(2x)^2 = 2x \implies 4x^2 = 2x$ . Since  $x^2 = x$ , the equality would only hold if 2x = 0.

- 2. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in A, then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain. Since for 2x = 0 and  $x^2 = x$  for every  $x \in A$ , there can only be two equivalence classes, namely the equivalence classes of  $x + \mathfrak{p} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}$  where  $x \neq 0$ . and  $2(x + \mathfrak{p}) = 0 + \mathfrak{p}$ . This gives us the field of two elements with the inverses being the classes themselves. This directly gives us that  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field and hence every prime ideal is maximal.
- 3. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a finitely generated ideal in A generated by the set  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ . We note the following properties expressed by the Boolean conditions above show us that the element

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$$

Note that we can express any of the  $a_i$  by multiplying m with  $a_1...a_{i-1}a_{i+1}...a_n$ 

#### 2.6 Problem 14

In a ring A, let  $\Sigma$  be the set of ideals where all of its elements are zero divisors. Show that  $\Sigma$  has a maximal element and that this maximal element is a prime ideal. Hence, the set of zero-divisors is a union of prime ideals.

*Proof.* Order  $\Sigma$  by set inclusion. For any chain of set inclusions  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$ , the union

$$\mathfrak{a}_m = \bigcup \mathfrak{a_i}$$

will be the maximal element in this finite chain as every element will be a zero-divisor in R. By Zorn's Lemma, we conclude that  $\Sigma$  has a maximal element denoted as  $\Sigma_m$ . Suppose that  $x, y \in R$  are elements such that  $xy \in \Sigma_m$ . Then xyb = 0 for some  $b \neq 0$ . However, x(yb) = 0 and  $x, yb \neq 0$ , making x a zero-divisor. So  $x \in \Sigma_m$ . By symmetry, we can also arrive that  $y \in \Sigma_m$ . If both  $x, y \in \Sigma_m$ , this would contradict the maximality of  $\Sigma_m$ .

Compare this to the definition using the annhilator of  $x \in R$ . If D is the set of the zero-divisors in R

$$D = \bigcup_{x \in R} r(\operatorname{Ann}(x))$$

# 3 The Prime Spectrum of a Ring

#### 3.1 Problem 15

Let A be a ring and let X be the set of all prime ideals of A. For each  $E \subseteq A$ , denote  $V(E) \subseteq X$  be the set of prime ideals containing E. Prove that

1. If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the ideal generated E, then  $V(E) = V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$ 

*Proof.* If a prime ideal contains subset E, then it must contain the smallest ideal generated by E. Recall that the ideal generated by E can be defined as

$$\bigcap_{E\subseteq I \text{ ideal}} I$$

Hence  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(E)$ . Since we are considering sets of prime ideals,  $V(r(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$  as  $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $\mathfrak{p}$  prime and n > 0 implies that  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $E \subset \mathfrak{a}$ , it is clear that  $V(E) \subseteq V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$  which yields the equality.

2.  $V(0) = X, V(1) = \emptyset$ 

*Proof.* V(0) = X is clear from the definition of an ideal.  $V(1) = \emptyset$  no prime ideal can contain the entire ring R by definition.

3. If  $(E_i)_{i\in I}$  is any family of subsets (say countable for now), then

$$V(\bigcup_{i\in I} E_i) = \bigcap_{i\in I} V(E_i)$$

*Proof.* The inclusion

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} V(E_i) \subseteq V(\bigcup_{i\in I} E_i)$$

follows from the observation that for any  $E_i$ ,

$$V(E_i) \subseteq V(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i)$$

for any prime ideal that contains the collective union of  $\{E_i\}$  must contain each individual set. On the other hand, if a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains the collective union  $\{E_i\}$  then

$$\mathfrak{p} \in V(E_i)$$

for all  $i \in I$ . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V(E_i)$$

and we have the converse inclusion.

4. Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be any ideals in R.

 $V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{ab})$  since  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{ab}$ . The inclusion  $V(\mathfrak{a})$