

# COHOMOLOGY OF CONNECTED COMPACT LIE GROUPS

EDWARD KIM

## CONTENTS

1. De Rham Cohomology	1
2. Left-invariant Forms	2
3. Bi-invariant Forms	4
References	5

## 1. DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY

We rapidly review some definitions and concepts from de Rham cohomology before introducing the main topics. Recall that for a  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$ , we define  $\Omega^p(M) = \Gamma(M, \bigwedge^p(T^*M))$  to be the  $p^{th}$  *differential forms* on  $M$  i.e smooth sections of the  $p$ -alternating tensor bundle. The direct sum of these vector spaces:

$$\Omega^*(M) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \Omega^p(M)$$

along with the wedge product  $\wedge : \Omega^n(M) \times \Omega^m(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+m}(M)$  turns  $\Omega^*(M)$  into an associative, anticommutative graded  $C^\infty(M)$ -algebra. Furthermore, there exists an *exterior derivative*:  $d : \Omega^n(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(M)$  defined as follows on basis forms:

$$d(f dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n) = df \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

We will frequently use the global formula for the exterior derivative on  $\Omega^p(M)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^i X_i \omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+1})$$

$$(1.2) \quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+1})$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}$  are smooth vector fields on  $M$ . Now it turns out that  $d \circ d = 0$ , defining the following cochain complex:

**Definition 1.1.** *The  $p^{th}$  de Rham cohomology group is defined to be the quotient groups*

$$(1.3) \quad H^p(M) = Z^p(M) / B^p(M) \quad (d : \Omega^{p-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^p(M))$$

where

$$Z^p(M) = \text{Ker}(d : \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1})$$

$$B^p(M) = \text{Im}(d : \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1})$$

For  $\omega \in \Omega^p(M)$ , recall that  $\omega$  is called *closed* if  $d\omega = 0$  and is called *exact* if there exists  $\eta \in \Omega^{p-1}(M)$  such that  $\omega = d\eta$ . Thus,  $Z^p(M)$  denotes the closed  $p$ -forms on  $M$  while  $B^p(M)$  denotes the exact  $p$ -forms on  $M$ . The following proposition shows that smooth maps induce homomorphisms between the cohomology groups via their pullbacks:

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *Let  $F : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map. The pullback  $F^* : \Omega^p(N) \rightarrow \Omega^p(M)$  takes forms from  $Z^p(N)$  into  $Z^p(M)$  and  $B^p(N)$  into  $B^p(M)$ , inducing a homomorphism between cohomology groups  $H^p(N) \rightarrow H^p(M)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\omega \in \Omega^p(N)$  is closed, then  $dF^*\omega = F^*d\omega = 0$  since the exterior derivative commutes with pullbacks. Similarly, if  $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \Omega^{p-1}(N)$ , then  $F^*\omega = F^*d\eta = dF^*\eta$ . This shows the proposition with the induced cohomology map being defined as:

$$F^*[\omega] = [F^*\omega], \quad \omega \in \Omega^p(N)$$

□

This further shows that diffeomorphisms between manifolds induce isomorphisms between their respective cohomology groups. For more information about background topics, see [3].

## 2. LEFT-INVARIANT FORMS

We base a good bit of our presentation on the articles by Fok and Zhang ([2],[5]). The discussions on invariant forms are based on the treatment in [1]. The original paper detailing these arguments is [4].

We begin by deeming  $G$  to be a connected compact Lie group. First, we start with the definition of a left-invariant

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega^p(G)$ . Then  $\omega$  is said to be left-invariant if*

$$(2.1) \quad L_g^*\omega = \omega, \quad g \in G$$

We denote  $\Omega_L^p(G)$  to be the subspace of left-invariant  $p$ -forms on  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega_L^p(G)$ . Then  $d\omega \in \Omega_L^{n+1}$*

*Proof.* For all  $g \in G$ :

$$L_g^*d\omega = dL_g^*\omega = d\omega$$

□

This shows that  $\Omega_L^*(G)$  form a cochain complex under  $d$ . Define  $H_L^p(G)$  be cohomology of  $\Omega_L^p(G)$ . Furthermore, note that since pullbacks distribute over the wedge product:

$$L_g^*(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = L_g^*\omega_1 \wedge L_g^*\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$$

This shows that when  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_L^n(G)$  and  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_L^m(G)$ , then  $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \in \Omega_L^{n+m}(G)$ . In fact, the wedge product becomes a well-defined map:  $\wedge : H_L^n(G) \times H_L^m(G) \rightarrow H_L^{n+m}(G)$  given by

$$([\omega_1], [\omega_2]) \mapsto [\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2]$$

This endows a ring structure on  $H_L^*(G)$  and an identical argument shows that  $H^*(G)$  is also a ring. Now as subspaces, there exists an inclusion map  $i : \Omega_L^p(G) \hookrightarrow \Omega^p(G)$  which induces a cohomology map between complexes  $i' : H_L^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ . In fact,  $i'$  is a ring isomorphism:

**Theorem 2.3.**  *$i'$  is a ring isomorphism*

*Proof.* To show surjectivity, given a form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(G)$ , there is an invariant form  $\omega' \in \Omega_L^p(G)$  defined as

$$(2.2) \quad \omega' = \int_G L_g^*\omega \, dg$$

Recall that a similar trick is used to create an invariant inner product from an existing inner product on some representation space. Then To show injectivity: let  $\omega \in \Omega_L^p(G)$  such that  $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \Omega^{p-1}(G)$ . Then

$$\omega = \omega' = \int_G L_g^* \omega \, dg = \int_G L_g^* d\eta \, dg = d \int_G L_g^* \eta \, dg = d\eta'$$

The first equality is by left-invariance of  $\omega$ . Then  $\omega$  must be an exact in respect to cochain complex  $\Omega_L^*(G)$ , showing injectivity.  $\square$

Theorem 2.3 states that the classes of the de Rham complex of  $G$ ,  $H^p(G)$  can be represented through its left-invariant counterparts  $H_L^p(G)$ . The additional structure placed on the left-invariant forms on  $G$  give rise to an isomorphism between alternating forms on the Lie algebra of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the left-invariant forms

**Lemma 2.4.** *Define*

$$(2.3) \quad \psi : \Omega_L^*(G) \rightarrow \bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*$$

$$(2.4) \quad \omega \mapsto \{\omega\} := \omega|_{\wedge^n T_e G}$$

or explicitly:  $\{\omega\}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \omega_e((X_1)_e, \dots, (X_n)_e)$ . The map  $\psi$  will be a ring isomorphism.

*Proof.* Showing that  $\psi$  is a ring homomorphism just involves reasoning about the wedge product. To show surjectivity, take some  $\mu \in \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$  and define the  $p$ -form

$$\omega_g((X_1)_g, \dots, (X_n)_g) = \mu((L_{g^{-1}})_*(X_1)_g, \dots, (L_{g^{-1}})_*(X_n)_g)$$

where  $(L_{g^{-1}})_*(X_i)$  is the pushforward vector field of  $X_i$  in respect to the diffeomorphism  $L_{g^{-1}}$ . To check that  $\omega \in \Omega_L^n(G)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (L_g^* \omega)_h((X_1)_h, \dots, (X_n)_h) &= \omega_{gh}((L_g)_*(X_1)_h, \dots, (L_g)_*(X_n)_h) \\ &= \mu((L_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}L_g)_*(X_1)_h, \dots, (L_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}L_g)_*(X_n)_h) \\ &= \mu((L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_1)_h, \dots, (L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_n)_h) \\ &= \omega_h((X_1)_h, \dots, (X_n)_h) \end{aligned}$$

so  $L_g^* \omega = \omega$  as desired. As for injectivity, suppose we had  $\alpha \in \Omega_L^n(G)$  such that  $\{\alpha\} = 0$ . By a similar line of thinking as above:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_h((X_1)_h, \dots, (X_n)_h) &= (L_h^* \alpha)_e((L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_1)_h, \dots, (L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_n)_h) \\ &= \alpha_e((L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_1)_h, \dots, (L_{h^{-1}})_*(X_n)_h) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

There is actually a stronger property based on this ring isomorphism  $\psi$ . We will now show that there exists a homomorphism  $\delta : \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n+1} \mathfrak{g}^*$  which commutes with the  $\psi$ , turning  $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*$  into a cochain complex.

**Lemma 2.5.** *There exist a linear map  $\delta$  which makes the below diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_L^n & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_L^{n+1} \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigwedge^{n+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \end{array}$$

with the explicit form

$$(2.5) \quad \delta\omega(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) = \sum_{i < j} \omega([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi_i}, \dots, \widehat{\xi_j}, \dots, \xi_{n+1})$$

*Proof.* Let us first take the  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}$  which are tangent vectors living in  $T_e G$  and extend them to left-invariant vector fields on  $G$  via a pushforward,  $(X_i)_g = (L_g)_*(\xi_i)$ . Expand  $\psi \circ d$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi d\omega)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) &= d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, \widehat{X_j}, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \{\omega\}([(X_i)_e, (X_j)_e], (X_1)_e, \dots, (X_i)_e, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, \widehat{X_j}, \dots, (X_{n+1})_e) \\ &= (\delta\psi\omega)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows since the restriction is  $(X_i)_e = \xi$  by construction. The second equality arises from the left-invariance of  $\omega$  and the  $X_i$ . Recall the global formula in Equation 1.1 and the terms:

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_{n+1}) &= \mathcal{L}_{X_i}(\omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_{n+1})) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_{X_i})(\omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_{n+1})) + \sum_{j=0, j \neq i} \omega(X_1, \dots, [X_i, X_j], \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0, j \neq i} \omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, [X_i, X_j], \dots, X_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mathcal{L}_{X_i}\omega = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{X_i}X_i = [X_i, X_i] = 0$ . Taking the total sum reveals that:

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} X_i \omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_{n+1}) = 0$$

The third equality is once again just from the restrictions of the  $X_i$  and the definition of  $\psi$ .  $\square$

The lemma shows that the  $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*$  under the  $\delta$  map is a cochain complex with  $\psi$  inducing a cochain complex isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.6.**  $H_L^*(G) \cong H^*(\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*, \delta)$

$H^*(\mathfrak{g}) = H^*(\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*, \delta)$  is called the *Lie algebra cohomology* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We have shown that for connected compact groups, its Lie algebra cohomology isomorphic to its left-invariant cohomology groups.

### 3. BI-INVARIANT FORMS

We can extend these results to the so-called *Bi-invariant classes* of  $G$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega^n(G)$ .  $\omega$  is *bi-invariant* if  $\omega \in \Omega_B^n(G) = \Omega_L^n(G) \cap \Omega_R^n(G)$ . That is:

$$\begin{aligned} L_g^* \omega &= \omega \\ R_g^* \omega &= \omega \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in G$

The use of the average to discover bi-invariant cohomology representatives in  $[\omega]$  also apply:

$$(3.1) \quad \omega' = \int_G \int_G L_g^* R_g^* \omega \, dg dg$$

A similar argument to Theorem 2.3 yields that the inclusion of subspaces  $\Omega_B^n(G) \hookrightarrow \Omega^n(G)$  is also a ring isomorphism

**Theorem 3.2.**  $H_B^*(G) \cong H^*(G)$  as rings

## REFERENCES

1. Claude Chevalley and Samuel Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of lie groups and lie algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical society **63** (1948), no. 1, 85–124.
2. Chi-Kwong Fok, *Cohomology and k-theory of compact lie groups*, Unpublished, available at <http://pi.math.cornell.edu/ckfok/Cohomology Lie groups. pdf> (2010).
3. M Lee John, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **218** (2003).
4. Mark Reeder, *On the cohomology of compact lie groups*, Enseignement Mathématique **41** (1995), 181–200.
5. Yang Zhang, *Review of the cohomology of compact lie groups*.